

Document number: D????R0

Project: Programming Language C++

Audience: Evolution Working Group, Library Evolution Working Group

Antony Polukhin <antoshkka@gmail.com>, <antoshkka@yandex-team.ru>

Alexander Zaitsev <zamazan4ik@tut.by>

Date: 2017-04-05

Changing attack vector of the constexpr_vector

“The easiest way to solve a problem is to deny it exists.”

— Isaac Asimov

I. Introduction and Motivation

[P0597R0](#) proposed to add a new `std::constexpr_vector` type that is usable in `constexpr` context. That's going to be a very useful class and many people already wish to have it in Standard Library.

However, users will definitely wish for more:

- Reflections SG would like to have a `constexpr_string`
- Boost is already trying to invent `constexpr` associative containers
- `constexpr_deque` seems to be very useful as it has a handy `pop_front` function
- Users have their own containers and one day they would like to make them `constexpr`
- Numerics SG may wish to have `constexpr` unbounded integers some day
- `constexpr_path`?
- ...

This proposal is **not** an attempt to prevent further work on P0597R0. This proposal is **an attempt to change problem attack vector of the P0597R0** to make it more generic and solve more problems without duplicating each container in the Standard Library.

II. The idea

Instead of providing multiple `constexpr` containers we can provide a single `std::constexpr_allocator` and mark existing containers with `constexpr`.

III. Proof of concept

It took roughly 10 man-hours to implement naive `std::constexpr_allocator` as a library only solution and tune `std::vector` to be usable in `constexpr` context. Now it is possible to write code like the following:

```
constexpr bool vector_testing_constexpr(unsigned size) {
    std::vector<unsigned, constexpr_allocator<unsigned>> compile_time;
    for (unsigned i = 0; i <= size; ++ i)
        compile_time.push_back(i);

    compile_time.emplace_back(0);
    compile_time.pop_back();

    return compile_time.back() == size;
}
```

```
int main() {
    constexpr auto r = vector_testing_constexpr(5);
    static_assert(r, "");
}
```

The proof of concept implementation could be found at

https://github.com/ZaMaZaN4iK/constexpr_allocator (all the major changes are in `modif_*` headers).

IV. Challenges and solutions

Following problems were discovered while implementing the proof of concept prototype:

- Standard Library containers miss `constexpr`
 - **Solution:** It is simple to add `constexpr` all around the container declaration
- Standard Library containers have non trivial destructors
 - **Solution 1:** This can be worked around by querying the allocator, checking that it is a `constexpr_allocator` and changing the destructors to trivial ones. That's the solution that was used in the prototype
 - **Solution 2:** This can be fixed in a more general way by allowing non trivial destructors in constant expressions
- Many utility functions and algorithms miss `constexpr` specifiers
 - **Solution:** This is solved by [P0202R1](#)
- `try` and `catch` are not allowed in constant expressions
 - **Solution:** Exceptions could not be thrown in constant expression so it seems OK to allow `try` and `catch` in constant expressions that just do nothing
- No way to allocate memory in constant expressions
 - **Solution 1:** That's where the [P0597R0](#) is stepping in. Instead of having a magic `constexpr_vector` that allocates memory, please change it to magic `constexpr_allocator` that allocates memory in constant expressions.
 - **Solution 2:** Prototype used an array of default initialized objects instead of allocating memory and constructing objects in place. Users may use the similar approach for providing their own `constexpr_allocator`s.

V. Pros and Cons

Pros of `constexpr_allocator` approach:

- Extensible - it is simple to add new containers
- Brief - no duplication of existing Standard Library containers
- Follows existing practice - uses allocators for memory allocation, not fuses the allocator behavior into the container behavior
- Future proof - if one day someone invents a constant expression usable new, we won't need to deprecate a bunch of `constexpr` containers. We'll just deprecate a single `constexpr_allocator`
- User friendly - could be used with user defined containers without tedious wrapping and reinventing `constexpr_allocator`s from the `std::constexpr_vector`.

Cons of `constexpr_allocator` approach:

- Touches Allocators - people try to avoid that
- Requires More Work - instead of having a single big `std::constexpr_vector` proposal the `constexpr_allocator` approach requires multiple smaller proposals to cycle through almost all the subgroups.

VI. References

[P0597R0] "std::constexpr_vector<T>" proposal. Available online at <http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2017/p0597r0.html>

[P0202R1] "Add Constexpr Modifiers to Functions in <algorithm> and <utility> Headers" proposal. Available online at <http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2016/p0202r1.html>