

# **Protocol Audit Report**

Version 1.0

Zabid27

October 13, 2024

Protocol Audit Report October 12, 2024

# **Protocol Audit Report**

## Abidogun Abdulazeez

October 12, 2024

Prepared by: Abidogun Abdulazeez Lead Auditors: - Abidogun Abdulazeez

#### **Table of Contents**

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
- High
  - [H-1] Storing the password on-chain, makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private
  - Likelihood & Impact:
    - \* (H-2) PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a nonowner could change the password
  - Likelihood & Impact:
- Medium

Abidogun Abdulazeez 2

- Low
- Informational
  - (I-#) The PasswordStore: : getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect
- Gas

# **Protocol Summary**

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's password is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only owner should be able to set and access this password.

#### **Disclaimer**

The YOUR\_NAME\_HERE team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

#### **Risk Classification**

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	Н	H/M	М
	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Protocol Audit Report October 12, 2024

#### **Audit Details**

## The findings described in this document correspond the folloing commit hash:

```
1 2e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990
```

### Scope

```
1 ./src/
2 #-- PasswordStore.sol
```

#### **Roles**

- Owner: The user who can set the password and read the password.
- Outsiders: No one else should be able to set or read the password.

# **Executive Summary**

I spent 2 hours using tools like solc and solidity metrics to pinpoint the problems and also used cast in Foundry to get the password.

#### **Issues found**

Severity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	1
Total	3

Abidogun Abdulazeez 4

# **Findings**

# High

#### [H-1] Storing the password on-chain, makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private

**Description:** All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be read directly from the blockchain. The PasswordStore::s\_password variable and only accessed through the PasswordStore::getPassword function, which is intended to be only called by the owner of the contract.

We showed one such method of reading any data off chain below

**Impact:** Anyone can read the priate password, severly breaking the functionality of the protocol.

**Proof of Concept:** (Proof of Code)

The below test case shows how anyone can read the password directly from the blockchain

1. Create a locally running chain

```
1 make anvil
```

2. Deploy the contract to the chain

```
1 make deploy
```

3. Run the storage tool

```
1 cast storage <ADDRESS_HERE> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

we use 1 because that's the storage slot of s\_password in the contract.

You'll get an output that looks like this:

4. You can then parse that hex to a string with:

and get an output of:

```
1 myPassword
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the stored password. However, you're also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with this decryption key.

## **Likelihood & Impact:**

Impact: HIGHLikelihood: HIGHSeverity: HIGH

# (H-2) PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password

**Description:**The PasswordStore::setPassword function is set to be an external function, however, the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that This function allows only the owner to set a **new** password. The PasswordStore::setPassword function is meant to allow only the owner to set a new password. And the in the function there's no any check to make the person that should set the password be only the owner. That means non-owners can also set password.

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
    // @audit - There are no access controls
    s_password = newPassword;
    emit SetNetPassword();
}
```

**Impact:** Anone can set/change the password of the contract, severly breaking the contract intended function.

**Proof of Concept:** Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol test file.

Code

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public
{
    vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
    vm.prank(randomAddress);
    string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
    passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);

vm.prank(owner);
```

```
string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);

}
```

.

**Recommended Mitigation:** Add an access control conditional to the setPassword function

```
if(msg.sender != s_owner){
    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
}
```

### **Likelihood & Impact:**

• Impact: HIGH

· Likelihood: HIGH

Severity: HIGH # Medium # Low # Informational

# (I-#) The PasswordStore: getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

#### **Description:**

```
1
2 /*
3 * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
4 * @param newPassword The new password to set.
5 */
6 function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
```

The PasswordStore::getPassword signature is getPassword() while the natspec say it shoud be getPassword(string).

**Impact:** The natspec is incorrect

**Recommended Mitigation:** Remove the incorrect natspec line.

```
1 - * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

#### Gas