Multi-Modal Route Planning in Road and Transit Networks

Master's Thesis

Daniel Tischner

University of Freiburg, Germany,

daniel.tischner.cs@gmail.com

August 4, 2018

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Hannah Bast
Advisor: Patrick Brosi

Contents

Declaration

I hereby declare, that I am the sole author and composer of my Thesis and that no other sources or learning aids, other than those listed, have been used. Furthermore, I declare that I have acknowledged the work of others by providing detailed references of said work. I hereby also declare, that my Thesis has not been prepared for another examination or assignment, either wholly or excerpts thereof.

Place, Date

Signature

Zusammenfassung

Wir präsentieren Algorithmen für multi-modale Routenplannung in Straßennetzwerken und Netzwerken des öffentlichen Personennahverkehrs (ÖPNV), so wie in kombinierten Netzwerken.

Dazu stellen wir das Nächste-Nachbar und das Kürzester-Pfad Problem vor und schlagen Lösungen basierend auf Cover Trees, ALT und CSA vor.

Des Weiteren erläutern wir die Theorie hinter den Algorithmen, geben eine kurze Übersicht über andere Techniken, zeigen Versuchsergebnisse auf und vergleichen die Techniken untereinander.

Abstract

We present algorithms for multi-modal route planning in road and public transit networks, as well as in combined networks.

Therefore, we explore the nearest neighbor and shortest path problem and propose solutions based on Cover Trees, ALT and CSA.

Further, we illustrate the theory behind the algorithms, give a short overview of other techniques, present experimental results and compare the techniques with each other.

1 Introduction

Route planning refers to the problem of finding an *optimal* route between given locations in a network. With the ongoing expansion of road and public transit networks all over the world route planner gain more and more importance. This led to a rapid increase in research of relevant topics and development of route planner software.

However, a common problem of most such services is that they are limited to one transportation mode only. That is a route can only be taken by a car or train but not by both at the same time. This is called uni-modal routing. In contrast to that multi-modal routing allows the alternation of transportation modes. For example a route that first uses a car to drive to a train station, then a train which travels to a another train station and finally using a bicycle from there to reach the destination.

The difficulty with multi-modal routing lies in most algorithms being fitted to networks with specific properties. Unfortunately, road networks differ a lot from public transit networks. As such, a route planning algorithm fitted to a certain type of network will likely yield undesired results, have an impractical running time or not even be able to be used at all on different networks. We will explore this later in **Section 6**.

In this thesis we explore a technique with which we can combine an algorithm fitted for road networks with an algorithm for public transit networks. Effectively obtaining a generic algorithm that is able to compute routes on combined networks. The basic idea is simple, given a source and destination, both in the road network, we select *access nodes* for both. This are nodes where we will switch from the road into the public transit network. A route can then be computed by using the road algorithm for the source to its access nodes, the transit algorithm for the access nodes of the source to the access nodes of the destination and finally the road algorithm again for the destinations access nodes to the destination. Note that this technique might not yield the shortest possible path anymore. Also, it does not allow an arbitrary alternation of transportation modes. However, we accept those limitations since the resulting algorithm is very generic and able to compute routes faster than without limitations. We will cover this technique in detail in **Section 5.3.2**.

Our final technique uses a modified version of ALT as road algorithm and CSA for the transportation network. The algorithms are presented in **Section 5.1.2** and **Section 5.2.1** respectively. We also develop a multi-modal variant of DIJKSTRA which is able to compute the shortest route in a combined network with the possibility of changing transportation modes arbitrary. It is presented in **Section 5.3.1** and acts as baseline to our final technique based on access nodes.

We compute access nodes by solving the nearest neighbor problem. For a given node in the road network its access nodes are then all nodes in the transit network which are in the *vicinity* of the road node. We explore a solution to this problem in **Section 4**.

Section 3 starts by defining types of networks. We represent road networks by graphs only. For transit networks we provide a graph representation too. Both graphs can then be combined into a linked graph. The advantage of graph based models is that they are well studied and therefore we are able to use our multi-modal variant of DIJKSTRA to compute routes on them. However, we also propose a non-graph based representation for transit networks, a timetable. The timetable is used by CSA, an efficient algorithm for route planning on public transit networks. With that, our road and transit networks get incompatible and can not easily be combined. Therefore, we use the previously mentioned generic approach based on access nodes for this type of network.

Further, we implemented the presented algorithms in the COBWEB project, which is an open-source multi-modal route planner. In **Section 6** we show our experimental results and compare the techniques with each other.

2 Preliminaries

Blabla

2.1 Graph

Blabla

2.2 Metric

Blabla

3 Models

Blabla

3.1 Road graph

blabla

3.2 Transit graph

blabla

3.3 Link graph

blabla

3.4 Timetable

blabla

4 Nearest neighbor problem

Blabla

4.1 Cover tree

Blabla

5 Shortest path problem

Blabla

5.1 Time-independent

Blabla

5.1.1 Dijkstra

Blabla

5.1.2 A* and ALT

Blabla

5.2 Time-dependend

Blabla

5.2.1 Connection scan

Blabla

5.3 Multi-modal

Blabla

5.3.1 Modified Dijkstra

Blabla

5.3.2 Access nodes

Blabla

5.4 Other algorithms

Blabla

6 Evaluation

Blabla

6.1 Input data

Blabla

6.2 Experiments

Blabla

6.2.1 Nearest neighbor computation

Blabla

6.2.2 Uni-modal routing

Blabla

6.2.3 Multi-modal routing

Blabla

6.3 Summary

Blabla

7 Conclusion

Blabla