

C241 HW11 Mini

Zac Monroe

November 2018

1. (a)
 - i. No, R is not reflexive. $\neg R(b, b)$.
 - ii. No, R is not anti-reflexive. $R(a, a)$.
 - iii. No, R is not symmetric. $R(a, b)$ but $\neg R(b, a)$.
 - iv. Yes, R is anti-symmetric. The only $x, y \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ that satisfy $R(x, y)$ **and** $R(y, x)$ are the cases where $x = y$ (a & d).
 - v. Yes, R is transitive because for all $x, y, z \in \{a, b, c, d\}$, if $R(x, y)$ and $R(y, z)$, $R(x, z)$. Particularly, $R(a, b)$, $R(b, d)$, $R(a, d)$; $R(a, c)$, $R(c, d)$, $R(a, d)$; $R(b, d)$, $R(d, d)$, $R(b, d)$; $R(c, d)$, $R(d, d)$, $R(c, d)$.
- (b)
 - i. No, S is not reflexive. $\neg S(4, 4)$.
 - ii. No, S is not anti-reflexive. $S(1, 1)$.
 - iii. No, S is not symmetric. $S(2, 4)$ but $\neg S(4, 2)$.
 - iv. No, S is not anti-symmetric. $S(1, 2)$ and $S(2, 1)$; $1 \neq 2$.
 - v. No, S is not transitive. $S(3, 1)$ and $S(1, 2)$ but $\neg S(3, 2)$.
- (c)
 - i. No, E is not reflexive. $\neg E(1, 1)$ because $1+1=2$ is even.
 - ii. Yes, E is anti-reflexive. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n + n = 2n$, and since $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $2n$ is even, so $\neg E(n, n)$, so there is no integer n for which $E(n, n)$ holds.
 - iii. Yes, E is symmetric. For any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m + n = n + m$ so $E(m, n)$ iff $E(n, m)$; addition is commutative.
 - iv. No, E is not anti-symmetric. $E(1, 2)$ and $E(2, 1)$.
 - v. No, E is not transitive. $E(1, 2)$ and $E(2, 3)$ but $\neg E(1, 3)$.