

COMP2310/COMP6310

Systems, Networks, & Concurrency

Convener: Prof John Taylor

COMP2310 Course Update

➤ **Course survey available on Wattle**

➤ **Checkpoint 1 results are out**

➤ **Quiz 1 this week in labs (5%)**

➤ 2 Checkpoints + 2 quizzes = 25%


➤ **Assignment 1 released at the end of this Week**

➤ Due 14/09 11:59 PM

➤ Make sure you complete this week's lab

➤ **Checkpoint 2 – Week 9 during labs**

➤ Course and Labs to week 8



Dynamic Memory Allocation: Advanced Concepts


Acknowledgement of material: With changes suited to ANU needs, the slides are obtained from Carnegie Mellon University: <https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~213/>

Today


- **Explicit free lists**
- Segregated free lists
- Garbage collection
- Memory-related perils and pitfalls

Keeping Track of Free Blocks

- Method 1: *Implicit free list* using length—links all blocks




- Method 2: *Explicit free list* among the free blocks using pointers




- Method 3: *Segregated free list*
 - Different free lists for different size classes
- Method 4: *Blocks sorted by size*
 - Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

Explicit Free Lists

Allocated (as before)



Free




- Maintain list(s) of *free* blocks, not *all* blocks
 - The “next” free block could be anywhere
 - So we need to store forward/back pointers, not just sizes
 - Still need boundary tags for coalescing
 - Luckily we track only free blocks, so we can use payload area

Explicit Free Lists

- Logically:




- Physically: blocks can be in any order



Allocating From Explicit Free Lists


conceptual graphic

Before



After

(with splitting)




Freeing With Explicit Free Lists


- ***Insertion policy:*** Where in the free list do you put a newly freed block?
- **LIFO (last-in-first-out) policy**
 - Insert freed block at the beginning of the free list
 - ***Pro:*** simple and constant time
 - ***Con:*** studies suggest fragmentation is worse than address ordered
- **Address-ordered policy**
 - Insert freed blocks so that free list blocks are always in address order:
 $addr(prev) < addr(curr) < addr(next)$
 - ***Con:*** requires search
 - ***Pro:*** studies suggest fragmentation is lower than LIFO

Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 1)

conceptual graphic




- Insert the freed block at the root of the list




Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 2)

conceptual graphic




- Splice out successor block, coalesce both memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list




100

conceptual graphic




- **Splice out predecessor block, coalesce both memory blocks, and insert the new block at the root of the list**




Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 4)

conceptual graphic



- Splice out predecessor and successor blocks, coalesce all 3 memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list



Explicit List Summary

■ Comparison to implicit list:


- Allocate is linear time in number of *free* blocks instead of *all* blocks
 - *Much faster* when most of the memory is full
- Slightly more complicated allocate and free since we need to splice blocks in and out of the list
- Some extra space for the links (2 extra words needed for each block)
 - Does this increase internal fragmentation?

■ Most common use of linked lists is in conjunction with segregated free lists


- Keep multiple linked lists of different size classes, or possibly for different types of objects

Keeping Track of Free Blocks

- Method 1: *Implicit list* using length—links all blocks



- Method 2: *Explicit list* among the free blocks using pointers



- Method 3: *Segregated free list*

- Different free lists for different size classes

- Method 4: *Blocks sorted by size*


- Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

Today

- Explicit free lists
- **Segregated free lists**
- Garbage collection
- Memory-related perils and pitfalls

Segregated List (Seglist) Allocators

- Each *size class* of blocks has its own free list



- Often have separate classes for each small size
- For larger sizes: One class for each two-power size

Seglist Allocator

- Given an array of free lists, each one for some size class
- To allocate a block of size n :
 - Search appropriate free list for block of size $m > n$
 - If an appropriate block is found:
 - Split block and place fragment on appropriate list (optional)
 - If no block is found, try next larger class
 - Repeat until block is found
- If no block is found:
 - Request additional heap memory from OS (using `sbrk()`)
 - Allocate block of n bytes from this new memory
 - Place remainder as a single free block in largest size class.

Seglist Allocator (cont.)

■ To free a block:

- Coalesce and place on appropriate list

■ Advantages of seglist allocators

- Higher throughput
 - log time for power-of-two size classes
- Better memory utilization
 - First-fit search of segregated free list approximates a best-fit search of entire heap.
 - Extreme case: Giving each block its own size class is equivalent to best-fit.

More Info on Allocators

- D. Knuth, “*The Art of Computer Programming*”, 2nd edition, Addison Wesley, 1973
 - The classic reference on dynamic storage allocation
- Wilson et al, “*Dynamic Storage Allocation: A Survey and Critical Review*”, Proc. 1995 Int’l Workshop on Memory Management, Kinross, Scotland, Sept, 1995.
 - Comprehensive survey
 - Available from CS:APP student site (csapp.cs.cmu.edu)

Today

- Explicit free lists
- Segregated free lists
- **Garbage collection**
- Memory-related perils and pitfalls

Implicit Memory Management: Garbage Collection

- ***Garbage collection:*** automatic reclamation of heap-allocated storage—application never has to free

```
void foo() {  
    int *p = malloc(128);  
    return; /* p block is now garbage */  
}
```

- **Common in many dynamic languages:**
 - Python, Ruby, Java, Perl, ML, Lisp, Mathematica
- **Variants (“conservative” garbage collectors) exist for C and C++**
 - However, cannot necessarily collect all garbage

Garbage Collection

- **How does the memory manager know when memory can be freed?**
 - In general we cannot know what is going to be used in the future since it depends on conditionals
 - But we can tell that certain blocks cannot be used if there are no pointers to them
- **Must make certain assumptions about pointers**
 - Memory manager can distinguish pointers from non-pointers
 - All pointers point to the start of a block
 - Cannot hide pointers
(e.g., by coercing them to an `int`, and then back again)


Classical GC Algorithms

- **Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)**
 - Does not move blocks (unless you also “compact”)
- **Reference counting (Collins, 1960)**
 - Does not move blocks (not discussed)
- **Copying collection (Minsky, 1963)**
 - Moves blocks (not discussed)
- **Generational Collectors (Lieberman and Hewitt, 1983)**
 - Collection based on lifetimes
 - Most allocations become garbage very soon
 - So focus reclamation work on zones of memory recently allocated
- **For more information:**
Jones and Lin, “*Garbage Collection: Algorithms for Automatic Dynamic Memory*”, John Wiley & Sons, 1996.

Memory as a Graph

■ We view memory as a directed graph

- Each block is a node in the graph
- Each pointer is an edge in the graph
- Locations not in the heap that contain pointers into the heap are called **root** nodes (e.g. registers, locations on the stack, global variables)



A node (block) is **reachable** if there is a path from any root to that node.

Non-reachable nodes are **garbage** (cannot be needed by the application)


Mark and Sweep Collecting

- Can build on top of malloc/free package

- Allocate using `malloc` until you “run out of space”

- When out of space:

- Use extra **mark bit** in the head of each block
 - **Mark:** Start at roots and set mark bit on each reachable block
 - **Sweep:** Scan all blocks and free blocks that are not marked



Assumptions For a Simple Implementation

■ Application

- `new(n)` : returns pointer to new block with all locations cleared
- `read(b, i)` : read location `i` of block `b` into register
- `write(b, i, v)` : write `v` into location `i` of block `b`

■ Each block will have a header word

- addressed as `b[-1]`, for a block `b`
- Used for different purposes in different collectors

■ Instructions used by the Garbage Collector

- `is_ptr(p)` : determines whether `p` is a pointer
- `length(b)` : returns the length of block `b`, not including the header
- `get_roots()` : returns all the roots

Mark and Sweep (cont.)

Mark using depth-first traversal of the memory graph

```
ptr mark(ptr p) {  
    if (!is_ptr(p)) return;           // do nothing if not pointer  
    if (markBitSet(p)) return;        // check if already marked  
    setMarkBit(p);                    // set the mark bit  
    for (i=0; i < length(p); i++)    // call mark on all words  
        mark(p[i]);                  // in the block  
    return;  
}
```


Sweep using lengths to find next block

```
ptr sweep(ptr p, ptr end) {  
    while (p < end) {  
        if markBitSet(p)  
            clearMarkBit();  
        else if (allocateBitSet(p))  
            free(p);  
        p += length(p);  
    }  
}
```

Conservative Mark & Sweep in C


■ A “conservative garbage collector” for C programs

- `is_ptr()` determines if a word is a pointer by checking if it points to an allocated block of memory
- But, in C pointers can point to the middle of a block



■ So how to find the beginning of the block?

- Can use a balanced binary tree to keep track of all allocated blocks (key is start-of-block)
- Balanced-tree pointers can be stored in header (use two additional words)



Left: smaller addresses
Right: larger addresses

Today

- Explicit free lists
- Segregated free lists
- Garbage collection
- **Memory-related perils and pitfalls**

Memory-Related Perils and Pitfalls

- Dereferencing bad pointers
 - Reading uninitialized memory
 - Overwriting memory
 - Referencing non-existent variables
 - Freeing blocks multiple times
 - Referencing freed blocks
 - Failing to free blocks
-
- See section 9.11 of CS:APP – Common Memory related bugs
-
- See p.40 of CS:APP – ‘Origins of the C programming language’
 - Understand ‘why C’ – portable and efficient

C operators

<i>Operators</i>	<i>Associativity</i>
<code>() [] -> .</code>	left to right
<code>! ~ ++ -- + - * & (type) sizeof</code>	right to left
<code>* / %</code>	left to right
<code>+ -</code>	left to right
<code><< >></code>	left to right
<code>< <= > >=</code>	left to right
<code>== !=</code>	left to right
<code>&</code>	left to right
<code>^</code>	left to right
<code> </code>	left to right
<code>&&</code>	left to right
<code> </code>	left to right
<code>?:</code>	right to left
<code>= += -= *= /= %= &= ^= != <<= >>=</code>	right to left
<code>,</code>	left to right

- `->`, `()`, and `[]` have high precedence, with `*` and `&` just below
- Unary `+`, `-`, and `*` have higher precedence than binary forms

C Pointer Declarations: Test Yourself!

<code>int *p</code>	p is a pointer to int
<code>int *p[13]</code>	p is an array[13] of pointer to int
<code>int *(p[13])</code>	p is an array[13] of pointer to int
<code>int **p</code>	p is a pointer to a pointer to an int
<code>int (*p)[13]</code>	p is a pointer to an array[13] of int
<code>int *f()</code>	f is a function returning a pointer to int
<code>int (*f)()</code>	f is a pointer to a function returning int
<code>int ((*f())[13])()</code>	f is a function returning ptr to an array[13] of pointers to functions returning int
<code>int ((*x[3])())[5]</code>	x is an array[3] of pointers to functions returning pointers to array[5] of ints

Dereferencing Bad Pointers

- The classic `scanf` bug

```
int val;  
  
...  
  
scanf("%d", val);
```

Reading Uninitialized Memory

- Assuming that heap data is initialized to zero

```
/* return y = Ax */  
int *matvec(int **A, int *x) {  
    int *y = malloc(N*sizeof(int));  
    int i, j;  
  
    for (i=0; i<N; i++)  
        for (j=0; j<N; j++)  
            y[i] += A[i][j]*x[j];  
    return y;  
}
```

Overwriting Memory

- Allocating the (possibly) wrong sized object

```
int **p;  
  
p = malloc(N*sizeof(int));  
  
for (i=0; i<N; i++) {  
    p[i] = malloc(M*sizeof(int));  
}
```

Overwriting Memory

- Off-by-one error

```
int **p;  
  
p = malloc(N*sizeof(int *));  
  
for (i=0; i<=N; i++) {  
    p[i] = malloc(M*sizeof(int));  
}
```

Overwriting Memory

- Not checking the max string size

```
char s[8];  
int i;  
  
gets(s);  /* reads "123456789" from stdin */
```

- Basis for classic buffer overflow attacks

Overwriting Memory

- Misunderstanding pointer arithmetic

```
int *search(int *p, int val) {  
    while (*p && *p != val)  
        p += sizeof(int);  
  
    return p;  
}
```

Overwriting Memory

- Referencing a pointer instead of the object it points to

```
int *BinheapDelete(int **binheap, int *size) {  
    int *packet;  
    packet = binheap[0];  
    binheap[0] = binheap[*size - 1];  
    *size--;  
    Heapify(binheap, *size, 0);  
    return(packet);  
}
```


Referencing Nonexistent Variables

- Forgetting that local variables disappear when a function returns

```
int *foo () {  
    int val;  
  
    return &val;  
}
```

Freeing Blocks Multiple Times

■ Nasty!

```
x = malloc(N*sizeof(int));  
    <manipulate x>  
free(x);  
  
y = malloc(M*sizeof(int));  
    <manipulate y>  
free(x);
```

Referencing Freed Blocks

■ Evil!

```
x = malloc(N*sizeof(int));  
  <manipulate x>  
free(x);  
  ...  
y = malloc(M*sizeof(int));  
for (i=0; i<M; i++)  
    y[i] = x[i]++;
```

Failing to Free Blocks (Memory Leaks)

- Slow, long-term killer!

```
foo() {  
    int *x = malloc(N*sizeof(int));  
    ...  
    return;  
}
```

Failing to Free Blocks (Memory Leaks)

■ Freeing only part of a data structure

```
struct list {
    int val;
    struct list *next;
};

foo() {
    struct list *head = malloc(sizeof(struct list));
    head->val = 0;
    head->next = NULL;
    <create and manipulate the rest of the list>
    ...
    free(head) ;
    return;
}
```

Dealing With Memory Bugs

■ Debugger: `gdb`

- Good for finding bad pointer dereferences
- Hard to detect the other memory bugs

■ Data structure consistency checker

- Runs silently, prints message only on error
- Use as a probe to zero in on error

■ Binary translator: `valgrind`

- Powerful debugging and analysis technique
- Rewrites text section of executable object file
- Checks each individual reference at runtime
 - Bad pointers, overwrites, refs outside of allocated block

■ `glibc malloc` contains checking code

- `setenv MALLOC_CHECK_ 3`

Dynamic Memory Allocation in C

■ Best Practices

- Always check if malloc returns `NULL`
- Initialize memory before use
 - Use `calloc` for arrays (helps avoid integer-overflow bugs and zero-inits memory)
- Free all allocated memory exactly once
- Use tools like *valgrind* and `MALLOC_CHECK_` for debugging