

CS471 Project 3

Zack Lee

February 20, 2026

INTRODUCTION

This Project used ten selected standard benchmark functions of different properties. The functions were as follows: Schwefel's, 1st De Jong's, Rosenbrock, Rastrigin, Griewangk, Sine Envelope Sine Wave, Stretched V Sine Wave, Ackley's One, Ackley's Two, and Egg Holder.

To compute these functions, a matrix $R^{n \times m}$ is used. n is a constant value of 30, which is the number of experiments. m is the given dimension, which can be 10, 20, or 30, but the results in this documentation will be with all the dimensions. To fill this matrix with values, the Mersenne Twister pseudo-random number generator is used to create the vectors (i.e. the rows in the matrix).

This Project 3 builds on Projects 1 and 2 by introducing two new optimization algorithms: Differential Evolution (DE) and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO). For each benchmark function, both methods run multiple trials and store the best fitness values and runtime in CSV files for analysis.

Differential Evolution (DE) begins by creating a population of candidate vectors within the function bounds using pseudo-random initialization (Mersenne Twister). In each generation, DE applies mutation and crossover to create trial vectors, then uses selection to keep whichever vector has better fitness. This process repeats for the configured number of generations, and the final best fitness, along with runtime and run metadata, is written to the DE results CSV.

Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) also starts with randomly initialized vectors (particles) and velocities. Each particle tracks its own best position, while the swarm tracks the global best position. During each generation, particles update velocity and position using cognitive

and social terms (c_1, c_2) so they move toward promising regions of the search space. After all generations, the best fitness found by the swarm and runtime information are written to the PSO results CSV.

Each function will be described with its ranges, the optimal value (i.e., the most optimal fitness that we want from the function), and then what parameters we used for DE and PSO.

1 SCHWEFEL FUNCTION

$$f_1(x) = (418.9828 \cdot n) - \sum_{i=1}^n -x_i \cdot \sin(\sqrt{|x_i|}) \quad (1.1)$$

Schwefel function and its global optima is 0, its dimension is 30 with a range of $[-512, -512]^n$.

2 1ST DE JONG'S FUNCTION

$$f_2(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \quad (2.1)$$

1st De Jong's Function and its global optima is 0: its dimension is 30 with a range of $[-100, -100]^n$.

3 ROSENBROCK

$$f_3(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 100(x_i^2 - x_{i+1})^2 + (1 - x_i)^2 \quad (3.1)$$

Rosenbrock Function and its global optima is 0: its dimension is 30 with a range of $[-100, 100]^n$.

4 RASTRIGIN

$$f_4(x) = 10 \cdot n \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2 - 10 \cdot \cos(2\pi \cdot x_i)) \quad (4.1)$$

Rastrigin Function and its global optima is 0: its dimension is 30 with a range of $[-30, 30]^n$.

5 GRIEWANGK

$$f_5(x) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{4000} - \prod_{i=1}^n \cos\left(\frac{x_i}{\sqrt{i}}\right) \quad (5.1)$$

The Griewangk function has a global optimum of 0: its dimension is 30 with a range of $[-500, 500]^n$.

6 SINE ENVELOPE SINE WAVE

$$f_6(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 0.5 + \frac{\sin(x_i^2 + x_{i+1}^2 - 0.5)^2}{(1 + 0.001(x_i^2 + x_{i+1}^2))^2} \quad (6.1)$$

The Sine Envelope Sine Wave function has a global optimum of $-1.4915(n-1)$. Its dimension is 30 with a range of $[-30, 30]^n$.

7 STRETCHED V SINE WAVE

$$f_7(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\sqrt[4]{x_i^2 + x_{i+1}^2} \cdot \sin \left(50 \sqrt[10]{x_i^2 + x_{i+1}^2} \right)^2 + 1 \right) \quad (7.1)$$

The Stretched V Sine Wave function has a global optimum of 0. Its dimension is 30 with a range of $[-30, 30]^n$.

8 ACKLEY'S ONE

$$f_8(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{e^{0.2}} \sqrt{x_i^2 + x_{i+1}^2} + 3(\cos(2x_i) + \sin(2x_{i+1})) \quad (8.1)$$

The Ackley's One function has a global optimum of $-7.54276 - 2.91867(n-3)$. Its dimension is 30 with a range of $[-32, 32]^n$.

9 ACKLEY'S TWO

$$f_9(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 20 + e - \frac{20}{e^{0.2\sqrt{\frac{x_i^2+x_{i+1}^2}{2}}}} - e^{0.5(\cos(2\pi x_i) + \cos(2\pi x_{i+1}))} \quad (9.1)$$

The Ackley's Two function has a global optimum of 0. Its dimension is 30 with a range of $[-32, 32]^n$.

10 EGG HOLDER

$$f_{10}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} -x_i \cdot \sin \left(\sqrt{|x_i - x_{i+1} - 47|} \right) - (x_{i+1} + 47) \cdot \sin \left(\sqrt{\left| x_{i+1} + 47 + \frac{x_i}{2} \right|} \right) \quad (10.1)$$

The Egg Holder function does not have a closed-form global optimum. Its dimension is 30 with a range of $[-500, 500]^n$.

11 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS TABLE WITH COMPARED DATA FOR DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION

This section will have all the tables with the statistical analysis done. The first six tables will be the DE algorithm with 10, 20, and 30 dimensions. The parameters that were used in the DE algorithms is as follows; NP: 200, CR: 0.6, F: 0.9; λ : 0.8, Generations: 1000.

Table 11.1: DE Results (10D) — Exponential Crossover (exp)

Problem	best/1				rand/1				rand-to-best/1				best/2			
	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	Time
Schwefel	84.878291	88.537041	114.906533	0.000000	0.000000	114.363967	259.943867	89.149080	109.088500	44.35072	63.530626	114.488867	0.000000	0.000000	114.037767	
1 De Jong	0.000000	0.000000	42.032780	0.000000	0.000000	41.282460	0.000000	0.000000	39.879073	44.059397	0.000000	0.000000	43.545597			
Rosenbrock	0.144250	0.079669	40.777723	0.755233	0.376716	40.353817	1.291997	0.773675	39.664930	5.675573	2.335095	42.888150	8.167709	2.391836	46.901420	
Rastrigin	-10000.000000	0.000000	106.170967	-10000.000000	0.000000	106.879200	-9955.317000	67.623757	106.864300	-10000.000000	0.000000	108.423833	-10000.000000	0.000000	112.700333	
Griewank	0.000000	0.000000	114.709500	0.000001	0.000002	117.850833	0.0108309	0.010465	115.495600	0.001509	0.001229	125.524200	0.014740	0.004810	124.946033	
Sine Envelope Sine Wave	-13.428260	0.010188	102.573867	-13.414773	0.014115	107.112833	-13.429083	0.008737	109.314767	-13.398493	0.020599	104.306867	-13.385890	0.016722	113.563800	
Stretched V Sine Wave	9.117711	0.044723	334.498000	9.124154	0.054943	334.604933	9.101470	0.033743	337.896100	9.188311	0.086537	334.170000	-27.970200	0.000000	167.787167	
Ackley One	-27.570200	0.000000	160.652067	-27.570200	0.000000	161.247900	-27.570200	0.000000	165.274900	-27.570200	0.000000	167.787167	-27.970200	0.000000	167.158167	
Ackley Two	-0.000009	0.000000	300.830735	-0.000009	0.000000	307.295000	-0.000009	0.000000	304.332767	-0.000009	0.000000	305.518400	-0.000009	0.000000	308.417800	
Egg Holder	-8012.662333	278.494942	186.154467	-7891.461667	133.595578	185.057200	-7900.950333	178.988232	188.269533	-7748.819667	207.123698	182.940133	-7243.834667	138.018662	182.182433	

Hardware: Surface Laptop Studio, Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-11370H @ 3.30GHz (4C/8T), 8 GB RAM.

Table 11.2: DE Results (10D) — Binomial Crossover (bin)

Problem	best/1			rand/1			rand-to-best/1			best/2			rand/2		
	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time
Schwefel	545.805600	146.930283	123.111967	20.936080	43.715371	127.542567	612.019600	151.675656	122.354800	570.241933	104.990089	124.312867	177.533373	38.255653	128.148800
1 De Jong	0.000000	0.000000	53.280487	0.000000	0.000000	52.336347	0.000000	0.000000	57.780420	0.000084	57.524423	0.070045	0.023383	55.195033	
Rosenbrock	0.424628	1.215718	58.709307	5.450127	0.434541	53.752170	0.192797	0.553513	52.938170	180.950407	86.508776	54.351517	1098.453467	419.206378	55.962297
Rastrigin	-1000.000000	0.000000	126.709100	-94.20397333	106.482297	124.766467	-6591.700000	245.050887	118.279333	-80.18.766000	371.374465	120.201833	-7320.476333	417.355874	122.590200
Griewank	0.049318	0.035051	132.835600	0.221244	0.038629	132.919633	0.238373	0.041434	0.445108	0.075743	132.725233	0.471784	0.061032	137.230067	
Sine Envelope Sine Wave	-12.592703	0.156963	114.424100	-12.355663	0.174530	11.460500	-12.589917	0.139876	119.573067	-12.020060	0.204839	121.385567	-11.806100	0.168595	118.396233
Stretched V Sine Wave	12.054903	0.990967	353.751553	12.495143	1.247103	351.044667	11.322454	0.874102	353.402567	13.190737	1.571537	348.044200	12.909630	1.493666	352.627233
Ackley One	-27.970200	0.000000	173.935800	-27.970167	0.000048	175.886833	-27.970087	0.000318	173.398633	-22.337407	1.167191	171.584467	-18.394410	1.445738	176.363067
Ackley Two	-0.000009	0.000000	319.291967	-0.000005	0.000002	321.502133	-0.000009	0.000000	314.715667	0.026509	320.567700	4.590135	1.348191	322.563333	
Egg Holder	-7167.661000	390.905575	197.350133	-6820.717333	145.399808	162.898900	-7180.418333	319.420825	192.774667	-6594.162000	167.343347	194.309967	-6272.864667	180.344789	200.934800

Hardware: Surface Laptop Studio; Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-11370H @ 3.30GHz (4C/8T); 8 GB RAM.

Table 11.3: DE Results (20D) — Exponential Crossover (exp)

Problem	best/1			rand/1			rand-to-best/1			best/2			rand/2		
	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time
Schwefel	117.67384	98.823160	189.048567	0.000000	0.000000	192.147467	995.208533	128.749562	182.223067	43.299470	56.194578	190.119233	0.002995	0.000722	199.139667
1 De Jong	0.000000	0.000000	45.309190	0.000000	0.000000	45.522737	0.000000	45.208983	0.000001	47.006957	0.000099	0.000024	51.308500		
Rosenbrock	13.070413	0.914706	45.788600	22.463180	5.432762	47.223577	14.180933	0.813419	46.756180	36.147487	8.728101	47.237463	69.725817	18.957200	50.094857
Rastrigin	-4000.000000	0.000000	183.290933	-4000.000000	0.000000	191.112767	-38362.676667	391.080344	185.538600	-39985.477333	3.824056	184.481367	-39632.726667	86.245259	186.701833
Griewank	0.000000	0.000000	197.682300	0.000344	0.000304	198.366333	0.0002136	198.488567	0.015837	201.450967	0.007739	0.025777	0.009886	202.024833	
Sine Envelope Sine Wave	-27.906597	0.070270	182.523967	-27.800840	0.082628	182.904567	27.915010	0.074988	183.387400	27.628870	0.095811	184.272567	27.944200	0.107058	183.587833
Stretched V Sine Wave	20.818733	0.317145	689.101267	20.894257	0.389169	679.718567	20.362383	0.321727	680.772367	21.9886860	0.523327	681.194400	21.845917	0.608029	675.431667
Ackley One	-57.151500	0.000000	304.470467	-57.151500	0.000000	307.363867	-57.151290	0.000206	303.035900	-57.151273	0.000094	306.070900	-57.147370	0.001084	305.775433
Ackley Two	-0.000018	0.000000	642.653900	-0.000034	0.000025	652.173967	-0.000018	0.000000	644.053733	0.010299	0.001252	650.253900	0.051408	0.006859	669.717667
Egg Holder	-1633.1083333	606.18925	335.782500	-14298.143333	267.255279	334.124833	-14243.490000	381.374099	329.830033	-14000.716667	332.158005	310.07486	-13598.266667	336.194567	

Surface Laptop Studio, Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-11370H @ 3.30GHz (4C/8T), 8GB RAM.

Table 11.4: DE Results (20D) — Binomial Crossover (bin)

Problem	best/1				rand/1				rand-to-best/1				best/2				rand/2			
	Avg	Sd	Time	Avg	Sd	Time	Avg	Sd	Time	Avg	Sd	Time	Avg	Sd	Time	Avg	Sd	Time		
Schwefel	1559.101000	11.9566100	217.420533	1015.512233	75.812117	222.036100	1745.002333	135.557444	216.573933	1525.071667	147.066385	220.036033	1509.686657	82.364439	222.682067	85.810210	83.141580			
1 De Jong	0.000049	0.000018	76.689007	33.690017	6.833049	76.911243	0.000004	0.000002	77.518087	3672.195333	582.435742	81.017853	8034.090000	1273.85615						
Rosenbrock	113.230107	110.341914	78.352783	3867.9966667	11156.110572	11156.110572	67.390407	82.501248	78.148767	10458904.1152860	81.614803	2984.17987.181319	117693023.333333	284.17987.181319						
Rastrigin	-26.14425000	180.288998	214.286133	-13246.2886667	1700.523737	214.288333	1643.372160	212.492467	60087.076667	1214.309510	214.702133	1387.26340000	214.309510	214.309510	214.309510	214.309510	214.309510	214.309510		
Griewank	0.245714	0.100923	232.905467	1.192853	0.039023	229.809733	0.321489	0.072359	233.917900	23.252230	3.238382	236.575233	48.344027	9.422869	238.503167					
Sine Envelope Sine Wave	-22.571733	0.373220	213.457833	-21.489720	0.433173	216.033033	-22.658610	0.283245	214.141467	-19.3739110	0.528664	223.828567	-19.559493	0.456640	220.818633					
Stretched V Sine Wave	58.161417	5.800246	722.794567	88.265807	7.367732	715.1561667	51.790103	3.892572	721.741967	84.510693	5.971855	712.104500	63.519257	7.910557	710.185267					
Ackley One	-46.291847	3.421038	331.773800	-3.387973	4.997260	331.324467	-30.301240	3.435839	337.082700	69.8271623	10.045255	102.929363	13.941718	342.482233						
Ackley Two	0.02645	0.011243	692.147500	69.084193	8.539180	690.979733	0.021255	0.005278	679.703833	238.701167	10.581010	659.508333	257.821267	6.662305	650.636333					
Egg Holder	-1442.195333	366.415733	779.567638	366.501767	-1.1171.083333	330.983390	366.501767	-1.0809.528333	836.688974	361.058067	-10492.889000	563.429120	373.005333	-9817.791667	340.136845	364.014833				

Surface Laptop Studio Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-11370H @ 3.30GHz 16GB RAM
Surface Laptop Studio Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-11370H @ 3.30GHz 16GB RAM.

Table 11.5: DE Results (30D) – Exponential Crossover (exp)

Problem	best/1			rand/1			rand-to-best/1			best/2			rand/2			
	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	
Schweifel	198.012237	145.339141	264.608033	0.0006510	0.000645	271.624167	1794.589000	191.456325	262.846167	62.507689	88.193626	266.959600	3.030755	0.560962	268.781000	
1 De Jong	0.000001	0.000000	54.126827	0.000175	0.000032	51.324660	0.000001	0.000000	57.174393	0.025978	0.004733	53.551093	0.124652	0.020428	55.208007	
Rosenbrock	25.435170	1.271809	51.256430	61.482230	15.303590	52.892253	28.409103	2.269812	55.402203	215.691200	39.925627	53.315797	332.775067	54.349550	57.750533	
Rastrigin	-89.9999296667	0.212511	254.605033	-89.895420000	24.722088	256.129833	-33204.696667	1288.021418	258.070867	-8386.073333	542.377798	257.463433	-8175.431333	910.775643	260.614867	
Griewank	0.000067	0.000103	0.002317	0.004471	279.512133	0.002317	281.367433	0.000773	0.000840	286.616300	0.102657	0.022174	283.353467	0.054058	0.226239	288.637500
Sine Envelope Sine Wave	-41.738547	0.135939	264.622633	-41.554877	0.144165	264.5532467	-41.791620	0.151024	265.457767	40.916533	0.211935	264.014433	40.762607	0.212421	266.662667	
Stretched V Sine Wave	34.572120	1.070407	1021.262667	35.456917	1.274024	1018.978000	34.349820	0.641571	1019.448333	36.076297	1.816879	1016.861700	36.355337	1.325678	1014.541353	
Ackley One	-86.352800	0.000000	442.822267	-86.331420	0.000263	442.446623	-86.206757	0.096634	442.039800	-85.581853	0.163439	439.992033	-82.768267	0.711618	459.674500	
Ackley Two	0.001900	0.000547	969.306933	0.052617	0.006580	972.430833	0.001388	0.000369	972.360667	1.22573	0.134634	982.498067	3.472967	0.456675	985.304533	
Egg Holder	-21.961.433333	833.117048	512.592467	-20240.733333	275.388336	479.6696233	-20057.946667	411.490611	482.749933	-1951.6923333	384.904776	482.297633	-19141.003333	221.219028	491.449500	

Surface Laptop Studio Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-13700H @ 3.30GHz (4C/8T, 8GB RAM)
Surface Laptop Studio Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-13700H @ 3.30GHz (4C/8T, 8GB RAM).

Table 11.6: DE Results (30D) — Binomial Crossover (bin)

Problem	best/1			rand/1			rand-to-best/1			best/2			rand/2		
	Avg	Sd	Time	Avg	Sd	Time	Avg	Sd	Time	Avg	Sd	Time	Avg	Sd	Time
Schwefel	2667.475333	163.181098	310.081500	2345.015667	93.460599	312.688700	216.157667	313.608067	149.022913	312.596000	313.540333	108.856021	312.596000	316.699533	111.838667
11De Jong	17.458822	4.746333	103.082383	69.40385000	825.473366	102.479500	4.501289	1.379720	103.933967	321.76530000	288.488502	107.446500	3954.192000	4367.239853	115.986667
Rosenbrock	42448.366667	20826.78030	101.257350	147781.400.000000	349881.981.0368	106.067667	100350.486667	37665.447767	106.551633	1051.178333.353334	236422.685.84392	108.991067	2768084773.154132	315.082133	312.596000
Rastrigin	-24258.443333	4699.472.69	301.423800	18671.7.33333	233789.70815	30.5.13033	-24104.423333	4198.478437	307.463067	830011.900000	107656.900000	312.456260	1069782.700000	85323.048132	115.986667
Griewank	-1.111222	0.034857	329.289000	44.401183	5.437765	0.52181	1.022024	0.013789	3.38.564233	197.546600	21.232588	336.608067	253.1418267	30.314848	31.616000
Sine Envelope Sine Wave	-30.882677	0.693114	317.428000	-28.957437	0.52181	31.3.09333	-31.220483	0.583845	312.760500	0.243554	-27.216820	186.986600	-26.855440	0.494131	319.089933
Stretched V Sine Wave	131.241967	11.889544	1098.638667	161.444567	9.632216	1122.1540667	122.827027	9.583841	115.6.502667	186.986600	12.962101	197.936380	189.084000	112.940000	112.940000
Ackley One	0.500468	7.605167	15.9346700	136.607633	12.563390	486.60698100	1.612336	7.62.1847	515.573300	287.926933	19.518629	522.0552800	3.19.584657	21.117394	530.115433
Ackley Two	69.927307	80.31919	1038.395723	304.751833	12.407490	977.753267	71.732.422	8.012776	103.1.965800	45.4.296557	96.71.404670	463.14.120	95.564233	7.308901	95.564233
Egg Flinger	-168.11.106667	1965.01.966667	527.3671100	-138357.793333	562.010736	535.636000433	-13805.5053533	535.636000433	-12367.15.06667	1045.0369468	531.1453533	-11657.756667	312.16779	535.636000433	535.636000433

Surface Laptop Studio Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-1175G7 3.19GHz 16GB RAM

12 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS TABLE WITH COMPARED DATA FOR PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION

This section will have all the tables with the statistical analysis done. The next table will be the PSO algorithm with 10, 20, and 30 dimensions. The parameters that were used in the DE algorithms is as follows; Particles: 200, C1: 0.8, c2: 1.2; Generations: 1000.

Table 12.1: PSO Results

Problem	PSO (10 dim)			PSO (20 dim)			PSO (30 dim)		
	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time	Avg	Std	Time
Schwefel	1420.376633	332.119176	100.365283	3668.676000	579.099173	205.141267	6106.039667	637.772365	302.710567
1 De Jong	2.151941	0.759571	21.151517	18.666997	3.924727	44.697733	54.045020	7.812727	68.236363
Rosenbrock	501.080867	600.130753	22.705567	7884.932667	3549.490851	47.619643	32110.943333	8626.070355	69.577963
Rastrigin	-7626.263667	404.590902	90.901200	-19741.136667	2009.059494	189.618367	-31170.560000	4366.750131	269.519000
Griewank	0.652737	0.084325	104.961130	1.116102	0.023121	195.667400	1.321960	0.055864	294.504700
Sine Envelope Sine Wave	-12.628237	0.187340	87.306470	-24.506897	0.396832	176.993933	-35.637493	0.529908	279.221500
Stretched V Sine Wave	9.891132	0.567594	345.426933	27.500687	4.671131	710.152833	44.624540	7.216189	1147.427667
Ackley One	-20.440420	3.240382	142.842600	-26.560047	6.194209	288.626400	-19.622420	9.468028	445.006100
Ackley Two	11.023021	2.401941	310.091333	45.929537	4.663120	640.477367	88.804490	7.560613	999.317967
Egg Holder	-4321.076333	549.046475	159.506167	-7625.519333	1053.195282	341.742467	-10399.281000	1193.533297	540.459133
Surface Laptop Studio	11th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-11370H @ 3.30GHz (4C/8T) 8 GB RAM								

13 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS TABLE WITH COMPARED DATA FOR DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION VERSUS PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION

This section presents the statistical comparison between Differential Evolution (DE) and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO). For each benchmark function and each dimension (10, 20, and 30), the best-performing DE strategy is compared against PSO using a paired t-test across 30 runs. The tables report the DE result, PSO result, t-value, p-value, and whether the difference is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level ($p < 0.05$).

The DE parameters used were: $NP = 200$, $CR = 0.6$, $F = 0.9$, $\lambda = 0.8$, and generations = 1000. The PSO parameters used were: particles = 200, $c_1 = 0.8$, $c_2 = 1.2$, and generations = 1000.

Table 13.1: Analysis for 10 dimensions

Problem	DE	PSO	t-value	p-value	$p < 0.05$
Schwefel	0.000000	1420.376633	-23.424493	0.000000	Yes
1 De Jong	0.000000	2.151941	-15.517532	0.000000	Yes
Rosenbrock	0.144250	501.080867	-4.571915	0.000083	Yes
Rastrigin	-10000.000000	-7626.263667	-32.134903	0.000000	Yes
Griewank	0.000000	0.652737	-42.397797	0.000000	Yes
Sine Envelope Sine Wave	-13.429083	-12.628237	-23.297378	0.000000	Yes
Stretched V Sine Wave	9.101470	9.891132	-7.633910	0.000000	Yes
Ackley One	-27.970200	-20.440420	-12.727605	0.000000	Yes
Ackley Two	-0.000009	11.023021	-25.136183	0.000000	Yes
Egg Holder	-8012.662333	-4321.076333	-29.239535	0.000000	Yes

¹ Surface Laptop Studio

² Processor 11th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-11370H @ 3.30GHz, 3302 Mhz, 4 Core(s), 8 Logical Processor(s)

³ Total Ram: 2147483648 per channel 8 total

Table 13.2: Analysis for 20 dimensions

Problem	DE	PSO	<i>t</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> < 0.05
Schwefel	0.000000	3668.676000	-34.699007	0.000000	Yes
1 De Jong	0.000000	18.666997	-26.051072	0.000000	Yes
Rosenbrock	13.070413	7884.932667	-12.147191	0.000000	Yes
Rastrigin	-40000.000000	-19741.136667	-55.231000	0.000000	Yes
Griewank	0.000000	1.116102	-264.402471	0.000000	Yes
Sine Envelope Sine Wave	-27.915010	-24.506897	-47.433864	0.000000	Yes
Stretched V Sine Wave	20.362393	27.500687	-8.324078	0.000000	Yes
Ackley One	-57.151500	-26.560047	-27.050475	0.000000	Yes
Ackley Two	-0.000018	45.929537	-53.948117	0.000000	Yes
Egg Holder	-16331.083333	-7625.519333	-47.555432	0.000000	Yes

¹ Surface Laptop Studio
² Processor 11th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-11370H @ 3.30GHz, 3302 Mhz, 4 Core(s), 8 Logical Processor(s)
³ Total Ram: 2147483648 per channel 8 total

Table 13.3: Analysis for 30 dimensions

Problem	DE	PSO	<i>t</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> < 0.05
Schwefel	0.006510	6106.039667	-52.438967	0.000000	Yes
1 De Jong	0.000001	54.045020	-37.889043	0.000000	Yes
Rosenbrock	25.435170	32110.943333	-20.373299	0.000000	Yes
Rastrigin	-89999.296667	-31170.560000	-73.789411	0.000000	Yes
Griewank	0.000067	1.321960	-129.607395	0.000000	Yes
Sine Envelope Sine Wave	-41.791620	-35.637493	-56.121449	0.000000	Yes
Stretched V Sine Wave	34.349820	44.624540	-7.671894	0.000000	Yes
Ackley One	-86.332800	-19.622420	-38.591753	0.000000	Yes
Ackley Two	0.003188	88.804490	-64.332475	0.000000	Yes
Egg Holder	-21961.433333	-10399.281000	-44.846334	0.000000	Yes

Surface Laptop Studio
11th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-11370H @ 3.30GHz, 4 Cores, 8 Logical Processors
8 GB RAM

14 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, after comparing DE and PSO across all benchmark functions and dimensions, and evaluating the paired t-test results (*t*-value and *p*-value), PSO showed stronger overall performance. In most problem instances, PSO achieved better average fitness values, indicating more consistent convergence toward the global optimum. The significance results (*p* < 0.05 in many cases) also support that these differences are not due to random variation alone. While DE remained competitive on selected functions, the overall trend across the full

test set indicates that PSO provided the more reliable and effective optimization performance for this project setup.