

# Project 3

Dr. Donald Davendra  
CS471 - Optimization

February 8, 2026

## 1 Introduction

The third project introduces two widely used meta-heuristics. These are Differential Evolution Algorithm (DE) and Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm (PSO).

These algorithms appeared in the mid 1990's and have been extensively researched for the past 20 years or so and form the backbone of many optimization toolkits used in industry.

This project requires you to code the two algorithms in a common framework and conduct experiments on the unimodel and multimodel problems. The algorithms are described in the following sections.

## 2 Differential Evolution Algorithm

Whether in industry or in research, users generally demand that a practical optimization technique should fulfil three requirements:

1. the method should find the true global minimum, regardless of the initial system parameter values;
2. convergence should be fast; and
3. the program should have a minimum of control parameters so that it will be easy to use.

Prof. Price invented the differential evolution (DE) algorithm in a search for a technique that would meet the above criteria. DE is a method, which is not only astonishingly simple, but also performs extremely well on a wide variety of test problems. It is inherently parallel because it is a population based approach and hence lends itself to computation via a network of computers or processors. The basic strategy employs the difference of two randomly selected parameter vectors as the source of random variations for a third parameter vector.

The parameters used in DE are  $\mathfrak{F}$  = cost or the value of the objective function,  $D$  = problem dimension,  $NP$  = population size,  $P$  = population of  $X$ -vectors,  $G$  = generation number,  $Gmax$  = maximum generation number,  $X$  = vector composed of  $D$  parameters,  $V$  = trial vector composed of  $D$  parameters,  $CR$  = crossover factor. Others are  $F$  = scaling

factor ( $0 < F \leq 1.2$ ), ( $U$ ) = upper bound, ( $L$ ) = lower bound,  $\mathbf{u}$ , and  $\mathbf{v}$  = trial vectors,  $x_{best}^{(G)}$  = vector with minimum cost in generation  $G$ ,  $x_i^{(G)}$  =  $i$ th vector in generation  $G$ ,  $b_i^{(G)}$  =  $i$ th buffer vector in generation  $G$ ,  $x_{r1}^{(G)}$ ,  $x_{r2}^{(G)}$  = randomly selected vector,  $L$  = random integer ( $0 < L < D - 1$ ). In the formulation,  $N$  = number of cities. Some integers used are  $i, j$ .

Differential Evolution (DE) is a novel parallel direct search method, which utilizes NP parameter vectors

$$x_i^{(G)}, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, NP - 1 \quad (1)$$

as a population for each generation,  $G$ . The population size,  $NP$  does not change during the minimization process. The initial population is generated randomly assuming a uniform probability distribution for all random decisions if there is no initial intelligent information for the system. The crucial idea behind DE is a new scheme for generating trial parameter vectors. DE generates new parameter vectors by adding the weighted difference vector between two population members to a third member. If the resulting vector yields a lower objective function value than a predetermined population member, the newly generated vector replaces the vector with which it was compared. The comparison vector can, but need not be part of the generation process mentioned above. In addition the best parameter vector  $x_{best}^{(G)}$ , is evaluated for every generation  $G$  in order to keep track of the progress that is made during the minimization process. Extracting distance and direction information from the population to generate random deviations result in an adaptive scheme with excellent convergence properties.

Descriptions for the earlier two most promising variants of DE (later known as DE2 and DE3) are presented in order to clarify how the search technique works, then a complete list of the variants to date are given thereafter.

## 2.1 Scheme of DE

### 2.1.1 Initialization

As with all evolutionary optimization algorithms, DE works with a population of solutions, not with a single solution for the optimization problem. Population  $P$  of generation  $G$  contains  $NP$  solution vectors called individuals of the population and each vector represents potential solution for the optimization problem:

$$P^{(G)} = X_i^{(G)} \quad i = 1, \dots, NP; \quad G = 1, \dots, G_{\max} \quad (2)$$

Additionally, each vector contains  $D$  parameters:

$$X_i^{(G)} = x_{j,i}^{(G)} \quad i = 1, \dots, NP; \quad j = 1, \dots, D \quad (3)$$

In order to establish a starting point for optimum seeking, the population must be initialized. Often there is no more knowledge available about the location of a global optimum than the boundaries of the problem variables. In this case, a natural way to initialize the population  $P^{(0)}$  (initial population) is to seed it with random values within the given boundary constraints:

$$P^{(0)} = x_{j,i}^{(0)} = x_j^{(L)} + rand_j[0, 1] \cdot (x_j^{(U)} - x_j^{(L)}) \quad \forall i \in [1, NP]; \quad \forall j \in [1, D] \quad (4)$$

where  $rand_j[0, 1]$  represents a uniformly distributed random value that ranges from zero to one. The lower and upper boundary constraints are,  $X^{(L)}$  and  $X^{(U)}$ , respectively:

$$x_j^{(L)} \leq x_j \leq x_j^{(U)} \quad \forall j \in [1, D] \quad (5)$$

For this scheme and other schemes, three operators are crucial: mutation, crossover and selection. These are now briefly discussed.

### 2.1.2 Mutation

The first variant of DE works as follows: for each vector  $x_i^{(G)}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, NP - 1$ , a trial vector  $v$  is generated according to

$$v_{j,i}^{(G+1)} = x_{j,r1}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{j,r2}^{(G)} - x_{j,r3}^{(G)}) \quad (6)$$

where  $i \in [1, NP]$ ;  $j \in [1, D]$ ,  $F > 0$ , and the integers  $r1, r2, r3 \in [1, NP]$  are generated randomly selected, except:  $r1 \neq r2 \neq r3 \neq i$ .

Three randomly chosen indexes,  $r1, r2$ , and  $r3$  refer to three randomly chosen vectors of population. They are mutually different from each other and also different from the running index  $i$ . New random values for  $r1, r2$ , and  $r3$  are assigned for each value of index  $i$  (for each vector). A new value for the random number  $rand[0, 1]$  is assigned for each value of index  $j$  (for each vector parameter).  $F$  is a real and constant factor, which controls the amplification of the differential variation.

### 2.1.3 Crossover

In order to increase the diversity of the parameter vectors, the vector

$$u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_D)^T \quad (7)$$

$$u_j^{(G)} = \begin{cases} v_j^{(G)} & \text{for } j = \langle n \rangle_D, \langle n+1 \rangle_D, \dots, \langle n+L-1 \rangle_D \\ (x_i^{(G)})_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

is formed where the acute brackets  $\langle \rangle_D$  denote the modulo function with modulus  $D$ . This means that a certain sequence of the vector elements of  $u$  are identical to the elements of  $v$ , the other elements of  $u$  acquire the original values of  $x_i^{(G)}$ . Choosing a subgroup of parameters for mutation is similar to a process known as crossover in genetic algorithm. The integer  $L$  is drawn from the interval  $[0, D-1]$  with the probability  $\Pr(L = v) = (CR)^v$ .  $CR \in [0, 1]$  is the crossover probability and constitutes a control variable. The random decisions for both  $n$  and  $L$  are made anew for each trial vector  $v$ .

Table 1: Differential Evolution Strategies

| Strategy                           | Formulation  |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Strategy 1: DE/best/1/exp:         | $v = x_{best}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{r2}^{(G)} - x_{r3}^{(G)})$   |
| Strategy 2: DE/rand/1/exp:         | $v = x_{r1}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{r2}^{(G)} - x_{r3}^{(G)})$   |
| Strategy 3: DE/rand-to-best/1/exp  | $v = x_i^{(G)} + \lambda \cdot (x_{best}^{(G)} - x_i^{(G)}) + F \cdot (x_{r1}^{(G)} - x_{r2}^{(G)})$ |
| Strategy 4: DE/best/2/exp:         | $v = x_{best}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{r1}^{(G)} + x_{r2}^{(G)} - x_{r3}^{(G)} - x_{r4}^{(G)})$           |
| Strategy 5: DE/rand/2/exp:         | $v = x_{r5}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{r1}^{(G)} + x_{r2}^{(G)} - x_{r3}^{(G)} - x_{r4}^{(G)})$             |
| Strategy 6: DE/best/1/bin:         | $v = x_{best}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{r2}^{(G)} - x_{r3}^{(G)})$   |
| Strategy 7: DE/rand/1/bin:         | $v = x_{r1}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{r2}^{(G)} - x_{r3}^{(G)})$   |
| Strategy 8: DE/rand-to-best/1/bin: | $v = x_i^{(G)} + \lambda \cdot (x_{best}^{(G)} - x_i^{(G)}) + F \cdot (x_{r1}^{(G)} - x_{r2}^{(G)})$ |
| Strategy 9: DE/best/2/bin          | $v = x_{best}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{r1}^{(G)} + x_{r2}^{(G)} - x_{r3}^{(G)} - x_{r4}^{(G)})$           |
| Strategy 10: DE/rand/2/bin:        | $v = x_{r5}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{r1}^{(G)} + x_{r2}^{(G)} - x_{r3}^{(G)} - x_{r4}^{(G)})$             |

#### 2.1.4 Selection

In order to decide whether the new vector  $u$  shall become a population member of generation  $G+1$ , it will be compared to  $x_i^{(G)}$ . If vector  $u$  yields a smaller objective function value than  $x_i^{(G)}$ ,  $x_i^{(G+1)}$  is set to  $u$ , otherwise the old value  $x_i^{(G)}$  is retained.

## 2.2 DE Strategies

The originators have suggested ten different working strategies of DE and some guidelines in applying these strategies for any given problem (see Table1). Different strategies can be adopted in the DE algorithm depending upon the type of problem for which it is applied. The strategies can vary based on the vector to be perturbed, number of difference vectors considered for perturbation, and finally the type of crossover used.

The general convention used above is as follows: DE/x/y/z. DE stands for differential evolution algorithm,  $x$  represents a string denoting the vector to be perturbed,  $y$  is the number of difference vectors considered for perturbation of  $x$ , and  $z$  is the type of crossover being used. Other notations are exp: exponential; bin: binomial). Thus, the working algorithm is the seventh strategy of DE, that is, DE/rand/1/bin. Hence the perturbation can be either in the best vector of the previous generation or in any randomly chosen vector. Similarly for perturbation, either single or two vector differences can be used. For perturbation with a single vector difference, out of the three distinct randomly chosen vectors, the weighted vector differential of any two vectors is added to the third one. Similarly for perturbation with two vector differences, five distinct vectors other than the target vector are chosen randomly from the current population. Out of these, the weighted vector difference of each pair of any four vectors is added to the fifth one for perturbation.

In **exponential** crossover, the crossover is performed on the  $D$  (the dimension or number of variables to be optimized) variables in one loop until it is within the  $CR$  bound. For discrete optimization problems, the first time a randomly picked number between 0 and 1 goes beyond the  $CR$  value, no crossover is performed and the remaining  $D$  variables are left intact.

In **binomial** crossover, the crossover is performed on each of the  $D$  variables whenever a randomly picked number between 0 and 1 is within the  $CR$  value. Hence, the exponential and binomial crossovers yield similar results.

The outline for the DE algorithm is given in Figure 1.

1. Input :  $D, G_{\max}, NP \geq 4, F \in (0, 1+), CR \in [0, 1]$ , and initial bounds :  $\vec{x}^{(L)}, \vec{x}^{(U)}$ .

2. Initialize :  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall i \leq NP \wedge \forall j \leq D : x_{i,j}^{G=0} = x_j^{(L)} + rand_j[0, 1] \cdot (x_j^{(U)} - x_j^{(L)}) \\ i = \{1, 2, \dots, NP\}, j = \{1, 2, \dots, D\}, G = 0, rand_j[0, 1] \in [0, 1] \end{array} \right.$

3. While  $G < G_{\max}$

4. Mutate and recombine :

4.1  $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \{1, 2, \dots, NP\}$ , randomly selected, except :  $r_1 \neq r_2 \neq r_3 \neq i$

4.2  $j_{rand} \in \{1, 2, \dots, D\}$ , randomly selected once each  $i$

4.3  $\forall j \leq D, u_{j,i}^{(G+1)} = \begin{cases} x_{j,r_3}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{j,r_1}^{(G)} - x_{j,r_2}^{(G)}) & \text{if } (rand_j[0, 1] < CR \vee j = j_{rand}) \\ x_{j,i}^{(G)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

5. Select

$\vec{x}_i^{(G+1)} = \begin{cases} \vec{u}_i^{(G+1)} & \text{if } f(\vec{u}_i^{(G+1)}) \leq f(\vec{x}_i^{(G)}) \\ \vec{x}_i^{(G)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$G = G + 1$

Figure 1: Differential Evolution Algorithm

The following parameters are recommended for DE as given in Table 2.

Table 2: DE parameters

| Parameters  | Values |
|-------------|--------|
| NP          | 200    |
| CR          | 0.6    |
| F           | 0.9    |
| $\lambda$   | 0.8    |
| Generations | 100    |

### 3 Particle Swarm Optimization

Inspired by the flocking and schooling patterns of birds and fish, Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) was invented by Russell Eberhart and James Kennedy in 1995. Originally, these two started out developing computer software simulations of birds flocking around food sources, then later realized how well their algorithms worked on optimization problems.

Particle Swarm Optimization might sound complicated, but it's really a very simple algorithm. Over a number of iterations, a group of variables have their values adjusted closer to the member whose value is closest to the target at any given moment. It's an algorithm that's simple and easy to implement.

The algorithm keeps track of three global variables:

- Target value or condition
- Global best (*gBest*) value indicating which particle's data is currently closest to the Target
- Stopping value indicating when the algorithm should stop if the Target isn't found

Each particle consists of:

- Data representing a possible solution
- A Velocity value indicating how much the Data can be changed
- A personal best (*pBest*) value indicating the closest the particle's Data has ever come to the Target

The particles' data could be anything. In the flocking birds example above, the data would be the  $X$ ,  $Y$ ,  $Z$  coordinates of each bird. The individual coordinates of each bird would try to move closer to the coordinates of the bird which is closer to the food's coordinates (*gBest*). If the data is a pattern or sequence, then individual pieces of the data would be manipulated until the pattern matches the target pattern.

The velocity value is calculated according to how far an individual's data is from the target. The further it is, the larger the velocity value. In the birds example, the individuals furthest from the food would make an effort to keep up with the others by flying faster toward the *gBest* bird. If the data is a pattern or sequence, the velocity would describe how different the pattern is from the target, and thus, how much it needs to be changed to match the target.

Each particle's *pBest* value only indicates the closest the data has ever come to the target since the algorithm started.

The *gBest* value only changes when any particle's *pBest* value comes closer to the target than *gBest*. Through each iteration of the algorithm, *gBest* gradually moves closer and closer to the target until one of the particles reaches the target.

It's also common to see PSO algorithms using population topologies, or "neighborhoods", which can be smaller, localized subsets of the global best value. These neighborhoods can involve two or more particles which are predetermined to act together, or subsets of the

search space that particles happen into during testing. The use of neighborhoods often help the algorithm to avoid getting stuck in local minima.

The following parameters are recommended for PSO as given in Table 3.

Table 3: PSO parameters

| Parameters  | Values |
|-------------|--------|
| Particles   | 200    |
| $C_1$       | 0.8    |
| $C_2$       | 1.2    |
| Generations | 100    |

The general outline of the PSO algorithm is given in the following pseudocode.

```

input : Iterations: maximum number of iterations
        Particles: number of particles  $p_i$ 
        gBest: the best solution in the population
        pBest: the best solution found by specific particle
        Bounds: Problem bounds ( $U$  - upper bound,  $L$  - lower bound)
output: gBest: best particle found

1 for  $i = 1, \dots, \text{Particles}$  do
2   /* generate particles randomly */
3    $p_i = L + \text{rand}[0,1](U-L)$ 
4   /* calculate particles velocity */
5    $v_i = \text{random}(0, 0.5 \cdot (U - L))$ 
6   /* calculate particles fitness */
7    $u_i = f(p_i)$ 
8   /* set pBest for each particle */
9    $pBest_i = u_i$ 
10 end for
11 /* set gBest from all particles */
12  $gBest = \min(pBest)$ 
13 for  $t = 1, \dots, \text{Iterations}$  do
14   for  $j = 1, \dots, \text{Particles}$  do
15     for  $k = 1, \dots, \text{Elements}$  do
16       /* calculate new velocity  $v_{j,k}$  of each particle element  $p_{j,k}$  */
17        $v_{j,k}^{(t+1)} =$ 
18          $v_{j,k}^{(t)} + c_1 \cdot \text{rand} \cdot (pBest_{j,k}^{(t)} - p_{j,k}^{(t)}) + c_2 \cdot \text{rand} \cdot (gBest_k^{(t)} - p_{j,k}^{(t)})$ 
19       /* update particle  $p_j$  */
20        $p_{j,k}^{(t+1)} = p_{j,k}^{(t)} + v_{j,k}^{(t+1)}$ 
21     end for
22     /* calculate particles fitness  $u_j$  */
23      $u_j = f(p_j)$ 
24     /* check if the particle velocity has improved */
25     if  $u_j < pBest_j$  then
26       /* update pBest of particle */
27        $pBest_j = u_j$ 
28     end if
29     /* check if gBest has improved */
30     if  $pBest_j < gBest$  then
31       /* update gBest */
32        $gBest = pBest_j$ 
33     end if
34   end for
35 end for

```

**Algorithm 1:** Particle Swarm Optimization



## 4 Experimentation

The student is required to code the two algorithms in the language of their choice (Java or C/C++). Ideally, both algorithms should share same auxiliary structures, such as population generation, memory management, problems definitions etc. There should be an if/else switch to select the algorithm in the code.

The experimentation parameters is given in Table 4.

Table 4: Experiment parameters

| Parameters       | Values     |
|------------------|------------|
| Experimentations | 30         |
| Population size  | 200        |
| Generations      | 100        |
| Dimensions       | 10, 20, 30 |

### 4.1 DE Experimentation

For the DE experimentation, all ten strategies are required to be coded. For each DE strategy, all ten problems need to be solved for the three dimensions of 10, 20 and 30. Each experimentation needs to be repeated 30 times. Therefore, a total of 10 (DE strategies) x 10 (test problems) x 3 (dimensions) x 30 (experiments per instance) = 9000 experiments.

Only the summarized results for the 30 experiments per problem instance is required to be reported. The analysis should have the **average** (Avg), **standard deviation** (Std) and **time** (ms). The table should be designed as to compare the 10 strategies against the problem for each dimension. That implies that the first results table will have the results of the 10 dimension for all ten DE strategies as the column headings and the different problems as the rows as given in Table 5. You are required to highlight (bold) the best average results for each problem obtained by the DE strategies. Likewise, you need to also report the results for 20 and 30 dimensions in separate tables.

Table 5: DE results for 10 dimension

| Problem    | DE/best/1/exp |     |      | DE/rand/1/exp |     |      | ... | DE/rand/2/bin |     |      |
|------------|---------------|-----|------|---------------|-----|------|-----|---------------|-----|------|
|            | Avg           | Std | Time | Avg           | Std | Time |     | Avg           | Std | Time |
| Schwefel   |               |     |      |               |     |      |     |               |     |      |
| 1 De Jong  |               |     |      |               |     |      |     |               |     |      |
| Rosenbrock |               |     |      |               |     |      |     |               |     |      |
| ...        |               |     |      |               |     |      |     |               |     |      |
| Egg Holder |               |     |      |               |     |      |     |               |     |      |

## 4.2 PSO Experimentation

For the PSO experimentation, only the basic PSO is required to be coded. Therefore, a total of 10 (test problems) x 3 (dimensions) x 30 (experiments per instance) = 900 experiments. The results can be easily displayed as given in Table 6.

Table 6: PSO results

| Problem    | PSO (10 dim) |     |      | PSO (20 dim) |     |      | PSO (30 dim) |     |      |
|------------|--------------|-----|------|--------------|-----|------|--------------|-----|------|
|            | Avg          | Std | Time | Avg          | Std | Time | Avg          | Std | Time |
| Schewfel   |              |     |      |              |     |      |              |     |      |
| 1 De Jong  |              |     |      |              |     |      |              |     |      |
| Rosenbrock |              |     |      |              |     |      |              |     |      |
| ...        |              |     |      |              |     |      |              |     |      |
| Egg Holder |              |     |      |              |     |      |              |     |      |

## 5 Analysis

The detailed analysis is required between the DE and PSO algorithms. A separate table needs to be generated which contains the best performing algorithm for each problem instance (eg. DE or PSO) for each dimension. A further significance test should be done between the best performing DE strategy and the PSO algorithm for each problem instance.

For example, assume that DE/rand/1/bin was the best DE strategy for the Schewfel function. As you remember, 30 experiments were required to be conducted for this problem. Therefore, you need to take those 30 results and conduct a **paired t-test** against the 30 results obtained from the PSO algorithm for that problem instance. The **p** and **t** values needs to be reported alongside the conclusion if they are significantly different at 95% confidence interval ( $p < 0.05$ ) (yes/no) as given in Table 7. Likewise you need to also analyze the results for 20 and 30 dimensions in separate tables.

Table 7: Analysis for 10 dimensions

| Problem    | DE | PSO | t - value | p - value | p < 0.05 |
|------------|----|-----|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Schewfel   |    |     |           |           |          |
| 1 De Jong  |    |     |           |           |          |
| Rosenbrock |    |     |           |           |          |
| ...        |    |     |           |           |          |
| Egg Holder |    |     |           |           |          |

# Submission

The student must submit the following separate files to canvas:

1. a detailed README
2. source codes for the problems
3. A Doxygen generated PDF
4. a  $\text{\LaTeX}$  typeset report on the results and its analysis
5. all raw results in .CSV format in a folder

The report must contain an introduction to the different algorithms, the experimentation results in tabular format and condensed results with statistical analysis as previously described. The report must also have an appropriate conclusion.

The files must be submitted through Canvas before **5pm Feb 20, 2025**.