Smart Contract Security Audit Report

Table Of Contents

| 1 Executive Summary | 2 |
|--|---|
| 2 Audit Methodology | 3 |
| 3 Project Overview | 4 |
| 3.1 Project Introduction | 4 |
| 3.2 Vulnerability Information | 4 |
| 4 Code Overview | 5 |
| 4.1 Contracts Description | 5 |
| 4.2 Vulnerability Summary | 5 |
| N1 [Suggestion] Missing zero address check | 5 |
| 5 Statement | 6 |

1 Executive Summary

On 2024.04.24, the security team received the souland team's security audit application, developed the audit plan according to the agreement of both parties and the characteristics of the project, and finally issued the security audit report.

The security team adopts the strategy of "white box lead, black, grey box assists" to conduct a complete security test on the project in the way closest to the real attack.

| Test method | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| Black box testing | Conduct security tests from an attacker's perspective externally. |
| Grey box testing | Conduct security testing on code modules through the scripting tool, observing the internal running status, mining weaknesses. |
| White box testing | Based on the open source code, non-open source code, to detect whether there are vulnerabilities in programs such as nodes, SDK, etc. |

The vulnerability severity level information:

| Level | Description |
|------------|--|
| Critical | Critical severity vulnerabilities will have a significant impact on the security of the DeFi project, and it is strongly recommended to fix the critical vulnerabilities. |
| High | High severity vulnerabilities will affect the normal operation of the DeFi project. It is strongly recommended to fix high-risk vulnerabilities. |
| Medium | Medium severity vulnerability will affect the operation of the DeFi project. It is recommended to fix medium-risk vulnerabilities. |
| Low | Low severity vulnerabilities may affect the operation of the DeFi project in certain scenarios. It is suggested that the project team should evaluate and consider whether these vulnerabilities need to be fixed. |
| Weakness | There are safety risks theoretically, but it is extremely difficult to reproduce in engineering. |
| Suggestion | There are better practices for coding or architecture. |

2 Audit Methodology

The security audit process of the security team for smart contract includes two steps:

- Smart contract codes are scanned/tested for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities using automated analysis tools.
- Manual audit of the codes for security issues. The contracts are manually analyzed to look for any potential problems.

Following is the list of commonly known vulnerabilities that was considered during the audit of the smart contract:

| Serial Number | Audit Class | Audit Subclass |
|---------------|--------------------------|---|
| 1 | Overflow Audit | - |
| 2 | Reentrancy Attack Audit | - |
| 3 | Replay Attack Audit | - |
| 4 | Flashloan Attack Audit - | |
| 5 | Race Conditions Audit | Reordering Attack Audit |
| 6 | Permission Vulnerability | Access Control Audit |
| | Audit | Excessive Authority Audit |
| 7 | Security Design Audit | External Module Safe Use Audit |
| | | Compiler Version Security Audit |
| | | Hard-coded Address Security Audit |
| | | Fallback Function Safe Use Audit |
| | | Show Coding Security Audit |
| | | Function Return Value Security Audit |
| | | External Call Function Security Audit |
| | | Block data Dependence Security Audit |
| | | tx.origin Authentication Security Audit |
| 8 | Denial of Service Audit | - |
| 9 | Gas Optimization Audit | - |
| 10 | Design Logic Audit | - |

| 11 | Variable Coverage Vulnerability Audit | - |
|----|--|---|
| 12 | "False Top-up" Vulnerability Audit | - |
| 13 | Scoping and Declarations Audit | - |
| 14 | Malicious Event Log Audit | - |
| 15 | Arithmetic Accuracy Deviation Audit | - |
| 16 | Uninitialized Storage Pointer Audit | - |

3 Project Overview

3.1 Project Introduction

checkin for souland.

3.2 Vulnerability Information

The following is the status of the vulnerabilities found in this audit, during the audit work we found 1 suggestion vulnerability.

| No | Title | Category | Level | Status |
|----|----------------------------|----------|------------|--------|
| 1 | Missing zero address check | QA | Suggestion | |

4 Code Overview

4.1 Contracts Description

Codebase:

https://github.com/deFang/souland_contract/commit/e718326014a9fd5f7a90f5251968e7738 0f65206

File: souland_contract-main.zip

sha256: c27717df4c61ff1973c80412bee6263e94b9ac477c1852f19bd96fac47cc6fb0

4.2 Vulnerability Summary

N1 [Suggestion] Missing zero address check

Category: QA

Content:

souland contract-main/contracts/checkin/Checkin.sol.sol#L10-13

```
function setImplementation(address newImplementation) external _onlyAdmin_ {
   implementation = newImplementation;
   emit NewImplementation(newImplementation);
}
```

souland_contract-main/contracts/utils/Admin.sol#31-34

```
function setAdmin(address newAdmin) external _onlyAdmin_ {
   admin = newAdmin;
   emit NewAdmin(newAdmin);
}
```

Solution:

The require function checks if newImplementation is the zero/EOA's address and provides an error message if this condition is true. This ensures that only valid, non-zero addresses can be set as the new implementation address.

```
function setImplementation(address newImplementation) external _onlyAdmin_ {
    require(newImplementation.code.length > 0);
    implementation = newImplementation;
    emit NewImplementation(newImplementation);
}
```

```
function setAdmin(address newAdmin) external _onlyAdmin_ {
    require(newAdmin != address(0));
    admin = newAdmin;
    emit NewAdmin(newAdmin);
}
```

5 Statement

The security team issues this report with reference to the facts that have occurred or existed before the issuance of this report, and only assumes corresponding responsibility based on these.

For the facts that occurred or existed after the issuance, the security team is not able to judge the security status of this project, and is not responsible for them. The security audit analysis and other contents of this report are based on the documents and materials provided to the security team by the information provider till the date of the insurance report (referred to as "provided information"). The security team assumes: The information provided is not missing, tampered with, deleted or concealed. If the information provided is missing, tampered with, deleted, concealed, or inconsistent with the actual situation, the security team shall not be liable for any loss or adverse effect resulting therefrom. The security team only conducts the agreed security audit on the security situation of the project and issues this report. The security team is not responsible for the background and other conditions of the project.