#### ACID

- ATOMICITY: An atomic transaction happens as one unit, either the whole thing commits or none of it does.
- CONSISTENCY: A consistent transaction brings the DB from one valid state to another valid state with respect to any constraints.
- ISOLATION: Concurrent isolated transactions would have the same result if run sequentially.
- DURABILITY: A committed transaction will remain committed even in the event of a hardware failure.

#### RATD Levels

- Level 0: No redundancy (just stripin)
- Level 1: Mirrored (two identical copies)
- \* Each disk has an exact mirror image
- \* Parallel reads: writes involve two disks
- \* Maximum transfer rate = transfer rate of one disk
- Level 0+1 (Level 10): Striping and Mirroring
- \* Parallel reads; writes involve two disks
- \* Maximum transfer rate = aggregate bandwidth
- Level 3: Bit-interleaved parity
- \* Striping Unit: one bit (or byte) (one check disk)
- \* Each read and write request involves all disks; disk array can process one request at a time
- Level 4: Block-interleaved parity
- \* Striping unit: one disk block (one check disk)
- \* Parallel reads possible for small requests, large requests can utilize full bandwidth
- \* Writes involve modified block and check disk
- Level 5: Block-interleaved distributed parity
- \* Similar to RAID level 4 but parity blocks are distributed over all disks

## • Buffer Management in a DBMS

- DBMS maintains buffer pool of frames, each frame holds a page, info is in <frame#, pageid> table
- Choice of frame replacement dictated by replacement policy such as LRU
- When a page is requested:
- \* If requested page is not in pool:
- · Choose a frame for replacement
- · If that frame is dirty, write it to disk
- · Read requested page into chosen frame
- \* Pin the page and return its address
- \* When done the requestor must indicate whether the page has been modified (dirty bit) and unpin
- \* Page in pool may be requested many times
- · A pin count is used and a page is a candidate for replacement iff pin\_count = 0
- · Pinning increments pin count and unpinning decre-
- \* Concurrency control and recovery may entail additional I/O when a frame is chosen for replacement (write-ahead log protocol)
- \* Frame is chosen for replacement using LRU, clock,
- \* Sequential flooding: Caused by using LRU when the number of buffer frames is less than the number of pages in the file

# • Files of Records

- Page or block is ok when doing I/O but higher levels of DBMS operate on records and thus want files of records
- FILE: A collection of pages each containing a collection of records. Must support
- \* Insert (append)/delete/modify record
- \* Read a particular record specified using record id
- \* Scan all records possibly with some conditions on the records to be retrieved

# - Unordered ''Heap''Files:

- ticular (logical) order
- \* As file grows and shrinks, disk pages are allocated and de-allocated
- \* To support record-level operations we must:
- · Keep track of the pages in a file: page id (pid)
- Keep track of the free space on a page
- · Keep track of the records on a page: record id (rid)
- · Keep track of fields within records
- \* Operations: create/destroy file, insert/delete record, Inserting a data entry: fetch record with specific rid, scan all records
- Record formats: Fixed Length
- \* Information about field types is the same for all records in file; it is stored in sustem catalogs

- \* Finding the i<sup>th</sup> field of a record does not require scanning the record
- Record formats: Variable length
- \* Several alternative formats (# of fields is fixed)
- \* Fields delimited by special symbols (e.g. \$ between fields)
- \* Fields preceded by lengths
- Record formats: Variable length with directory
- \* Use array of offsets at start of record
- Heap file implemented as a list
- \* The header page id and heap file name must be stored someplace
- \* Each page contains two extra pointers in this case
- \* Refinement: use several lists for different degrees of free space
- Page formats:
- \* File  $\rightarrow$  collection of pages
- \* Page -; collection of tuples/records
- \* Query operators deal with tuples
- \* Slotted page format:
- · Each page has a collection of slots
- · Each slot contains a record
- \* RID: <page id. slot number>
- Heap file using a page directory
- \* Page entries can include the number of free bytes on each page
- \* Directory is a collection of pages; linked list is one possible implementation

# System catalogs:

- \* For each relation:
- · name, file, file structure
- · name, type, length (if fixed) for each attribute
- · Index name, target, and kind for each index
- $\cdot\,$  also integrity constraints, defaults, null ability, etc \* For each index: structure (e.g. B+ tree) and search
- kev fields
- \* For each view: view name and definition (including query)
- \* Plus statistics, authorization, buffer pool size, etc

### - Column Stores:

- \* Store data "vertically"
- \* Contrast with a "row-store" that stores all the attributes of a tuple/record contiguously
- \* Each column can be stored as a separate file and com- Index classification: pressed
- \* SAP HANA:
- · Dictionary compression per column
- Column main: read-optimized store for immutable data. Uses high data compression and heuristic algoriths to order data to maximize secondary compres- • A back of the envelope cost model:
- Column delta: write-optimized store for inserts, updates, deletes. Uses less compression, appends updates to the end, and merges with main periodically. - F: average fanout for a non-leaf page
- Additional types: prefix coding, run length coding, Indexes with composite search keys: cluster coding, sparse coding, indirect coding

### • Indexes:

- Speeds up selections on the search key fields for the
- Contains a collection of data entries and supports efficient retrieval of all data entires  $k^*$  with a given key value k

## • B+ Tree Indexes

- Leaf pages contain data entries and are chained (prev ISAM: Index-Sequential Access Method & next)
- Non-leaf pages have index entries, used to direct searches
- \* Simplest file structure that contains records in no par- Insert/delete at  $\log_F N$ , keep tree height-balanced (F=fanout, N = # leaf pages)
  - Minimum 50% occupancy (in all nodes except root). Each node contains  $d \leq m \leq 2d$  entries; d = the orderof the tree
  - Typical order d = 100
  - Percentage of node that is full is more useful, typical Index entries: <key value, page id>; they 'direct' fill-factor 67%
  - Average fanout for non-leaves F = 133
  - \* Find correct leaf L
  - \* Put data entry onto L
  - \* If L has enough space, done

- copy up the middle key (key must still exist in leaf). Insert index entry pointing to  $L_2$  into parent of L.
- \* This can happen recursively: if parent of L grows, need to push up middle key.
- Splits "grow" the tree; root split increases height.
- Deleting a data entry:
- \* Start at root, find leaf L where entry belongs
- \* Remove the entry
- st If L is at least half full, done
- \* Otherwise, if L has only d-1 entries, try to redistribute, borrowing from sibling (adjacent node with same parent)
- \* If redistribution fails, merge L and sibling
- (pointing to merged node)
- \* Merge can propagate to root, decreasing height of the tree

#### • Hash-Based Indexes:

- Good for equality selections
- Index is a collection of buckets. Each bucket = pri- Static Hash-based Indexes: mary page plus zero or more overflow pages (called static - # primary pages is fixed, allocated sequentially, never hashing). Buckets contain data entries.
- Hashing function h: h(r) = bucket in which (data entry for) record r belongs. h looks at the search key fields of r.
- Alternatives for Data Entry k\* in index:
- In a data entry  $k^*$  we can store: an actual data record, or <k, RID>, or <k, list of RIDs>
- Choice of alternative for entries is orthogonal to the indexing technique
- Alternative 1: data records live in index
- Index structure is actually a file organization for the Extendible Hashing: data records
- At most one index on a given collection of data can use this Alternative
- If data records are very large, # of leaf pages containing data entries is high.
- Alternatives 2 and 3: Key/RID or Key/RIDlist:
- Data entries are typically much smaller than data
- Alternative 3 is more compact but leads to variablesized data entries, even if the search keys are of fixed length
- Primary vs Secondary: if search key contains the primary key, index is called the primary index
- Clustered vs Unclustered: If order of data records is the same as (or close to) the order of stored data records
- then index is called a clustered index.
- B: the number of data pages
- R: number of records per page
- D: average time to read or write a disk page
- Composite search keys: search on a combination of
- Equality query: every field value is equal to a constant
- Range query: some field value doesn't have equality
- Data entries in index sorted by search key to support
- range queries
- Index file has first key on each page, can binary search
- index then scan the page. Static structure, inserts and deletes only affect leaf or overflow pages.
- If index is very large, recursively create a second layer (and so on).
- File Creation: Leaf pages first allocated sequentially, sorted by search key; then index pages allocated, and then overflow pages.
- searches for data entries which are in leaf pages Search: Start at root; use key comparisons to go to leaf.
- I/O cost  $\propto \log_F N$  where F = # entries/index pg, N =# leaf pgs - Insert: Find leaf where data entry belongs and put it
- \* Otherwise, must split L. Redistribute entries evenly, Delete: Finda nd remove from leaf; if empty overflow

there, using overflow page if necessary.

- page, deallocate
- B-Tree Prefix Key Compression: Increase fan-out by reducing the size of search keys on interior nodes. key values only direct traffic so we only need the minimum length for that
- Bulk Loading of a B+ Tree
- Creating a new B+ tree by inserting one at a time is very slow, bulk loading is better
- Initialization: Sort all data entries, insert pointer to first (leaf) page in a new (root) page
- Index entries for leaf pages always entered into rightmost index page just above leaf level. When this fills up it splits.
- \* If merge occurred, must delete entry from parent Log-Structured Merge Tree: Sequential trees of exponentially larger size. Inserts go to smallest smallest tree, deletes insert tombstone records, spill to next-deeper level on overflow
  - R-Tree: Tree of rectangles, search for intersections between them

- de-allocated; overflow pages if needed
- $h(k) \mod M = \text{bucket(page)}$  to which data entry with kev k belongs (M = # buckets)
- Buckets contain data entries
- Hash function works on search key field of record r. Must distribute over range  $0 \dots M-1$
- h(key) = (a \* key + b) usually works well; a and b are constants to tune h
- Long overflow chains can develop and degrade performance

- Situation: bucket (primary page) becomes full. Solved by doubling number of buckets instead of using an over-
- flow page Use directory wich pointers to buckets. Double the number of buckets by doubling the directory and splitting buckets as needed
- Only one bucket at a time splits. No overflow pages  $Global \ Depth$  is the last d bits after hashing and indexes into the directory to determine which bucket is used
- Local Depth is used for each bucket. If the LD == GDand the bucket splits, the directory must double.

# • Bitmap Indexes:

- Index which allows for fast equality checks. Order the records in some O(1) way and maintain one or more bit vectors storing their values for particular fields.
- One bitmap for each distinct domain value, and one bitmap for NULL if the column can be null.
- Can use XOR operation to reduce number of maps needed by one.
- External Sorting: - Goal: Need to sort more data than will fit in memory,
- efficiently. - 2-Way Sort: Requires 3 buffers
- \* Pass 0: read a page, sort it, write it out (only one buffer page used) \* Pass 1, 2, 3, ...: Read and merge pairs of runs. (Three
- buffer pages are used)
- Sorting  $N = 2^k Pages of Data$ :
- \* Pass 0: read, sort, write  $\rightarrow 2^k$  1-page runs \* Pass 1: Read + merge 1-page pairs, write  $\rightarrow 2^{k-1}$
- 2-page runs \* Pass 2: Read + merge 2-page pairs, write  $\rightarrow$   $2^{k-2}$
- \* Pass k-1: Read + merge  $2^{k-2}$ -page pairs, write  $\rightarrow 22^{k-1}$ -page runs
- \* Pass k: Read + merge  $2^{k-1}$ -page pairs, write  $\rightarrow 12^k$ page result 2-Way External merge sort: N pages in file  $\Longrightarrow$
- $\lceil \log_2 N \rceil + 1$  passes, total I/O cost =  $2N (\lceil \log_2 N \rceil + 1)$ General external merge sort:
- \* Sorting a file with N pages using B buffer pages. \* Pass 0: use B buffer pages. Produce  $\lceil N/B \rceil$  sorted runs of B pages each.
- \* Pass 2, ... etc: merge b-1 runs
- \* Number of passes:  $1 + \lceil \log_{B-1} \lceil N/B \rceil \rceil$
- \* Cost = 2N \* (# of passes)
- Double Buffering

4-page runs

- \* To reduce wait time for I/O request to complete, can prefetch into shadow block
- \* Potentially more passes; in practice, most files still sorted in 2-3 passes.
- B+ Tree as "Sorted Access Path"
- \* Scenario: table to be retrieved in some order has a B+ tree index on the ordering columns
- \* Idea: retrieve records in order by traversing the B+ tree's leaf pages
- \* Very good idea if the tree is clustered, otherwise probably a bad idea

# • Query Processing

- Access Paths:
- \* An access path is a method of retrieving tuples: file scan or index that matches a selection in the query
- \* A tree index matches (a conjunction of) terms that involve only attributes in a prefix of the search key.
- \* A hash index matches (a conjunction of) terms that has a term attribute = value for every attribute in the search key of the index.
- Selection conditions often first converted to be in CNF (ANDing of ORs)
- One approach to selections:
- Find the most selective access path, retrieve tuples using it, then apply any remaining terms which don't match the index
- \* Most selective access path: an index or file scan that we estimate will require the fewest page I/Os
- \* Terms that match this index reduce the number of tuples retrieved; other terms used to filter the retrieved tuples on the fly, but don't prevent retrieval of the tuples/pages
- Using an index for selections: cost depends on # qualifying tuples and clustering
- Cost of finding qualifying data entries (typically small) plus cost of retrieving the actual records themselves (can be large without clustering)
- Duplicate Elimination
- Relational algebra projection removes duplicates: SQL systems don't remove duplicates unless the keyword DISTINCT is specified
- \* Sorting approach: sort on <sid, bid> and remove duplicates. Can optimize by dropping unwanted columns while sorting.
- \* Hashing approach: hash on \sid, bid\> to create partitions. Load partitions into memory one at a time, build an in-memory hash structure, and eliminate duplicates within it.
- Notation:
- \* Pages in a heap relation  $R \colon \mathsf{Pages}_R$
- \* Tuples per page for a relation R: TPP $_R$
- \* Number of tuples in R: Card<sub>R</sub>
- $* \operatorname{Card}_R = \operatorname{Pages}_R * \operatorname{TPP}_R$
- Simple Nested Loops Join: for each tuple in R, for each tuple in S, if r<sub>i</sub> == s<sub>i</sub> then add <r,s> to result.
- \* For each tuple in the outer relation R we scan the entire inner relation S. Cost: Pages  $R + \operatorname{Card}_R * \operatorname{Pages}_S$
- \* Page-oriented nested loops join: for each page of R get each page of S and write out matching pairs of tuples
- Index Nested Loops
- \* If there is an index on the join column of one relation,

- can make it the inner and exploit the index. Cost: Pages<sub>R</sub> + Card<sub>R</sub> \* cost of finding matching S tuples
- \* For each R tuple, cost of probing S index is about 1.2 for hash index, 2-4 for B+ tree. Cost of then finding S tuples (assuming alt. 2 or 3) depends on clustering. Clustered typically 1 I/O, unclustered up to 1 I/O per matching S tuple.
- Block Nexted Loops Join
- \* Use one page as an input buffer for scanning the inner S, one pas as the output buffer, and use all remaining pages to hold "block" of pages of outer R.
- For each block of R, hash each data entry to a hash table. Then compare all entries in S.
- Join: Sort-Merge  $(R \bowtie_{i=j} S)$
- \* Sort R and S on the join column, then scan them to do a 'merge' (on join column) and then output result tunds.
- \* R is scanned once; each S group is scanned once per matching R tuple.
- Statistics and Catalogs
- \* Catalogs typically contain at least: # tuples and # pages in each relation; # distinct key values and # pages for each index; index height, low/high key values for each tree index
- Catalogs updated periodically: updating each time data changes is too expensive and approximation is fine
- Cost estimation: For each plan must estimate cost
- \* Estimate Cost of each operation in plan-tree: depends on input cardinalities
- Estimate Size of result for each operation in the tree; for seelctions and joins assume independence of predicates

١	Var	Desc			
ſ	B	the number of data pages			
ĺ	R	number of records per page			
	D	average time to read or write a disk page			
ſ	F	average fanout for a non-leaf page			

Pete Wilcox — CruzID: pcwilcox — Student ID: 1593715

Unclust. Hash	Unclust. Tree	Clustered	Sorted	Heap	()
BD(R+0.125)	BD(R + 0.15)	1.5BD	BD	BD	Scan
2D	$D(1 + \log_F 0.15B)$	$D\log_F 1.5B$	$D \log_2 B$	0.5BD	Equality
BD	$D(\log_F 0.15B + \# \text{ matching pages})$	$D(\log_F 1.5B + \# \text{ matching pages})$	$D(\log_2 B + \# \text{ matching pages})$	BD	Range
Search $+2D$	Search $+ 2D$	Search $+ D$	Search $+BD$	2D	Insert
Search $+ 2D$	Search $+ 2D$	Search $+ D$	Search $+BD$	Search $+ D$	Delete