Paper Title

High Performance Lithography Hotspot Detection with Successively Refined Pattern Identifications and Machine Learning

Paper Link

High Performance Lithography Hotspot Detection With Successively Refined Pattern Identifications and Machine Learning | IEEE Journals & Magazine | IEEE Xplore

Report

Introduction-

Lithography is an important subject in most cases of industrial manufacturing processes. A flat surface, usually a stone or metal plate, is used to form an image in the printing or image replication process known as lithography and a location of a semiconductor wafer where there is a chance of errors or deviations from the planned design due to the lithography process is called a lithography hotspot. So, detection of any error or hotspots in a lithographic process is very important. The authors of the research paper wanted to show the Lithography hotspot detection with the help of Pattern Identification and Machine Learning can yield a very successive result over traditional lithography detection and that is what they tried to research in this paper.

Motivation-

As in the lithography detection process, there are quite a few challenges such as the need of very fast detection speed for large data volumes, difficulty to identify some hotspots etc. To quell or minimize the effects from the challenges, the authors came up with a novel methodology of a highly effective method for a fast and high accuracy lithography hotspot detection model.

Contribution-

In the paper, the authors mentioned introduction of dynamic lithography hotspot detection methodology which is compatible with ever changing manufacturing conditions. The method has fast layout analyzer and good machine-learning models implemented and it can work without sliding raster scanning techniques. The method has developed pattern identifiers for very low identification false alarms.

Methodology-

In the paper, the authors used machine learning models with the combination of patter matching. For the machine learning models, they used Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Support Vector Machine (SVM). By making calibration and layout analyzing first, the authors used machine learning with traditional pattern matching for more accurate and high-speed hotspot detection.

Findings-

The machine learning techniques suggested by the authors are especially advantageous in anticipating novel or previously undiscovered forms of hotspots and in reducing detection noise to produce extremely low false alarm rates. SVM models outperform ANN models in terms of both hotspot and non-hotspot detection accuracy, but ANN models have a faster runtime. On the contrary, pattern-matching techniques excel in quickly and accurately identifying hotspots that have already been described.

Limitations-

1.First Limitation-

In the paper, the authors could not combine the machine learning and pattern matching to work simultaneously. If it could work perfectly, the hotspot detection would have resulted max accuracy with very few false alarms.

2.Second Limitation-

There have been more complex algorithm and machine learning techniques getting released. So, researching with other models alongside with the two proposed models can wield better results than which was suggested in the paper.

Conclusion-

To conclude, in the paper identifying the hotspots using the author mentioned machine learning and pattern matching method exhibiting notable improvements in runtime and false alarm detection over earlier research of older methods and also for hotspot patterns that had not yet been described, it demonstrated a high forecast generality. So, if further researched, the proposed method can change the sector of lithography hotspot detection for the better.