

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE ISLAMABAD HIGH COURT, ISLAMABAD.**  
**JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.**

**Civil Revision No. 01-2025**

National Defence University

Versus

Mst. Nadia Asghar.

S. No. of order/ proceedings	Date of order/ Proceedings	Order with signature of Judge and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
(08)	25.06.2025	Mr. Nauman Munir Paracha, Advocate for petitioner. Rana Abid Nazir Khan, Advocate for respondent/applicant. Dr. Nadia Khan and Dr. Muhammad Bashir Khan, NDU.

**Objection Case No. 12556/2025.**

Office has raised objection to the filing of instant C.M. which is reflected at S. No. 25 of the objection sheet that the same may be filed before the appropriate forum.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant/respondent has been confronted with the Office Objection, whereby the instant C.M. is stated to be not maintainable in terms of the *Legal Practitioners and Bar Council Act, 1973*. In response, he contends that Mr. Muhammad Muneer Paracha, ASC, is running a law firm under the name of Paracha Law Associates, which represented Nadia Asghar (respondent) before the Supreme Court of Pakistan in CPLA No. 3207 of 2020, titled (*Nadia Asghar vs. NDU through its Registrar and others*). He further submits that currently, another member of Paracha Law Associates i.e. Mr. Nauman Muneer Paracha, Advocate is representing the National Defence University in this civil revision, which, according to him, is in violation of the *Legal Practitioners and Bar Council Act, 1973*. Learned counsel for the applicant has

drawn the attention of this Court towards the record of CPLA No. 3207 of 2020, which indicates that the CPLA was prepared by Muhammad Muneer Paracha, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan, and this fact can be verified from the website of the Supreme Court of Pakistan.

3. When confronted, the learned counsel for the respondent/petitioner contends that although Mr. Muhammad Muneer Paracha, ASC is his father but he is presently working independently and has never represented Ms. Nadia Asghar in any case before any court of law, including the Supreme Court of Pakistan. He further submits that he is representing NDU independently, with no conflict of interest, as the law firm, Paracha Law Associates, is owned by Muhammad Muneer Paracha, ASC.

4. In view of the above position, this Court is of the clear view that the objection raised by the applicant cannot be adjudicated by this Court. Rather, the applicant should have approached the relevant forum, if so advised.

5. Office objection is Sustained.

**Main Case.**

Learned counsel for the respondent contends that his brother is undergoing surgery today; therefore, the matter may be adjourned.

2. Adjourned to 16.07.2025, for final arguments.

(MOHSIN AKHTAR KAYANI)  
JUDGE