Matrix Fejďż˝r-Riesz theorem with gaps

Aljaďż˝ Zalar

Konstanz, 29 May 2015

Notation

R - the ring of complex polynomials $\mathbb{C}[x]$ $(x^* = \overline{x} = x)$ or complex Laurent polynomials $\mathbb{C}[z, \frac{1}{z}]$ $(z^* = \overline{z} = \frac{1}{z})$

$M_n(R)$ - matrix polynomials $(F^* = \overline{F}^T)$

$H_n(R)$ - hermitian matrix polynomials

$\sum M_n(R)^2$ - SOHS matrix polynomials, i.e. finite sums of the form $\sum A_i^* A_i$, where $A_i \in M_n(R)$

Matrix Fejdž˝r-Riesz theorem

Theorem (Fejér-Riesz theorem on $\mathbb{T}$)

Let

$$A(z) = \sum_{m=-N}^{N} A_m z^m \in M_n\left(\mathbb{C}\left[z, \frac{1}{z}\right]\right)$$

be a $n \times n$ matrix Laurent polynomial, such that $A(z)$ is positive semidefinite for every $z \in \mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \colon |z| = 1\}$. Then there exists a matrix polynomial $B(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{N} B_m z^m \in M_n(\mathbb{C}[z])$, such that

$$A(z) = B(z)^* B(z).$$

Matrix Fejďż˝r-Riesz theorem

Theorem (Fejér-Riesz theorem on $\mathbb{R}$)

Let

$$F(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{2N} F_m x^m \in M_n(\mathbb{C}[x])$$

be a $n \times n$ matrix polynomial, such that $F(x)$ is positive semidefinite for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a matrix polynomial $G(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{N} G_m x^m \in M_n(\mathbb{C}[x])$, such that

$$F(x) = G(x)^* G(x).$$

Main problem

Problem

1. *Characterize univariate matrix Laurent polynomials, which are positive semidefinite on a union of points and arcs in* $\mathbb{T}$.
2. *Characterize univariate matrix polynomials, which are positive semidefinite on a union of points and intervals (not necessarily bounded) in* $\mathbb{R}$.

Notation

A *basic closed semialgebraic set* $K_S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ associated to a finite subset

$$S = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\} \subset \mathbb{R}[x]$$

is given by

$$K := K_S = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \colon g_j(x) \geq 0,\ j = 1, \ldots, s\}.$$

We define the *n-th matrix preordering* T_S^n by

$$T_S^n \quad := \quad \Big\{ \sum_{e \in \{0,1\}^s} \sigma_e \underline{g}^e \colon \sigma_e \in \sum M_n(\mathbb{C}[x])^2 \text{ for all } e \in \{0,1\}^s \Big\},$$

where $e = (e_1, \ldots, e_s)$ and $\underline{g}^e$ stands for $g_1^{e_1} \cdots g_s^{e_s}$.

Notation

Let $\text{Pos}^n_{\succeq 0}(K_S)$ be the set of all $n \times n$ hermitian matrix polynomials, which are positive semidefinite on K_S.

Matrix preordering T^n_S is *saturated* if $T^n_S = \text{Pos}^n_{\succeq 0}(K_S)$.

Saturated matrix preordering T^n_S is *boundedly saturated*, if every $F \in \text{Pos}^n_{\succeq 0}(K_S)$ is of the form $\sum_{e \in \{0,1\}^s} \sigma_e \underline{g}^e$, where

$$\deg(\sigma_e \underline{g}^e) \leq \deg(F)$$

holds for every $e \in \{0,1\}^s$.

Notation

Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a basic closed semialgebraic set.

A set $S = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\} \subset \mathbb{R}[x]$ is the *natural description* of K, if it satisfies the following conditions:

(a) If K has the least element a, then $x - a \in S$.

(b) If K has the greatest element a, then $a - x \in S$.

(c) For every $a \neq b \in K$, if $(a, b) \cap K = \emptyset$, then $(x - a)(x - b) \in S$.

(d) These are the only elements of S.

Notation

Let $K = \cup_{j=1}^{m} [x_j, y_j] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a basic compact semialgebraic set.

A set $S = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\} \subset \mathbb{R}[x]$ with $K = K_S$ is the *saturated description* of K, if it satisfies the following conditions:

(a) For every left endpoint x_j there exists $k \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, such that $g_k(x_j) = 0$ and $g_k'(x_j) > 0$.

(b) For every right endpoint y_j there exists $k \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, such that $g_k(y_j) = 0$ and $g_k'(y_j) < 0$.

Known results - scalar case

1. (Kuhlmann, Marshall, 2002) If S is the natural description of K, then the preordering T_S^1 is (even boundedly) saturated.

Known results - scalar case

1. (Kuhlmann, Marshall, 2002) If S is the natural description of K, then the preordering T_S^1 is (even boundedly) saturated.
    - K not compact: T_S^1 is saturated if and only if S contains each of the polynomials in the natural description of K up to scaling by positive constants.
    - K compact (Scheiderer, 2003): T_S^1 is saturated if and only if S is saturated description of K.

Known results - matrix case

1. (Gohberg, Krein, 1958) For $K=\mathbb{R}$, $T_{\emptyset}^{n}$ is boundedly saturated for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Known results - matrix case

1. (Gohberg, Krein, 1958) For $K = \mathbb{R}$, $T_{\emptyset}^{n}$ is boundedly saturated for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
2. (Dette, Studden, 2002) For $K = K_{\{x,1-x\}} = [0,1]$, $T_{\{x,1-x\}}^{n}$ is boundedly saturated for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Known results - matrix case

1. (Gohberg, Krein, 1958) For $K=\mathbb{R}$, $T^n_\emptyset$ is boundedly saturated for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$.
2. (Dette, Studden, 2002) For $K=K_{\{x,1-x\}}=[0,1]$, $T^n_{\{x,1-x\}}$ is boundedly saturated for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$.
3. (Schmdż"dgen, Savchuk, 2012) For $K=K_{\{x\}}=[0,\infty)$, $T^n_{\{x\}}$ is boundedly saturated for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

New results

Theorem (Compact Nichtnegativstellensatz)

Let K be compact. The n-th matrix preordering T_S^n is saturated for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if S is a saturated description of K.

Sketch of the proof of compact Nsatz

Proposition

Suppose K is a non-empty basic closed semialgebraic set in $\mathbb{R}$ and S a saturated description of K. Then for every $F \in Pos^n_{\succeq 0}(K)$ and every $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $h \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, such that $h(w) \neq 0$ and $h^2 F \in T^n_S$.

Sketch of the proof of compact Nsatz

Proposition

Suppose K is a non-empty basic closed semialgebraic set in $\mathbb{R}$ and S a saturated description of K. Then for every $F \in Pos^n_{\succeq 0}(K)$ and every $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $h \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, such that $h(w) \neq 0$ and $h^2 F \in T^n_S$.

Proof of Proposition.

The proof is by induction of the size of matrix polynomials n. We write $F(x) = p(x)^m G(x)$, where

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} x - w, & w \in \mathbb{R} \\ (x-w)(x-\overline{w}), & w \notin \mathbb{R} \end{cases}, \; m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \; G(w) \neq 0.$$

Sketch of the proof of Compact Nsatz

Proof of Proposition.

Writing $G = \begin{bmatrix} a & \beta \\ \beta^* & C \end{bmatrix} \in M_n(\mathbb{C}[x])$, where $a = a^* \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, $\beta \in M_{1,n-1}(\mathbb{C}[x])$ and $C \in H_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}[x])$ it holds

(i) $a^4 \cdot G = \begin{bmatrix} a^* & 0 \\ \beta^* & a^* I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a^3 & 0 \\ 0 & a(aC - \beta^*\beta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & \beta \\ 0 & aI_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$

(ii) $\begin{bmatrix} a^3 & 0 \\ 0 & a(aC - \beta^*\beta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a^* & 0 \\ -\beta^* & a^* I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \cdot G \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a & -\beta \\ 0 & aI_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$

Sketch of the proof of compact Nsatz

Proof of Proposition.

Therefore

$$a^4 F = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ \beta^* & aI_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & \beta \\ 0 & aI_{n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $d = p^m a^3 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, $D = p^m (aC - \beta^* \beta) \in H_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}[x])$. and

$$\begin{bmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ -\beta^* & aI_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} F \begin{bmatrix} a & -\beta \\ 0 & aI_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, there exists appropriate $h_1 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, such that $h_1^2 D \in T_S^{n-1}$ and by $h_1^2 d \in T_S^1$, it follows that $(a^2 h_1)^2 F \in T_S^n$. $\square$

Sketch of the proof of compact Nsatz

To conclude the proof we need the following:

Proposition (Scheiderer, 2006)

Suppose R is a commutative ring with 1 and $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq R$. Let $\Phi : R \to C(K, \mathbb{R})$ be a ring homomorphism, where K is a topological space which is compact and Hausdorff. Suppose $\Phi(R)$ separates points in K. Suppose $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in R$ are such that $\Phi(f_j) \geq 0$, $j = 1, \ldots, k$ and $(f_1, \ldots, f_k) = (1)$. Then there exist $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in R$ such that $s_1 f_1 + \ldots + s_k f_k = 1$ and such that each $\Phi(s_j)$ is strictly positive.

Sketch of the proof of compact Nsatz

The ideal

$$I := \left(h^2 \colon h \in \mathbb{R}[x], h^2 F \in T_S^n\right)$$

is $\mathbb{R}[x]$. Therefore there exist $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in \mathrm{Pos}^1_{\succ 0}(K)$ and $h_1, \ldots, h_k \in I$, such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} s_j h_j^2 = 1.$$

Hence, $\sum_{j=1}^{k} s_j h_j^2 F = F \in T_S^n$, which concludes the proof.

Counterexample for non-compact case

Example

The matrix polynomial $F(x) := \begin{bmatrix} x+2 & \sqrt{6} \\ \sqrt{6} & x^2-2x+3 \end{bmatrix}$ is positive semidefinite on $K := [-1,0] \cup [1,\infty)$, but $F \notin T_S^2$, where S is the natural description of K.

Counterexample for non-compact case

Example

The matrix polynomial $F(x) := \begin{bmatrix} x+2 & \sqrt{6} \\ \sqrt{6} & x^2-2x+3 \end{bmatrix}$ is positive semidefinite on $K := [-1,0] \cup [1,\infty)$, but $F \notin T_S^2$, where S is the natural description of K.

Proof.

All the principal minors of F, i.e. $x+2$, x^2-2x+3 and $\det(F) = x^3 - x$ are non-negative on K.

Counterexample for non-compact case

Example

The matrix polynomial $F(x) := \begin{bmatrix} x+2 & \sqrt{6} \\ \sqrt{6} & x^2-2x+3 \end{bmatrix}$ is positive semidefinite on $K := [-1,0] \cup [1,\infty)$, but $F \notin T_S^2$, where S is the natural description of K.

Proof.

All the principal minors of F, i.e. $x+2$, x^2-2x+3 and $\det(F) = x^3 - x$ are non-negative on K.
Suppose

$$F(x) = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1(x+1) + \sigma_2 x(x-1) + \sigma_3(x+1)x(x-1), \quad (*)$$

where $\sigma_i \in \sum M_2(\mathbb{C}[x])^2$.

Counterexample for non-compact case

Proof.

After comparing degrees of both sides we conclude that $\sigma_3 = 0$, $\deg(\sigma_0) \leq 2$, $\deg(\sigma_1) = 0$, $\deg(\sigma_2) = 0$ and observing the monomial x^2 on both sides, it follows that $\sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}$ for some $c \in [0, 1]$.

Counterexample for non-compact case

Proof.

After comparing degrees of both sides we conclude that $\sigma_3 = 0$, $\deg(\sigma_0) \leq 2$, $\deg(\sigma_1) = 0$, $\deg(\sigma_2) = 0$ and observing the monomial x^2 on both sides, it follows that $\sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}$ for some $c \in [0,1]$. $(*)$ is equivalent to $F(x) - \sigma_2 x(x-1) = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1(x+1)$.

Counterexample for non-compact case

Proof.

After comparing degrees of both sides we conclude that $\sigma_3 = 0$, $\deg(\sigma_0) \leq 2$, $\deg(\sigma_1) = 0$, $\deg(\sigma_2) = 0$ and observing the monomial x^2 on both sides, it follows that $\sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}$ for some $c \in [0, 1]$. $(*)$ is equivalent to $F(x) - \sigma_2 x(x-1) = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1(x+1)$. The right-hand side is positive semidefinite on $[-1, \infty)$.

Counterexample for non-compact case

Proof.

After comparing degrees of both sides we conclude that $\sigma_3 = 0$, $\deg(\sigma_0) \leq 2$, $\deg(\sigma_1) = 0$, $\deg(\sigma_2) = 0$ and observing the monomial x^2 on both sides, it follows that $\sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}$ for some $c \in [0, 1]$. $(*)$ is equivalent to $F(x) - \sigma_2 x(x-1) = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1(x+1)$. The right-hand side is positive semidefinite on $[-1, \infty)$. But the determinant of the left-hand side is

$$q(x) := -(-1+x)x(-1+2c+(-1+c)x).$$

Since $q \not\equiv 0$ and q cannot have double zeroes at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$, it is not non-negative on $[-1, \infty)$. Contradiction. $\square$

Classification of non-compact sets K

Let K be a non-compact closed semialgebraic set with a natural description S. The classification of sets K according to T_S^n being saturated is the following:

Classification of non-compact sets K

K	T_S^n sat.
an unbounded interval	Yes
a union of an unbounded interval and an isolated point	conj.: Yes
a union of an unbounded interval and m isolated points with $m \geq 2$	No
a union of two unbounded intervals	Yes
a union of two unbounded intervals and an isolated point	conj.: Yes
a union of two unbounded intervals and m isolated points with $m \geq 2$	No
includes a bounded and an unbounded interval	No

Classification of compact sets K

Let K be a compact closed semialgebraic set with a natural description S. The classification of sets K according to T_S^n being boundedly saturated is the following:

Classification of compact sets K

K	T_S^n sat.	T_S^n bsat.
a union of at most three points	Yes	Yes
a union of m points with $m \geq 4$	Yes	No
a bounded interval	Yes	Yes
a union of a bounded interval and an isolated point	Yes	conj.: Yes
a union of a bounded interval and m isolated points with $m \geq 2$	Yes	No
a compact set containing at least two intervals	Yes	No

Non-compact Nichtnegativstellensatz

Theorem (Non-compact Nichtnegativstellensatz)

Suppose K is an unbounded basic closed semialgebraic set in $\mathbb{R}$ and S a saturated description of K. Then, for a hermitian $F \in M_n(\mathbb{C}[x])$, the following are equivalent:

1. $F \in Pos^n_{\succeq 0}(K)$.
2. $(1+x^2)^k F \in T^n_S$ *for some* $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Thank you for your attention!