

# Wikipedia Racer Bot

Made by Dmytro Zakharov, Daniil Korenkov, Kvaratskheliia  
David

July 21, 2022

GitHub repository:

<https://github.com/ZamDimon/ML-Wikipedia-Runner>

# Plan

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Algorithm Description
- 3 Data Generation
  - Set of Features and Outputs
  - Top words
  - Previous Algorithms
  - Six Degrees of Wikipedia
- 4 Data Filtering
  - Distance Distribution
  - Specifying connection
- 5 Training model and Accuracy

# What is Wikiracing?

## Definition

According to Wikipedia, **Wikiracing** is a game which the players race towards the goal of traversing from one Wikipedia page to another using only internal links.

## Our goal

Teach a model to find optimal path from given page to the targeted one.



**WIKIRACE**  
*The free racetrack*

# Algorithm Description




Figure: Environment setup

# Algorithm Description





Figure: Forming set  $P_{1,j}$

# Algorithm Description



**Figure:** Evaluating distances  $\rho_{1,j} = \rho(P_{1,j}, P_{3,0})$

# Algorithm Description





Figure: Choosing page with minimal distance to  $P_{3,0}$

# Algorithm Description



**Figure:** Forming array  $P_{2,k}$  and evaluating corresponding distances  
 $\rho_{2,j} = \rho(P_{2,j}, P_{3,0})$



# Algorithm Description





Figure: Choosing page with minimal distance to  $P_{3,0}$

# Algorithm Description



**Figure:**  $P_{3,0}$  is adjacent to the page we've chosen, so that ends the algorithm

# Formal algorithm definition

Let us denote  $P_{i,j}$  as a  $j$ th page on the layer  $i$  and  $\rho(P, G)$  as a distance between pages  $P$  and  $G$ .

So let us introduce the algorithm:

**Input:** Starting page  $P_{0,0}$  and destination page  $P_D$

**Logic:**

$i \leftarrow 0, j \leftarrow 0$

**while**  $P_{i,j} \neq P_D$  **do**

    Form array of adjacent pages  $\{P_{i+1,k}\}, k = 0, 1, \dots$  from  $P_{i,j}$

    Form array of distances  $\rho_{i+1,k} \leftarrow \rho(P_{i+1,k}, P_D), k = 0, 1, \dots$

    Find  $m$  s.t.  $\rho_{i+1,m} = \min\{\rho_{i+1,0}, \rho_{i+1,1}, \dots\}$

$i \leftarrow i + 1, j \leftarrow m$

**end while**

# New Goal!

So now our goal consists in training the model to find  $\rho(P, G)$  for some two pages  $P$  and  $G$ .

But that is a much easier problem since we need to train model to output a single real number instead of a full path!




Figure: Model working principle

So what features should we give a model?

# Set of Features and Outputs

1. Form array of about  $40k$  "top" words and assign an integer  $w_k^1$  ( $k = 1, \dots, 40000$ ) for each one.
2. Go through every word in the content of page  $P$ . If this word is contained within the list, increment the corresponding  $w_k^1$ .
3. Do the same thing for page  $G$  and set corresponding  $w_k^2$ . That way, we generated in total  $80k$  inputs
4. Assign the expected minimal path  $\rho_{\text{expected}}(P, G)$ .
5. Train the model!

Title 1	$w_1^1$	$w_2^1$	...	$w_{40000}^1$	Title 2	$w_1^2$	$w_2^2$	...	$w_{40000}^2$	$\rho_{\text{expected}}$
US	1	2	...	10	Italy	2	3	...	4	3
Japan	2	5	...	23	US	3	4	...	7	1

# Questions of the Day

- 1 How to form the set of outputs?
- 2 How to form the list of "top 40k" words?

# Forming a list of top words

## Concept

The idea is to form the common list of words and count how many times each of them occurs on our website. The numbers of appearances of each word are considered as features.

## The word's selection standards:

- 1 The list consists of 45k words
- 2 The  $\approx 90\%$  of the list consists of the most widespread words
- 3 The  $\approx 0,5\%$  of the list consists of the countries' names
- 4 The  $\approx 9,5\%$  of the list consists of the specific words, such as Reichstag, electrolysis etc. Moreover, they shouldn't be repeated with other words
- 5 There shouldn't be any senseless words as pronouns, articles, modal verbs etc.

# Forming a list of top words

Let's consider the steps of word selection more exactly:

- To get the most common English words we used Wolfram command `WordList[]`

In[1]:= **WordList[]**

Out[1]=

{a, aah, aardvark, aback, abacus, abaft, abalone, abandon, abandoned, abandonment, abase, abasement, abash, ...40 171..., zoo, zoological, zoologist, zoology, zoom, zoophyte, zounds, zucchini, zwieback, zydeco, zygote, zygotic, zymurgy}

large output

show less

show more

show all

set size limit...

- To get specific words we used a website that gives out the related words to any topic:  
<https://relatedwords.org/relatedto/engineer>



# Forming a list of top words

- To eliminate the same words we created a program which creates new file without duplications.

```
content_source = Train_Del.readlines()
content_new = []
j = 0

for str1 in range(len(content_source)):
    for str2 in range(len(content_new)):
        if content_source[str1] == content_new[str2]:
            j = j + 1
    if j == 0:
        New_Words.write(content_source[str1])
        content_new.append(content_source[str1])
    else:
        print(content_source[str1])
    j = 0
```

We also used this algorithm to delete senseless words

# Previous algorithms

There are a couple of already implemented path finders for wikipedia pages:

- <https://github.com/phrmsilva/Wikiracer>
- <https://github.com/stong1108/WikiRacer>

But the problem with them is... Time...

```
"Malaria" --> "Geophysics"

{
  "start": "https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Malaria",
  "end": "https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geophysics",
  "path": [
    "https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Malaria",
    "https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Compendium_of_Materia_Medica",
    "https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geology",
    "https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geophysics"
  ]
}
Time: 1m 49.279s
```

**Figure:** Time needed for previously implemented algorithm (<https://github.com/stong1108/WikiRacer>) to find a path.

# Problem with time

For  $40k$  model features we need at least  $100 - 200k$  samples. If each sample takes averaged 1 minute to load then we need to wait at least 69 days... Something definitely has to be done

# Solution




Figure: Six Degrees of Wikipedia website

# Solution

## Why this website is useful?

Consider the picture on the left where we typed in *Apple* and *Spanish Civil War*.

We can definitely add the following info to the dataset:

Apple	Spanish Civil War	2
-------	-------------------	---

But using this website we may also add:

Apple	Italy	1
Italy	Spanish Civil War	1

Thus using this website, we may generate **A LOT** of data.





Figure: Example path graph

# Data retrieval demonstration

# Distances distribution


From the *Six Degrees of Wikipedia* Github page we obtained the following distances distribution:



**Figure:** Distances distribution from the *Six Degrees of Wikipedia* statistics

Using this data, we can find the probability  $P(\rho)$  of getting a distance  $\rho$  when typing in 2 random wikipages.

Thus, at the stage of adding some pair with a known distance  $\rho$ , we can add this pair with probability  $p = P(\rho)$ , ultimately obtaining the distribution similar to one depicted before.



**Figure:** Our retrieved distribution before and after applying distribution filter



# Connection between pages

We need to somehow characterize the connection between two given pages. One way how to do that is to merge two cells that correspond to  $w_k^1$  and  $w_k^2$ , that is, find some function:

$$\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

One way to do that is use the following rule:

- 1 If  $w^1 = 0$  and  $w^2 = 0$ ,  $\varphi(w^1, w^2) = 0$
- 2 If  $w^1 = 0$  and  $w^2 > 0$  (or vice versa),  $\varphi(w^1, w^2) = -1$
- 3 If  $w^1, w^2 > 0$ ,  $\varphi(w^1, w^2) = 1$

# Building $\varphi(w^1, w^2)$

Notice that

$$\varphi(w^1, w^2) = \alpha(w^1)\alpha(w^2) - |\alpha(w^1) - \alpha(w^2)|, \quad \alpha(w) = \frac{1 - e^{-w}}{1 + e^{-w}}$$

satisfies table of values shown before.

Another way to do that is using the function:

$$\varphi(w^1, w^2) = |\tilde{w}^1 - \tilde{w}^2|$$

Where  $\tilde{w}^i$  is a "normalized" value of  $w^i$  (that is, we map  $w^i$  to the value  $\tilde{w}^i$  from 0 to 1).


The second option gave a better performance so we decided to stick to this formula.

# Training model


During the training process, the *XGB* model gave the best performance and worked faster than another models.

To form the set of hyper-parameters, we used the *RandomizedSearchCV*.

*Dimensionality reduction* did not impact positively on the efficiency.




# Dependency on the number of samples




**Figure:** As depicted on the figure, the mean squared error is almost not affected after around 5000 samples.

# Dependency on the number of features



**Figure:** As depicted on the figure, the mean squared error is almost not affected after around 1000 features.

# Most important features



*Thank you for your attention!*