Solutions to Assignment 4 for StatØk2, Block 1, 2021/2022 by Jorge Yslas

(1) a) Since we are considering a causal AR(1) model X_t has the linear process representation

$$X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j Z_{t-j} .$$

Now, since (Z_t) is an iid sequence it is strictly stationary and ergodic. Hence, (X_t) is also strictly stationary and ergodic.

We have that the Yule-Walker estimators $\hat{\phi}$ of ϕ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ of σ^2 are given by

$$\hat{\phi} = \gamma_{n,X}(1)/\gamma_{n,X}(0) = \rho_{n,X}(1),
\hat{\sigma}^2 = \gamma_{n,X}(0) \left[1 - \rho_{n,X}^2(1) \right].$$

In Assignment 2 exercise 2 we proved that for a strictly stationary and ergodic sequence the sample autocovariances and the sample autocorrelations are consistent estimators of their deterministic counterparts:

$$\gamma_{n,X}(h) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\to} \gamma_X(h)$$
 and $\rho_{n,X}(h) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\to} \rho_X(h)$.

This implies that

$$\hat{\phi} = \rho_{n,X}(1) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\to} \rho_X(1) = \phi$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \gamma_{n,X}(0) \left[1 - \rho_{n,X}^2(1) \right] \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\to} \gamma_X(0) \left[1 - \rho_X^2(1) \right] = \left(\sigma^2 (1 - \varphi^2)^{-1} \right) (1 - \varphi^2) = \sigma^2.$$

b) **Note.** For a causal AR(1) process (X_t) we have that $\rho_X(h) = \phi^{|h|}$. From Theorem 4.19 we know that

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\rho_{n,X}(1)-\rho_X(1)\right)\stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\to} Y_1$$
,

where Y_1 is N(0, w) and w is given by Bartlett's formula

$$w = w_{11} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\rho_X(k+1) + \rho_X(k-1) - 2\rho_X(1)\rho_X(k))^2$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\phi^{k+1} + \phi^{k-1} - 2\phi^1 \phi^k)^2$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\phi^k \phi^{-1} - \phi \phi^k)^2$$

$$= (\phi^{-1} - \phi)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi^{2k}$$

$$= (\frac{1 - \phi^2}{\phi})^2 (\frac{\phi^2}{1 - \phi^2})$$

$$= 1 - \phi^2.$$

Therefore

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\phi} - \phi\right) = \sqrt{n}\left(\rho_{n,X}(1) - \rho_X(1)\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\to} Y_1 \sim N(0, 1 - \phi^2).$$

(2) We have that

$$\phi(z) = 1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2$$

and

$$\theta(z) = 1 + \theta_1 z + \theta_2 z^2.$$

Let's first determine for which values of (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) there exists a causal solution. Let $\lambda = z^{-1}$, then

$$1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2 = 0$$

$$\iff z^{-2} - \phi_1 z^{-1} - \phi_2 = 0$$

$$\iff \lambda^2 - \phi_1 \lambda - \phi_2 = 0.$$

Note that the roots of these equations satisfy |z| > 1 if and only if $|\lambda| = |z^{-1}| < 1$. Therefore we will consider the equation

$$\lambda^2 - \phi_1 \lambda - \phi_2 = 0$$

and determine the range of values of (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) under which the roots of this equation satisfies $|\lambda| < 1$. We observe 2 cases:

i) Real roots $(\phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2 \ge 0 \iff \phi_2 \ge -\phi_1^2/4)$. We have that

$$|\lambda_{1,2}| < 1 \iff -1 < \lambda_{1,2} < 1 \iff -1 < \frac{\phi_1 \pm \sqrt{\phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2}}{2} < 1 \iff -2 < \phi_1 \pm \sqrt{\phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2} < 2$$

Note that

$$\phi_1 - \sqrt{\phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2} \le \phi_1 + \sqrt{\phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2}.$$

Thus, we need

$$\phi_1 + \sqrt{\phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2} < 2$$

$$\iff \sqrt{\phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2} < 2 - \phi_1$$

$$\iff \phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2 < 4 - 4\phi_1 + \phi_1^2$$

$$\iff \phi_2 < 1 - \phi_1$$

$$\iff \phi_2 + \phi_1 < 1$$

and

$$-2 < \phi_1 - \sqrt{\phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2}$$

$$\iff \sqrt{\phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2} < 2 + \phi_1$$

$$\iff \phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2 < 4 + 4\phi_1 + \phi_1^2$$

$$\iff \phi_2 < 1 + \phi_1$$

$$\iff \phi_2 - \phi_1 < 1.$$

Note that a direct consequence is that $\phi_2 < 1$.

ii) Complex roots ($\phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2 < 0 \iff \phi_2 < -\phi_1^2/4$). The roots are given by

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{\phi_1}{2} \pm i \frac{\sqrt{-\phi_1^2 - 4\phi_2}}{2} \,.$$

Now

$$|\lambda_{1,2}|^2 = \frac{\phi_1^2}{4} + \frac{-\phi_1^2 - 4\phi_2}{4} = -\phi_2 < 1.$$

Thus, we need $\phi_2 > -1$.

Combining both cases we have the following conditions:

$$\phi_2 + \phi_1 < 1$$
,
 $\phi_2 - \phi_1 < 1$,
 $|\phi_2| < 1$.

To determine the set of parameter where we have an invertible solution we need to consider the equation

$$1 + \theta_1 z + \theta_2 z^2 = 0 \iff 1 - (-\theta_1)z - (-\theta_2)z^2 = 0$$

which is exactly of the same form as the previous case. Then we have the following conditions:

$$(-\theta_2) + (-\theta_1) < 1,$$

 $(-\theta_2) - (-\theta_1) < 1,$
 $|(-\theta_2)| < 1,$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{split} \theta_2 + \theta_1 &> -1 \,, \\ \theta_2 - \theta_1 &> -1 \,, \\ |\theta_2| &< 1 \,. \end{split}$$

(3) a) We sum $X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + Z_t$ for $t = 1, \dots, n$ and divide by n:

$$\overline{X}_n - \phi \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{t-1} = \overline{Z}_n$$

$$\iff \overline{X}_n - \phi \left(\overline{X}_n - \frac{1}{n} (X_n - X_0) \right) = \overline{Z}_n$$

$$\iff (1 - \phi) \overline{X}_n = \overline{Z}_n + \frac{\phi}{n} (X_0 - X_n)$$

$$\iff \overline{X}_n = (1 - \phi)^{-1} \overline{Z}_n + \left(\frac{\phi}{1 - \phi} \right) \frac{1}{n} (X_0 - X_n)$$

$$\iff \sqrt{n} \overline{X}_n = (1 - \phi)^{-1} \sqrt{n} \overline{Z}_n + \left(\frac{\phi}{1 - \phi} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (X_0 - X_n) .$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X_{n}\right|^{2}\right] = \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}[|X_{n}|^{2}] = \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}[|X_{0}|^{2}] \to 0,$$

where we used that for a stationary process the second moment is finite and does not depend on t. Then $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X_n \stackrel{L^2}{\to} 0$ and consequently $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$. Similarly $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X_0 \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$. Using that $\sqrt{n} \, \overline{Z}_n \stackrel{d}{\to} Y \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, we get that

$$\sqrt{n} \, \overline{X}_n \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\to} (1 - \phi)^{-1} \, Y \sim N(0, (1 - \phi)^{-2} \, \sigma^2) \, .$$

b) It follows in exactly the same way as a). We know that there exists a unique stationary solution given by

$$X_t = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-j} Z_{t+j} .$$

Since X_t satisfies the AR(1) equation, we have that

$$\overline{X}_n - \phi \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{t-1} = \overline{Z}_n$$

$$\iff \sqrt{n} \, \overline{X}_n = (1 - \phi)^{-1} \sqrt{n} \, \overline{Z}_n + \left(\frac{\phi}{1 - \phi}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(X_0 - X_n\right)$$

Then, using again the convergence of $\sqrt{n}\overline{Z}_n$ and that (X_t) is stationary, we get that

$$\sqrt{n} \, \overline{X}_n \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\to} (1 - \phi)^{-1} \, Y \sim N(0, (1 - \phi)^{-2} \, \sigma^2) \, .$$

(4) a) We have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(is\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}}\sum_{t=1}^{n}Z_{t}\right)\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\sum_{t=1}^{n}i\left(\frac{s}{n^{1/\alpha}}\right)Z_{t}\right)\right]$$

$$= \prod_{t=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(i\left(\frac{s}{n^{1/\alpha}}\right)Z_{t}\right)\right]$$

$$= \prod_{t=1}^{n}\exp\left(-c\left|\frac{s}{n^{1/\alpha}}\right|^{\alpha}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-c\left|s\right|^{\alpha}\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(isZ_{1}\right)\right], \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} Z_t \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} Z_1 \,.$$

b) We have that

$$X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j Z_{t-j} \,.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^{j} Z_{t-j}\right] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^{j} \mathbb{E}\left[Z_{t-j}\right] = 0.$$

We also have that (X_t) is strictly stationary and ergodic since (Z_t) is an iid sequence. It follows then that

$$\overline{X}_n \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\to} \mathbb{E}[X_0] = 0.$$

Now, since X_t satisfies the AR(1) equation, we have that

$$\overline{X}_n - \phi \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{t-1} = \overline{Z}_n$$

$$\iff \overline{X}_n = (1 - \phi)^{-1} \overline{Z}_n + \left(\frac{\phi}{1 - \phi}\right) \frac{1}{n} (X_0 - X_n)$$

$$\iff n^{1 - 1/\alpha} \overline{X}_n = (1 - \phi)^{-1} n^{1 - 1/\alpha} \overline{Z}_n + \left(\frac{\phi}{1 - \phi}\right) \frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}} (X_0 - X_n) .$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}}X_n\right|\right] = \frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}}\mathbb{E}[|X_n|] = \frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}}\mathbb{E}[|X_0|] \to 0,$$

implying that $\frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}}X_n \stackrel{L^1}{\to} 0$ and consequently $\frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}}X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$. Similarly $\frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}}X_0 \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$. Combining these results with a), we get that

$$n^{1-1/\alpha}\overline{X}_n \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\to} (1-\phi)^{-1} Z_0$$
.

(5) We know that for $|\phi| > 1$ the unique stationary solution to the AR(1) equation $X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + Z_t$ is given by

$$X_t = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-j} Z_{t+j} .$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t] = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-j} \mathbb{E}[Z_{t+j}] = 0.$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{cov}(X_{t}, X_{t+h}) = \mathbb{E}\left[X_{t}X_{t+h}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-j} Z_{t+j}\right) \left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-k} Z_{t+h+k}\right)\right]$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi^{-j} Z_{t+j} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi^{-k} Z_{t+h+k}\right]$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi^{-j} \phi^{-k} \mathbb{E}\left[Z_{t+j} Z_{t+h+k}\right] \quad (\neq 0 \text{ when } k = j - h)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma^{2} \sum_{j=1+h}^{n} \phi^{-j} \phi^{-(j-h)}$$

$$= \sigma^{2} \phi^{h} \sum_{j=1+h}^{\infty} \phi^{-2j}$$

$$= \sigma^{2} \phi^{h} \phi^{-2h} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-2j}$$

$$= \phi^{-h} \sigma^{2} \frac{\phi^{-2}}{1 - \phi^{-2}}.$$

Implying that

$$\rho_X(h) = \phi^{-h} \, .$$

On the other hand, since $|\phi| > 1$ then $|\phi|^{-1} < 1$, which implies that $X_t = \phi^{-1}X_{t-1} + Z_t$ is causal and we know that the correlation function of the stationary solution is given by

$$\rho_X(h) = (\phi^{-1})^h = \phi^{-h}$$
.