

Solutions to Assignment 7 for StatØk2, Block 1, 2021/2022 by Jorge Yslas

1. a) In view of part c) we may assume without loss of generality that $\mu = 0$. Indeed, $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+h}] = \mathbb{E}[P_n X_{n+h}] = \mu$ and therefore $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}] = \mu - \mu = 0$.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h})^2] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{n+h} - \left(a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_{n+1-i}\right)\right)^2\right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{n+h} - \underbrace{\mu\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right)}_{a_0=0} - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_{n+1-i}\right)^2\right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}\left[X_{n+h}^2 - 2X_{n+h} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_{n+1-i} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_{n+1-i}\right)^2\right] \\
 &= \text{Var}(X_{n+h})^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \text{Cov}(X_{n+h}, X_{n+1-i}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i a_j \text{Cov}(X_{n+1-i}, X_{n+1-j}) \\
 &= \gamma_X(0) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_X(h+i-1) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i a_j \gamma_X(i-j) \\
 &= \gamma_X(0) - 2\mathbf{a}'_n \gamma_n(h) + \underbrace{\mathbf{a}'_n \Gamma_n \mathbf{a}_n}_{=\mathbf{a}'_n \gamma_n(h)} = \gamma_X(0) - \mathbf{a}'_n \gamma_n(h)
 \end{aligned}$$

b) Assume that $\mathbf{a}_n^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{a}_n^{(2)}$ are solutions to the equation $\Gamma_n \mathbf{a}_n = \gamma_n(h)$, i.e. $\Gamma_n \mathbf{a}_n^{(1)} = \gamma_n(h)$ and $\Gamma_n \mathbf{a}_n^{(2)} = \gamma_n(h)$. We also have that $a_0^{(j)} = \mu \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{(j)}\right)$ for $j = 1, 2$. As in part a) we may assume without loss of generality that $\mu = 0$ and therefore $a_0^{(j)} = 0$, $j = 1, 2$. Consider the random variable

$$Z = \underbrace{(a_0^{(1)} - a_0^{(2)})}_{=0} + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j^{(1)} - a_j^{(2)}) X_{n+1-j}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[Z^2] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (a_j^{(1)} - a_j^{(2)}) X_{n+1-j}\right)^2\right] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i^{(1)} - a_i^{(2)}) (a_j^{(1)} - a_j^{(2)}) \text{Cov}(X_{n+1-i}, X_{n+1-j}) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i^{(1)} - a_i^{(2)}) (a_j^{(1)} - a_j^{(2)}) \gamma_X(i-j) \\
 &= (\mathbf{a}_n^{(1)})' \Gamma_n \mathbf{a}_n^{(1)} - (\mathbf{a}_n^{(2)})' \Gamma_n \mathbf{a}_n^{(1)} - (\mathbf{a}_n^{(1)})' \Gamma_n \mathbf{a}_n^{(2)} + (\mathbf{a}_n^{(2)})' \Gamma_n \mathbf{a}_n^{(2)} \\
 &= (\mathbf{a}_n^{(1)})' \gamma_n(h) - (\mathbf{a}_n^{(2)})' \gamma_n(h) - (\mathbf{a}_n^{(1)})' \gamma_n(h) + (\mathbf{a}_n^{(2)})' \gamma_n(h) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $Z = 0$ a.s.

c) We have that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+h}] = \mu$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[P_n X_{n+1}] &= \mathbb{E}\left[a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_{n+1-i}\right] \\
&= a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1-i}] = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu \\
&= \mu\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu = \mu
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+h}] = \mathbb{E}[P_n X_{n+1}]$.

d) Note first that proving

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}) X_j] = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

is the same as proving

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}) X_{n+1-j}] = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

We may also assume without loss of generality that $\mu = 0$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}) X_{n+1-j}] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - \mu) - (P_n X_{n+h} - \mu)(X_{n+1-j} - \mu)] + \underbrace{\mu \mathbb{E}[X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}]}_{=0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then also $a_0 = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}) X_{n+1-j}] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{n+h} - \underbrace{\left(a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_{n+1-i}\right)}_{=0}\right) X_{n+1-j}\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[X_{n+h} X_{n+1-j}] - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1-i} X_{n+1-j}] \\
&= \gamma_X(h+j-1) - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_X(j-i)
\end{aligned}$$

but the j th equation of the system $\Gamma_n \mathbf{a}_n = \gamma_n(h)$ is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_X(j-i) = \gamma_X(h+j-1)$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}) X_j] = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

e) Let

$$M_n = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n b_j X_j : b_j \in \mathbb{R}, j = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

Let $P_n X_{n+h} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_{n+1-i} \in M_n$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}) X_j] = 0$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. We saw in d) that this system is just $\Gamma_n \mathbf{a}_n = \gamma_n(h)$, i.e. $P_n X_{n+h}$ is determined by the equation $\Gamma_n \mathbf{a}_n = \gamma_n(h)$.

Let $Y \in M_n$, so $Y = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j X_j$. Observe that $\mathbb{E}[Y] = 0$. Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}, Y) &= \text{Cov}(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}, Y) \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}) Y] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j X_j\right)\right] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h}) (X_j)] \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h})$ is orthogonal to M_n . It follows then, by the projection theorem, that $P_n X_{n+h}$ is such that

$$\mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h})^2] = \inf_{Y \in M_n} \mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - Y)^2]$$

f) We know that for an AR(1) process

$$\gamma_X(h) = \frac{\sigma^2 \phi^{|h|}}{1 - \phi^2}$$

for all h . Now consider the prediction equation $\Gamma_n \mathbf{a}_n = \gamma_n(h)$. Note that this represents the equations

$$\gamma_X(h + j - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_X(j - i), \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

Using the specific form of $\gamma_X(h)$, we have that this system is equivalent to

$$\phi^{h+j-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi^{|j-i|}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi^0 a_1 + \phi^1 a_2 + \dots + \phi^{n-1} a_n &= \phi^h \\
\phi^1 a_1 + \phi^0 a_2 + \dots + \phi^{n-2} a_n &= \phi^{h+1} \\
\phi^2 a_1 + \phi^1 a_2 + \dots + \phi^{n-3} a_n &= \phi^{h+2} \\
&\vdots \\
\phi^{n-1} a_1 + \phi^{n-2} a_2 + \dots + \phi^0 a_n &= \phi^{h+n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (\phi^h, 0, \dots, 0)$$

is the solution to the system. Thus, the linear h -step prediction is given by

$$P_n X_{n+h} = \phi^h X_n$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n] &= \mathbb{E}[\phi X_n + Z_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n] = \phi X_n \\
\mathbb{E}[X_{n+2} | X_1, \dots, X_n] &= \mathbb{E}[\phi X_{n+1} + Z_{n+2} | X_1, \dots, X_n] = \phi \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n] = \phi^2 X_n \\
&\vdots \\
\mathbb{E}[X_{n+h} | X_1, \dots, X_n] &= \phi^h X_n
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the best prediction of X_{n+h} in the class of all square integrable functions of X_1, \dots, X_n coincides with the linear h -step prediction.