Solutions to Assignment 6 for StatØk2, Block 1, 2021/2022 by Jorge Yslas

(1) By exercise 3 b) in Assignment 5, we have an AR(p) equation for $(Y_t) = (X_t^2 - \mathbb{E}[X_0^2])$.

$$(X_t^2 - \mathbb{E}[X_0^2]) - \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i (X_{t-i}^2 - \mathbb{E}[X_0^2]) = \nu_t,$$

where $(\nu_t) = (X_t^2 - \sigma_t^2)$ is white noise. This equation can be written as

$$Y_t - \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i Y_{t-i} = \nu_t \,. \tag{1}$$

Since the Yule-Walker estimator is defined for an AR(p) process driven by white noise, we can use the same form of the estimator which is based on the ACVF of (Y_t). To be precise, we calculate these covariances. Observe that (for i > 0)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\nu_t X_{t-i}^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_t^2 (Z_t^2 - 1) \sigma_{t-i}^2 Z_{t-i}^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[(Z_t^2 - 1)\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_t^2 \sigma_{t-i}^2 Z_{t-i}^2\right] = 0$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\nu_t X_t^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_t^2 (Z_t^2 - 1)\sigma_t^2 Z_t^2\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_t^4 Z_t^4\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_t^4 Z_t^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_t^4\right] \mathbb{E}\left[Z_t^4\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_t^4\right] \mathbb{E}\left[Z_t^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^4\right] \left(\mathbb{E}\left[Z_0^4\right] - 1\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[\nu_{t}Y_{t-i}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\nu_{t}\left(X_{t-i}^{2} - \mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}\right]\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\nu_{t}X_{t-i}^{2}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}\right]\mathbb{E}\left[\nu_{t}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\nu_{t}X_{t-i}^{2}\right] \\ &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{0}^{4}\right]\left(\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{0}^{4}\right] - 1\right) & i = 0, \\ 0 & i > 0. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

We also have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_{t}^{2} - \mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}\right] = 0.$$

Then

$$\gamma_Y(h) = \operatorname{cov}(Y_t, Y_{t+h}) = \mathbb{E}[Y_t Y_{t+h}].$$

Observe also that

$$\gamma_Y(h) = \text{cov}(Y_t, Y_{t+h}) = \text{cov}(X_t^2 - \mathbb{E}[X_0^2], X_{t+h}^2 - \mathbb{E}[X_0^2]) = \text{cov}(X_t^2, X_{t+h}^2) = \gamma_{X^2}(h).$$

Now, let $\tilde{\sigma} = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^4\right] \left(\mathbb{E}\left[Z_0^4\right] - 1\right)$. Then, by multiplying (1) by Y_t , we get that

$$Y_t^2 - \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i Y_{t-i} Y_t = \nu_t Y_t$$

and by taking expected values on the expression above, it follows that

$$\gamma_Y(0) - \alpha_1 \gamma_Y(1) - \alpha_2 \gamma_Y(2) - \dots - \alpha_p \gamma_Y(p) = \tilde{\sigma}.$$

Similarly by multiplying (1) by Y_{t-1} and taking expected values, we get

$$\gamma_Y(1) - \alpha_1 \gamma_Y(0) - \alpha_2 \gamma_Y(1) - \dots - \alpha_p \gamma_Y(p-1) = 0.$$

In general, if we multiply (1) by Y_{t-i} and take expected values, we get the following equations

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \gamma_Y(0) - \alpha_1 \gamma_Y(1) - \alpha_2 \gamma_Y(2) - \dots - \alpha_p \gamma_Y(p),$$

$$0 = \gamma_Y(1) - \alpha_1 \gamma_Y(0) - \alpha_2 \gamma_Y(1) - \dots - \alpha_p \gamma_Y(p-1),$$

$$\vdots = \vdots$$

$$0 = \gamma_Y(p) - \alpha_1 \gamma_Y(p-1) - \alpha_2 \gamma_Y(p-2) - \dots - \alpha_p \gamma_Y(0).$$

Then, we can replace $\gamma_Y(h)$ by $\gamma_{n,Y}(h)$ (or $\gamma_{n,X^2}(h)$) in order to estimate the parameters α_i .

(2) The general form of the Gaussian log-likelihood for a GARCH(p,q) process is

$$L_n(\theta)(X_1,\ldots,X_n) = -\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{t=1}^n \left(2\log \sigma_t(\theta) + \frac{X_t^2}{\sigma_t^2(\theta)} \right).$$

Now, for an ARCH(1) process we have that (X_t) satisfies the equations

$$X_t = \sigma_t Z_t ,$$

$$\sigma_t^2 = \sigma^2(\theta) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_{t-1}^2 ,$$

where $\theta = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ is any value in the parameter space, $\theta_0 = (\alpha_0^{(0)}, \alpha_1^{(0)})$ is the value of θ underlying the observations X_1, \ldots, X_n (true parameter), $\sigma_i(\theta)$ is obtained by the ARCH(1) equations with parameter θ , using the observations X_i .

Then, even if the values σ_t are unobservable we can use the second equation to express σ_t in terms of the observed values X_t . Note also that we need to choose an initial value X_0 , since $\sigma_1^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_0^2$. Thus, the log-likelihood takes the following form

$$L_n(\theta)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = -\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{t=1}^n \left(\log \left(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_{t-1}^2 \right) + \frac{X_t^2}{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_{t-1}^2} \right).$$

On the other hand, in a GARCH(1,1) process we have that (X_t) satisfies the equations

$$X_t = \sigma_t Z_t$$
,
 $\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_t^2(\theta) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2$,

where $\theta = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_1)$ is an element of the parameter space, X_1, \ldots, X_n are the observations which correspond to the true parameter θ_0 to be estimated.

Again, we can use the second equation to express σ_t in terms of the X_t 's. However, this equation involves previous values of σ_t , then we have to give an initial value σ_0 in order to be able to compute all consecutive values of σ_t . We also need an initial value for X_0 to be able to caluclate σ_1^2 . For instance, if we take $\sigma_0 = X_0 = 0$ we have

$$\begin{split} \sigma_1^2 &= \alpha_0 \,, \\ \sigma_2^2 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_1^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_1^2 \,, \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_n^2 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_{n-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{n-1}^2 \,. \end{split}$$

Then we can put these expressions into the log-likelihood

$$L_n(\theta)(X_1,\ldots,X_n) = -\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{t=1}^n \left(2\log \sigma_t + \frac{X_t^2}{\sigma_t^2} \right).$$

(3) a) We have that (X_t) satisfies the equations

$$X_t = \sigma_t Z_t ,$$

 $\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 .$

Then

$$\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \sigma_{t-1}^2 \left(\alpha_1 Z_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \right) . \tag{2}$$

Let's first compute $var(X_0)$. We have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^2 Z_0^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^2\right]$$

since we assume $\mathbb{E}[Z_0^2] = 1$. Now, by taking expected values in (2), we get that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_t^2\right] = \alpha_0 + \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t-1}^2\right] (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) .$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^2\right] = \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - (\alpha_1 + \beta_1)} = \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \phi} \,,$$

where $\phi = \alpha_1 + \beta_1$. We also have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^4\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^4 Z_0^4\right] = 3\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^4\right]$$

since for standard normal Z_0 , $\mathbb{E}\left[Z_0^4\right]=3$. Then, by using (2), we get that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}^{4}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\alpha_{0} + \sigma_{t-1}^{2} \left(\alpha_{1} Z_{t-1}^{2} + \beta_{1}\right)\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\alpha_{0}^{2} + 2\alpha_{0}\sigma_{t-1}^{2} \left(\alpha_{1} Z_{t-1}^{2} + \beta_{1}\right) + \sigma_{t-1}^{4} \left(\alpha_{1} Z_{t-1}^{2} + \beta_{1}\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \alpha_{0}^{2} + 2\alpha_{0}\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t-1}^{2}\right] \left(\alpha_{1} + \beta_{1}\right) + \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t-1}^{4}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\alpha_{1} Z_{t-1}^{2} + \beta_{1}\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \alpha_{0}^{2} + 2\alpha_{0}\phi\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t-1}^{2}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t-1}^{4}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\alpha_{1}^{2} Z_{t-1}^{4} + 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} Z_{t-1}^{2} + \beta_{1}^{2}\right]$$

$$= \alpha_{0}^{2} + 2\alpha_{0}\phi \frac{\alpha_{0}}{1 - \phi} + \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t-1}^{4}\right] \left(3\alpha_{1}^{2} + 2\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} + \beta_{1}^{2}\right)$$

$$= \alpha_{0}^{2} + 2\alpha_{0}^{2}\frac{\phi}{1 - \phi} + \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t-1}^{4}\right] \left(2\alpha_{1}^{2} + \phi^{2}\right),$$

which implies that (here we need that σ_0 has finite 4th moment)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^4\right] = \frac{\alpha_0^2 \left(\frac{1+\phi}{1-\phi}\right)}{1 - \left(2\alpha_1^2 + \phi^2\right)}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^4\right] = \frac{3\alpha_0^2 (1+\phi)}{(1-(2\alpha_1^2+\phi^2))(1-\phi)}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{var}(X_0^2) &= \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^4\right] - (\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right])^2 \\ &= \frac{3\alpha_0^2\left(1+\phi\right)}{(1-(2\alpha_1^2+\phi^2))(1-\phi)} - \frac{\alpha_0^2}{(1-\phi)^2} \\ &= \frac{3\alpha_0^2\left(1+\phi\right)\left(1-\phi\right) - \alpha_0^2(1-(2\alpha_1^2+\phi^2))}{(1-(2\alpha_1^2+\phi^2))(1-\phi)^2} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0^2\left(3(1-\phi^2)-1+2\alpha_1^2+\phi^2\right)}{(1-(2\alpha_1^2+\phi^2))(1-\phi)^2} \\ &= \frac{2\alpha_0^2\left(1+\alpha_1^2-\phi^2\right)}{(1-(2\alpha_1^2+\phi^2))(1-\phi)^2} \,. \end{aligned}$$

We now compute $cov(X_0^2, X_1^2)$. We have that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2 X_1^2\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^2 Z_0^2 \sigma_1^2 Z_1^2\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^2 Z_0^2 \sigma_1^2\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^2 Z_0^2 \left(\alpha_0 + \sigma_0^2 \left(\alpha_1 Z_0^2 + \beta_1\right)\right)\right] \\ &= \alpha_0 \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^2\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^4\right] \left(3\alpha_1 + \beta_1\right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0^2}{1 - \phi} + \frac{\alpha_0^2 \left(1 + \phi\right)}{\left(1 - \left(2\alpha_1^2 + \phi^2\right)\right)\left(1 - \phi\right)} \left(2\alpha_1 + \phi\right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0^2 \left(1 - 2\alpha_1^2 - \phi^2 + 2\alpha_1 + \phi + 2\alpha_1\phi + \phi^2\right)}{\left(1 - \left(2\alpha_1^2 + \phi^2\right)\right)\left(1 - \phi\right)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0^2 \left(1 + \phi + 2\alpha_1\left(1 + \phi\right) - 2\alpha_1^2\right)}{\left(1 - \left(2\alpha_1^2 + \phi^2\right)\right)\left(1 - \phi\right)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0^2 \left(\left(1 + \phi\right)\left(1 + 2\alpha_1\right) - 2\alpha_1^2\right)}{\left(1 - \left(2\alpha_1^2 + \phi^2\right)\right)\left(1 - \phi\right)} \,. \end{split}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{cov}(X_0^2, X_1^2) &= \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2 X_1^2\right] - (\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right])^2 \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0^2 \left((1+\phi)(1+2\alpha_1) - 2\alpha_1^2\right)}{(1-(2\alpha_1^2+\phi^2))(1-\phi)} - \frac{\alpha_0^2}{(1-\phi)^2} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0^2 \left((1+\phi)(1+2\alpha_1) - 2\alpha_1^2\right)(1-\phi) - \alpha_0^2(1-(2\alpha_1^2+\phi^2))}{(1-(2\alpha_1^2+\phi^2))(1-\phi)^2} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0^2 \left((1-\phi^2)(1+2\alpha_1) - 2\alpha_1^2(1-\phi) - 1 + 2\alpha_1^2 + \phi^2\right)}{(1-(2\alpha_1^2+\phi^2))(1-\phi)^2} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0^2 \left(2\alpha_1(1-\phi^2) + 2\alpha_1^2\phi\right)}{(1-(2\alpha_1^2+\phi^2))(1-\phi)^2} \\ &= \frac{2\alpha_0^2\alpha_1 \left(1+\alpha_1\phi-\phi^2\right)}{(1-(2\alpha_1^2+\phi^2))(1-\phi)^2} \,. \end{split}$$

b) We have that

$$\rho_{X^2}(1) = \rho_{X^2}(1)\phi^{1-1}.$$

We can calculate $\rho_{X^2}(1)$ by using the values $\gamma_{X^2}(i)$, i = 0, 1, from a).

Assume that

$$\rho_{X^2}(h) = \rho_{X^2}(1)\phi^{h-1}$$

for some $h \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{split} \rho_{X^2}(h+1) &= \frac{\gamma_{X^2}(h+1)}{\gamma_{X^2}(0)} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_{X^2}(h+1)}{\gamma_{X^2}(0)} \frac{\gamma_{X^2}(h)}{\gamma_{X^2}(h)} \\ &= \frac{\gamma_{X^2}(h)}{\gamma_{X^2}(0)} \frac{\gamma_{X^2}(h+1)}{\gamma_{X^2}(h)} \\ &= \rho_{X^2}(h) \frac{\gamma_{X^2}(h+1)}{\gamma_{X^2}(h)} \\ &= \rho_{X^2}(1) \phi^{h-1} \frac{\gamma_{X^2}(h+1)}{\gamma_{X^2}(h)} \,. \end{split}$$

We know that for s > 0

$$\gamma_{X^2}(s) = \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2 X_s^2\right] - (\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right])^2$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2X_s^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\sigma_s^2Z_s^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\sigma_s^2\right] \,.$$

Now

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}X_{h+1}^{2}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}\sigma_{h+1}^{2}Z_{h+1}^{2}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}\sigma_{h+1}^{2}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}\left(\alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}X_{h}^{2} + \beta_{1}\sigma_{h}^{2}\right)\right] \\ &= \alpha_{0}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}\right] + \alpha_{1}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}X_{h}^{2}\right] + \beta_{1}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}\sigma_{h}^{2}\right] \\ &= \alpha_{0}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}\right] + \alpha_{1}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}X_{h}^{2}\right] + \beta_{1}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}X_{h}^{2}\right] \\ &= \alpha_{0}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}\right] + \phi\mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}^{2}X_{h}^{2}\right] \;. \end{split}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{X^2}(h+1) &= \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2 X_{h+1}^2\right] - (\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right])^2 \\ &= \alpha_0 \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right] + \phi \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2 X_h^2\right] - (\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right])^2 \\ &= \alpha_0 \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right] + \phi\left(\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2 X_h^2\right] - (\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right])^2\right) + \phi(\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right])^2 - (\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right])^2 \\ &= \alpha_0 \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right] + \phi \gamma_{X^2}(h) - (1 - \phi)(\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right])^2 \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0^2}{1 - \phi} + \phi \gamma_{X^2}(h) - (1 - \phi)\left(\frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \phi}\right)^2 \\ &= \phi \gamma_{X^2}(h) \,. \end{split}$$

Which implies that

$$\frac{\gamma_{X^2}(h+1)}{\gamma_{X^2}(h)} = \phi$$

and consequently

$$\rho_{X^2}(h+1) = \rho_{X^2}(1)\phi^{(h+1)-1}$$
.

(4) The spectral density of a real-valued stationary process is given by

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-in\lambda} \gamma(n), \quad \lambda \in [-\pi, \pi].$$

We know that $\gamma(h) = \gamma(-h)$ for all h, then

$$f(-\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-in(-\lambda)} \gamma(n)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(-n)\lambda} \gamma(-n)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ik\lambda} \gamma(k)$$
$$= f(\lambda).$$

Meaning that the spectral density is even. Then it is enough to compute the density only on $[0, \pi]$.

(5) From exercise 3. a) in assignment 5, we know that $\mathbb{E}\left[X_0^4\right] < \infty$ if and only if $3\alpha_1^2 < 1$. We also know by exercise 1 that $(X_t^2 - \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right])$ satisfies the AR(1) equation

$$(X_t^2 - \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right]) - \alpha_1(X_{t-1}^2 - \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right]) = \nu_t,$$

where $\nu_t = X_t^2 - \sigma_t^2$ is white noise with $\operatorname{var}(\nu_t) = \tilde{\sigma}^2 = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^4\right] \left(\mathbb{E}\left[Z_0^4\right] - 1\right) = 2\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^4\right] = 2\alpha_0^2 \left(1 + \alpha_1\right) / \left((1 - 3\alpha_1^2)(1 - \alpha_1)\right)$. Then, the spectral density of (X_t^2) is given by

$$f_{X^2}(\lambda) = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^2}{2\pi} \left| 1 - \alpha_1 e^{-i\lambda} \right|^{-2} = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^2}{2\pi} \left(1 - 2\alpha_1 \cos(\lambda) + \alpha_1^2 \right) .$$

(6) For (X_t) , we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_{t}Z_{t}\right] = 0.$$

Also

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_t X_{t+h}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_t Z_t \sigma_{t+h} Z_{t+h}\right] = 0$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_t^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_t^2 Z_t^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^2\right] = \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_1 - \beta_1} = \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Then

$$\gamma_X(h) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_0}{1-\phi} & h = 0, \\ 0 & h \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

i.e., (X_t) is white noise. Therefore its spectral density is given by

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^2\right]}{2\pi} = \frac{\alpha_0}{2\pi(1-\phi)}.$$

We know that the general form of the spectral density of a causal ARMA(p,q) process is

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} \frac{|\theta(e^{-i\lambda})|^2}{|\phi(e^{-i\lambda})|^2}.$$

For an ARMA(1,1) causal process we have that $\theta(z) = 1 + \theta z$ and $\phi(z) = 1 - \phi z$, then the spectral density is given by

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} \frac{|1 + \theta e^{-i\lambda}|^2}{|1 - \phi e^{-i\lambda}|^2}$$
$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} \frac{1 + 2\theta \cos(\lambda) + \theta^2}{1 - 2\phi \cos(\lambda) + \phi^2}$$

We can use this result to find the spectral density of (X_t^2) . We have that (X_t) satisfies the GARCH(1,1) equations

$$X_t = \sigma_t Z_t$$
,
 $\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2$.

Then

$$X_{t}^{2} - \alpha_{1}X_{t-1}^{2} = \alpha_{0} + \beta_{1}\sigma_{t-1}^{2} + X_{t}^{2} - \sigma_{t}^{2}$$

$$\iff X_{t}^{2} - (\alpha_{1} + \beta_{1})X_{t-1}^{2} = \alpha_{0} - \beta_{1}(X_{t-1}^{2} - \sigma_{t-1}^{2}) + (X_{t}^{2} - \sigma_{t}^{2})$$

$$\iff X_{t}^{2} - (\alpha_{1} + \beta_{1})X_{t-1}^{2} = \alpha_{0} - \beta_{1}\nu_{t-1} + \nu_{t}$$

$$\iff (X_{t}^{2} - \mathbb{E}[X_{0}^{2}]) - (\alpha_{1} + \beta_{1})(X_{t-1}^{2} - \mathbb{E}[X_{0}^{2}]) = \nu_{t} - \beta_{1}\nu_{t-1},$$

where $\nu_t = X_t^2 - \sigma_t^2$ is a white noise with $\text{var}(\nu_t) = \tilde{\sigma}^2 = \mathbb{E}\left[\sigma_0^4\right] \left(\mathbb{E}\left[Z_0^4\right] - 1\right)$. Thus, $(X_t^2 - \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2\right])$ satisfies an ARMA(1,1) equation with $\theta(z) = 1 + (-\beta_1)z$ and $\phi(z) = 1 - (\alpha_1 + \beta_1)z$. Then the spectral density is given by

$$f_{X^2}(\lambda) = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^2}{2\pi} \frac{1 - 2\beta_1 \cos(\lambda) + \beta_1^2}{1 - 2(\alpha_1 + \beta_1)\cos(\lambda) + (\alpha_1 + \beta_1)^2}.$$

(7) a) Let $X_j := n^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^n Z_t \cos(\lambda_j t)$ and $Y_j := n^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^n Z_t \sin(\lambda_j t)$, $\lambda_j \in (0, \pi/2)$. Since these random variables have mean zero and are jointly Gaussian it is enough to compute their covariances which determine the joint distribution. Thus

$$\mathbb{E}[X_j] = n^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Z_j] \cos(\lambda_j t) = 0$$

and

$$\operatorname{var}(X_j) = n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \operatorname{var}(Z_j) \cos^2(\lambda_j t)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{1 + \cos(2\lambda_j t)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{2n} \left(n + \sum_{t=1}^n \cos(2\lambda_j t) \right)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{2n} \left(n + \frac{\cos(\lambda_j (n+1)) \sin(\lambda_j n)}{\sin(\lambda_j)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{2}.$$

In the last step we used that $\sin(\lambda_j n) = \sin(2\pi j) = 0$. On the other hand,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_j] = n^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[Z_j] \sin(\lambda_j t) = 0$$

and

$$\operatorname{var}(Y_j) = n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \operatorname{var}(Z_j) \sin^2(\lambda_j t)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{1 - \cos(2\lambda_j t)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{2n} \left(n - \sum_{t=1}^n \cos(2\lambda_j t) \right)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{2n} \left(n - \frac{\cos(\lambda_j (n+1)) \sin(\lambda_j n)}{\sin(\lambda_j)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{2}.$$

This shows that $X_j, Y_j \sim N(0, \sigma^2/2)$. Now the covariances

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{j}Y_{j}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(n^{-1/2}\sum_{t=1}^{n}Z_{t}\cos(\lambda_{j}t)\right)\left(n^{-1/2}\sum_{t=1}^{n}Z_{t}\sin(\lambda_{j}t)\right)\right]$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\cos(\lambda_{j}t)\sin(\lambda_{j}t)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2n}\sum_{t=1}^{n}\sin(2\lambda_{j}t)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2n}\frac{\sin(\lambda_{j}(n+1))\sin(\lambda_{j}n)}{\sin(\lambda_{j})}$$

$$= 0.$$

For the next calculations we assume $j \neq k$.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{j}Y_{k}\right] = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \cos(\lambda_{j}t) \sin(\lambda_{k}t)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left(\sin((\lambda_{j} + \lambda_{k})t) + \sin((\lambda_{k} - \lambda_{j})t)\right)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2n} \left(\frac{\sin((\lambda_{j} + \lambda_{k})(n+1)/2) \sin((\lambda_{j} + \lambda_{k})n/2)}{\sin((\lambda_{j} + \lambda_{k})/2)} + \frac{\sin((\lambda_{k} - \lambda_{j})(n+1)/2) \sin((\lambda_{k} - \lambda_{j})n/2)}{\sin((\lambda_{k} - \lambda_{j})/2)}\right)$$

$$= 0,$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{j}X_{k}\right] = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \cos(\lambda_{j}t) \cos(\lambda_{k}t)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left(\cos((\lambda_{j} + \lambda_{k})t) + \cos((\lambda_{k} - \lambda_{j})t)\right)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2n} \left(\frac{\cos((\lambda_{j} + \lambda_{k})(n+1)/2) \sin((\lambda_{j} + \lambda_{k})n/2)}{\sin((\lambda_{j} + \lambda_{k})/2)} + \frac{\cos((\lambda_{k} - \lambda_{j})(n+1)/2) \sin((\lambda_{k} - \lambda_{j})n/2)}{\sin((\lambda_{k} - \lambda_{j})/2)}\right)$$

$$= 0$$

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_j Y_k] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \sin(\lambda_j t) \sin(\lambda_k t)$$
$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{2n} \sum_{t=1}^n (\cos((\lambda_k - \lambda_j)t) - \cos((\lambda_j + \lambda_k)t))$$
$$= 0.$$

Hence all X_j, Y_j are uncorrelated and have the same distribution. Since they are Gaussian all X_j, Y_j are iid. Now,

$$2\pi I_{n,Z}(\lambda_j) = X_j^2 + Y_j^2 = \sigma^2(\xi_2^2/2) \stackrel{d}{=} \sigma^2 Exp(1)$$

and hence $2pI_{n,Z}(\lambda_j)$, $1 \le j < n/2$ are iid exponential.

b)
$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq q} \frac{2\pi I_{n,Z}(\lambda_i)}{\sigma^2} - \log q \leq x\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq q} \frac{2\pi I_{n,Z}(\lambda_i)}{\sigma^2} \leq x + \log q\right) \\
= \left(\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{2\pi I_{n,Z}(\lambda_1)}{\sigma^2} \leq x + \log q\right)\right)^q \\
= \left(1 - e^{-(x + \log q)}\right)^q \\
= \left(1 - \frac{e^{-x}}{q}\right)^q \\
\to \exp(-e^{-x}).$$