ChatGPT

Tide-Dominated Estuary Depositional System

Tide-dominated estuaries are coastal inlets where strong tidal currents (often in macrotidal regimes) dominate sediment transport, as opposed to wave-driven or purely fluvial processes. These systems typically form in drowned river valleys or embayments with large tidal ranges, producing a characteristic funnel-shaped morphology and extensive intertidal zones. The sedimentary architecture is complex and multiscale – from the overall estuary shape down to tidal channel networks, mudflats, and sand bars. Below is a comprehensive rule set for modeling a tide-dominated estuarine depositional system, covering its hierarchical structure, governing physical factors, temporal evolution, static layout construction, quantitative relationships, visual examples, and even pseudocode guidance for generative modeling.

1. Hierarchical Multiscale Structure

- **Basin-Scale Estuary Geometry:** Tide-dominated estuaries typically exhibit a *funnel-shaped* planform – a wide mouth opening to the sea that narrows landward [1]. This shape amplifies tidal energy inland and creates a pronounced spatial gradient in width and depth. The outer estuary (seaward end) is broad and open, whereas the inner estuary tapers toward the river inlet. Sediment deposition at the mouth often forms a *subtidal delta or tidal sand bank* complex inside the estuary entrance [2]. These systems lack the prominent wave-built barrier islands of wave-dominated estuaries; instead, the mouth remains open, with tidal bars and shoals developed by strong tidal currents.

- **Outer Estuary (Tidal Bar Zone):** The seaward zone is dominated by *elongate sand bars and broad sand flats* formed by tidal currents [3]. Multiple tidal channels weave around these bars, creating a braided or anabranching pattern in the estuary mouth region. Net sand transport is typically landward (flood-dominant) here, so sand eroded from the coast (via littoral drift) or delivered by the river tends to accumulate in the mouth area as linear tidal bars [2] [4]. These bars and shoals can be large (hundreds of meters wide and up to a few kilometers long) and often emerge as islands at low tide [5]. Broad sand flats occupy much of the intertidal area between channels, reflecting the high tidal range and current velocities. Overall, the outer estuary planform is dynamic and multi-channeled, lacking significant sinuosity because strong tides keep channels relatively straight or low-sinuosity in this zone [3].

- **Middle Estuary (Central Basin Mixing Zone):** Farther landward, where tidal and fluvial influences converge, estuaries often develop a *central mixing zone*. In a tide-dominated estuary, this zone is characterized by tight meandering channels and a balance of sediment transport by tidal inflow and river outflow [3]. One or more channels may exhibit pronounced meanders as flow energy oscillates, depositing point bars and muddy overbank sediments. This is the locus of the **bedload convergence** (tidal flood and river currents deposit sediment in the long term) [3]. As a result, sediment here is typically finer (muddy sand to mud) and the morphology may include broad tidal flat basins with high turbidity (the *estuarine turbidity maximum* zone) [6]. Intertidal mudflats are extensive, flanking the sinuous channels. This middle zone often corresponds to the "central basin" of classic estuarine models – a low-energy area where fine sediment accumulates due to the diminished net flow and where tidal currents and river currents even out over a cycle.

- **Inner Estuary (River-Dominated Zone):** In the landward reach, tidal influence wanes and fluvial processes become dominant. The inner tide-dominated estuary usually transitions into a single, relatively straight *tidal river channel* that connects to the river upstream [7]. Here the channel is often low-sinuosity and confined, as river discharge dominates channel form and tidal currents are weaker. Sediments in this zone are largely muds (often of fluvial origin) deposited in overbank areas and tidal flats, with perhaps some sand in channel lag deposits [8]. The channel banks may host tidal wetlands (e.g. mangroves or salt marshes in modern settings) which further trap mud. This inner segment marks the upstream limit of brackish tidal facies – beyond this, fluvial (non-tidal) deposits prevail.

- **Intertidal Flats and Marsh Fringe:** Across all zones, expansive *tidal flats* are a hallmark of tide-dominated estuaries. These flats occupy the intertidal elevation range, alternating between subaqueous and exposed conditions each tide. Coarser sand tends to deposit on the lower flats near active channels or bars, whereas finer silts and clays settle on the more distal flats during slack water [9]. Toward the high-tide line, vegetation can colonize (salt marshes or mangroves, climate permitting), stabilizing the sediment. Marshes typically form on the upper flats that flood only during high tides; their sediments are mud-dominated with organics and thin sand layers (often from storm washovers or spring tides) [10] [11]. These vegetated fringes enhance vertical accretion by trapping sediment and can develop peat layers over time. In contrast, the lower, frequently flooded flats (sometimes called *barren zones*) show ripple cross-lamination, mud drapes, and bioturbation from marine organisms [9]. Overall, the distribution of facies is such that **coarsest sediments (sand)** occur at the energetic mouth and main channels, whereas **finest sediments (mud)** accumulate on the broad flats and in the sheltered inner estuary [8]. This grain-size segregation produces a lateral and longitudinal facies gradation, from sandy tidal bars and channels to heterolithic (mixed sand-mud) point bars, to muddy flats and marshes.

2. Physical Drivers and Controls

The morphology and evolution of tide-dominated estuaries are governed by several key physical drivers and boundary conditions. These include tidal forcing, river input, sediment supply, basin geometry, and wave climate, among others [12]. Each factor influences the estuarine dynamics and resulting depositional patterns:

- **Tidal Range and Prism:** The tidal range (vertical difference between high and low water) is a primary control on estuary character. Tide-dominated estuaries occur in mesotidal to macrotidal settings – typically mean spring tidal range above ~2–4 m [13]. A larger tidal range increases the *tidal prism* (the volume of water exchanged each tide), which in turn demands larger channel cross-sections and extensive intertidal areas. The tidal prism (P) can be estimated as `P = H * A_basin` (tidal range * flooded area) [14]. A bigger prism scours deeper/wider channels and transports more sediment. Tidal range also influences *tidal asymmetry*: in funnel-shaped estuaries, the tide wave distorts such that flood duration is shorter with a higher peak flow than the ebb [4]. This flood-dominant asymmetry causes net landward sediment transport (especially of sand) under low-to-moderate river discharge [15]. In contrast, if the tidal range were smaller (microtidal), waves or river processes might dominate and the estuary morphology would differ markedly (e.g. more prone to inlet closure by waves).

- **Tidal Currents and Tidal Prism Relationship:** The magnitude of tidal currents and the size of inlet channels are tied to the tidal prism. Empirical "regime" relationships (O'Brien-Jarrett) link the *equilibrium inlet cross-sectional area* (A) to the tidal prism by a power-law [16]. For example, one classic formulation is: **A ≈ 1000 * P^0.85** (with A in m^2 and P in m^3 for certain coastal settings) [16]. This means a very large prism will maintain a wide, deep inlet, whereas a smaller prism leads to a narrower/shallower inlet. In designing a model estuary, one should ensure that channel dimensions are consistent with such relationships so the system can convey the tidal flow. Tidal current velocity (approximately $U \sim P/A$ per tidal phase) will be higher in narrower cross-sections, promoting erosion until equilibrium is reached. Thus, **tidal prism controls channel geometry**, which in turn feeds back into tidal flow speeds – a fundamental self-adjusting mechanism of estuaries [17].

- **River Discharge:** Freshwater inflow (and its sediment load) from the river at the estuary head is the other major forcing. In tide-dominated estuaries, river discharge is often moderate relative to tidal fluxes, but it still influences stratification, sediment supply, and the net transport balance. High river flow tends to *flush sediment seaward (ebb-dominance)*, while low flow periods allow tidal currents to push marine sediment inward [6]. Seasonal floods can rework or breach tidal flats, creating crevasse channels, and deliver pulses of mud and sand that settle in the central estuary. The river's sediment characteristics are important: for instance, mud-rich rivers (common in tropics) will contribute to extensive mudflat development [18], whereas sand-bed rivers may supply more bedload sand to the estuary. **River flow vs. tidal flow ratio** is a key dimensionless parameter: if river discharge is very high (approaching or exceeding tidal discharge), the estuary may shift toward a river-dominated or deltaic regime, infilling more rapidly and reducing tidal influence. Conversely, negligible river input yields a "tidal embayment" with marine-dominated sediment.

- **Sediment Supply:** The availability and grain size of sediment from both marine and fluvial sources strongly control depositional features. Tide-dominated estuaries generally have abundant sediment input that allows development of bars and flats. *Marine-derived sand* (often delivered via littoral drift or reworked offshore sand) tends to accumulate in the outer estuary, forming tidal shoals and inlet bars [2]. *Fluvial sediments* (sands, silts, clays from the river) are transported into the estuary's head and central basin. The balance of these sources can dictate facies distribution: for example, an estuary receiving copious mud from a river will develop extensive mudflats and turbidity maxima, whereas one with sand-rich marine input will emphasize sandy tidal bars. If sediment supply exceeds accommodation, the estuary infills (transitions toward a delta); if supply is very low, the estuary may remain sediment-starved with rock or hard substrate in places. Importantly, **fine sediment trapping** is enhanced by tidal processes: flood currents import fines and the slower ebb plus gravitational circulation can retain them, leading to a turbidity maximum zone [6]. Over time, this process can silt up an estuary if not balanced by sediment export. Human interventions (dams reducing fluvial sediment, or dredging) also alter this balance drastically.

- **Basin Shape and Size:** The geometry of the estuarine basin (length, width convergence, depth profile) modifies how tides propagate and where energy is focused. A classic funnel-shaped planform causes the tide wave to *converge and amplify* landward (until friction dampens it), a phenomenon observed in many macrotidal estuaries [19]. The degree of channel convergence (width reduction and depth change upstream) influences tidal *harmonic distortion* – strong convergence tends to produce flood-dominant conditions (short, intense flood tides) as noted above [4]. The *length* of the estuary relative to tidal wavelength determines resonance and the phase between high water and high current; if the estuary length is such that the tidal wave is quartered, maximal asymmetry occurs. Basin depth matters too: shallower basins have larger intertidal area relative to volume, increasing tidal prism and friction. Deep basins may dampen tidal range inland. Additionally, geological constraints (rocky banks or bedrock sill at the mouth) can pin channel locations or limit cross-sectional size [20]. In modeling, one must consider that *morphology and hydraulics co-evolve*: for instance, strong tidal scour will deepen a channel until equilibrium currents are achieved [21]. A wide, shallow embayment will tend to fill with sediment and develop channels/flats through this morphodynamic feedback.

- **Wave Energy:** By definition, tide-dominated estuaries exist where wave energy is comparatively low to moderate, but waves still play a role. *Low wave energy* at the estuary mouth is what allows tidal processes to maintain an open inlet without a large barrier spit. If wave action were stronger, it would favor a wave-dominated estuary (bar-built lagoon) with a different morphology. In tide-dominated systems, waves mainly affect the outer estuary margins – for example, occasional storm waves can rework the tops of tidal flats or prevent marsh vegetation on very exposed flats [22]. Also, littoral drift driven by oblique waves contributes sand to the estuary mouth (feeding the tidal bars) [2]. *Higher waves* can form swash bars or cheniers on mudflats, and in extreme cases, build a partial barrier at the mouth that the tide must breach. Typically though, tide-dominated estuaries are found in protected macrotidal coasts or embayments where wave heights are not excessive. Wave chop inside the estuary can resuspend fine sediment on flats, influencing sedimentation patterns (e.g., mudflats might develop sandier storm layers [9]). When implementing a model, one might simplify wave effects as a diffusive smoothing of features (rounding of bar crests, redistribution of the finest sediments), but not as the primary shaping force.

- **Tidal Asymmetry and Flow Partitioning:** The interaction of tides with basin geometry often leads to *flood/ebb asymmetry* and the development of separate flood-dominated and ebb-dominated channels. Strong flood currents (due to asymmetry) import bedload sand, whereas ebb currents might exit via different routes. Over time, this can produce distinct channels – some carrying mainly flood tides, others mainly ebb (often deeper) [23]. Coriolis forces can also cause asymmetry (e.g., preferentially eroding one bank and building the other). While not a "driver" per se, this internal dynamic is a control on channel network complexity. It should be accounted for: for instance, wide estuaries often have paired channels (ebb channel often straighter and deeper, flood channels on the sides).

By considering these drivers – tidal range/prism, river flow, sediment supply, basin shape, and wave climate – one can predict or modulate how an estuary's morphology will self-adjust. A physically accurate model will incorporate these as parameters controlling channel dimensions, bar formation, and facies distribution rules.

3. Time-Evolution Rules

A tide-dominated estuary is a highly dynamic system, evolving over timescales from tidal cycles to millennia. The following rules describe how key processes drive morphological change over time:

- **Tidal Channel Migration:** Tidal channels in soft sediment will migrate laterally and longitudinally over years to decades. Similar to rivers, sinuous tidal channels erode on outer bends and deposit on inner bends, causing meander growth and lateral migration of the channel path. However, the presence of reversing flow (ebb vs flood) can create more complex migration patterns – e.g.

alternating dominance might straighten channels or create compound meander loops. In energetic macrotidal estuaries, channels can migrate rapidly; for example, in the Baie du Mont-Saint-Michel (France), strong tides cause channels to shift position in a quasi-cyclic manner [24]. Over time, migrating channels erode tidal flats on their outer banks and build up point bars (often muddy-sandy heterolithic deposits) on inner banks. This migration can undercut marsh edges, contributing to cycles of marsh erosion and regeneration [24]. In a modeling sense, one should allow channel nodes or centerlines to move and occasionally cutoff (forming abandoned channels) as part of natural evolution. Avulsions are less common than in rivers but can occur if a channel breaches a narrow marsh or bar to take a shorter path during extreme tides or floods.

- **Bar Accretion and Migration:** Tidal sand bars (shoals) within the estuary tend to *grow and migrate* under the influence of tidal currents. Flood tides carry sand inward and often deposit it as flow decelerates in the central estuary, causing bars to accrete on their landward side. Ebb currents may trim the seaward side or cause slight seaward bar migration, but in flood-dominated regimes the net movement of bars is landward (transgressive migration) or steady aggradation in place. Mouth bars (at the estuary entrance) can *extend far into the estuary* as sediment continues to be imported – for instance, the Qiantang Estuary in China has a mouth bar that extends over 100 km inward [25]. These bars are often dynamic, with their crests shifting during spring-neap cycles and with episodic growth during high-sediment events. Smaller subtidal bars within channels migrate with the tidal currents, analogous to dunes or sand waves, and can merge to build larger barforms. Over long periods, tidal bars may attach to the estuary margins (forming new land or islands) as seen in some estuaries where linear sandbanks eventually merged with the shoreline [26]. In modeling time evolution, bars can be treated as mobile sediment bodies that grow when sediment supply is ample (especially during calm periods) and erode or shift when currents increase (e.g., during storms or floods).

- **Mudflat Expansion and Sedimentation:** Mudflats and tidal marshes typically *expand vertically and laterally* as sediment accumulates. During each tidal cycle, fine sediment is deposited on the flats during slack water. Over years, this leads to vertical accretion of the flat surface, raising it closer to high-tide level. Eventually, previously subtidal areas become intertidal, and intertidal become supratidal (allowing vegetation to colonize, turning mudflat into marsh). This process is often faster in tide-dominated estuaries because the tidal currents continuously bring new sediment and the flood-dominant transport traps fines inside [6]. Lateral expansion occurs as mudflats prograde into open water areas or channels, narrowing the channels over time (unless channels migrate and erode the edges). In the absence of disturbances, tidal flats tend toward an equilibrium profile (concave-up shape in cross-section) determined by tides and sediment settling velocities. If relative sea level is stable or falling, mudflats *prograde seaward*, reducing the water area. If sea level rises, mudflats may retreat landward unless sedimentation keeps pace. This interplay defines the *morphodynamic equilibrium* of the estuary's intertidal extent. A model should include rules for vertical accretion of flats (e.g. a specified mm/year of mud deposition depending on sediment supply) and possibly lateral extension where accommodation allows.

- **Inlet Infilling and Breaching:** The inlet (mouth) of a tide-dominated estuary can evolve significantly. Under sustained sediment import (from both marine and river sources), the estuary's mouth may gradually *infill with shoals and bars*, effectively constricting the tidal channels. This infilling can lead to the development of a tidal delta or bayhead delta. If extreme, it may transform the estuary into a deltaic system, with the tidal influence pushed seaward. For example, the Yangtze (Changjiang) estuary has seen merging of tidal sandbanks with its banks due to sediment progradation [26] –

indicating the estuary is silting up and turning into more of a delta. In contrast, inlet infill can be periodically reset by large events: e.g., storm surges or river floods can *scour the inlet*, flushing sediment seaward (like a reset that deepens the entrance channel). The balance of these processes determines whether the inlet cross-section remains in equilibrium with tidal prism. In modeling long-term evolution, one could simulate infilling by gradually raising the bed in the mouth region as sediment accumulates, and include threshold events (e.g., if flow velocity exceeds a critical value, scour the inlet deeper). Over centuries, many tide-dominated estuaries tend to infill (especially during relative sea-level stillstand), eventually becoming tidal delta plains, unless rising sea level creates new accommodation.

- **Estuary Progradation vs. Retrogradation:** The trajectory of the whole estuary (whether it expands landward or seaward) depends on the relative rates of sediment supply and sea-level change. **Progradation** occurs when sediment supply (both riverine and marine) exceeds the accommodation being created. In a progradational regime, tidal flats build outward and the shoreline (or delta front) advances seaward. A real-world example is the post-glacial evolution of the Changjiang estuary, which experienced progressive seaward growth of shoals and tidal flats, eventually coalescing into new land [27]. In this case, what started as an estuary is transforming into a tide-dominated delta lobe. Progradation is marked by upward-shoaling sequences in the stratigraphy (channels and bars shifting seaward over time). **Retrogradation** (transgression) happens if sea level rises faster than sediment fills in, or if sediment supply is cut off. In retrogradation, the estuary and its facies *shift landward*: tidal channels penetrate further inland, and previously subaerial areas get drowned. Tidal sand bodies may migrate landward and upward (with older deposits preserved farther landward under new mud). The system may widen and deepen in its central and outer parts due to increased accommodation. For instance, with accelerated sea-level rise, one might see marshes converting back to mudflats and previously infilled areas reopening to tidal influence. A balanced case is dynamic equilibrium, where sedimentation keeps pace with slow sea-level rise, maintaining similar morphology over time. In simulation terms, one can incorporate a sea-level curve and adjust accommodation each timestep: when accommodation increases, allow the estuary to expand inland (unless sediments fill it), and when accommodation decreases (falling sea or excess sediment), model the outward growth of the shoreline (new tidal flats forming at the mouth).

In summary, a tide-dominated estuary's morphology is not static – **tidal channels shift, bars accrete and move, mudflats grow, and the entire system can fill in or transgress** depending on conditions. Any generative model should include these dynamic rules to recreate realistic evolutionary sequences rather than a fixed template.

4. Static (Snapshot) Generation Guidelines

When constructing a *geologically plausible snapshot* of a tide-dominated estuary (for instance, to generate an analog model image at one moment in time), it's essential to incorporate the above features and controls into a coherent layout. Below is a step-by-step guide to generate a static configuration of a tide-dominated estuary, from the overall shape down to facies details:

1. **Define Basin Extents and Shape:** Begin with a broad *funnel-shaped basin* planform for the estuary [1]. Specify the mouth width (wide) and the inland tapering geometry (narrowing to a single channel upstream). The coastline at the mouth may be gently concave, open without a barrier. For example, set the mouth width to span several kilometers, narrowing to a few hundred meters at the

head over a length of tens of kilometers. Ensure the bathymetric profile shoals upward landward (shallower toward the head) to reflect river inflow deltaic influence. If needed, define the *tidal prism* target (based on tidal range and basin area) to guide channel dimensions.

2. **Lay Out Main Channels:** Carve a primary tidal channel (or channels) through the basin. In the outer estuary, you can create **multiple inlet channels** – e.g. a main deep channel and one or two secondary channels – to reflect the multi-channel structure often observed [23]. These channels should bifurcate or braid around emerging shoals. In the middle and inner estuary, consolidate into a single dominant channel that winds toward the river source. Impose a gentle sinuosity on the middle reach to simulate tight meanders (if space allows) [7]. Channel widths should decrease upstream: e.g. the main inlet channel might be, say, 1 km wide at the mouth, narrowing to 200 m in the central basin and 50–100 m in the tidal river portion, consistent with the converging banks. Channel depths likewise shoal upward: deepest (~20–30 m) near the mouth bar scour holes, becoming shallower (~5–10 m) upstream. Use empirical relationships for guidance: for instance, allocate cross-sectional areas that diminish with the local tidal prism (O'Brien's law) [16] to ensure realism.

3. **Position Sand Bars and Shoals:** Within the wide lower estuary, **place elongate tidal bars** and shoals in a reasonable pattern. Typically, one or more mid-channel bars occur just landward of the inlet, where tidal currents start to diverge and deposit sand [28]. These bars can be drawn as spindle-shaped or linear islands aligned roughly with the channel axis. They often form in sequences or anabranching patterns (e.g., two bars on opposite sides staggered along the channel). Make bars subaqueous to intertidal: their crests may lie at about mean low water or mid-tide level, so they are exposed at low tide. Some bars could attach to the channel banks (forming *side bars* or levees), especially on the inner sides of channel bends (tidal point bars). Use dimensions from real examples as a guide: e.g., bars on the order of a few hundred meters wide and 1–3 km long [29] in the outer estuary, tapering smaller upstream. Space the bars at semi-regular intervals along the channel; a rule of thumb is that major bars might occur at intervals of 1–3 channel widths apart or where flow separation zones form (such as downdrift of a channel bifurcation).

4. **Generate Intertidal Flats:** Fill the areas between channels and bars with broad **tidal flats**. These should cover a large fraction of the estuary's area, consistent with the large tidal range. Shape the flats gently sloping from the low tide mark up to the high tide shoreline. On the outermost flats (near the mouth), you might assign sandier composition (sand flats) since strong currents and waves can winnow mud [8]. Further in, transition to mixed sand-mud flats and then mudflats in the central and inner estuary. The width of the flats (from channel edge to high land) can reach kilometers in macrotidal settings. Ensure that the flats border the main channel on both sides in the middle estuary, creating the classic drowned-valley cross-section: deep channel flanked by shallow overbank flats. Incise smaller *tidal creeks* or rills into the flats for realism, draining higher portions of the flat at low tide (especially in the upper estuary). These minor channels can be generated branching off the main channel at high angles and quickly dissipating into the flat – reflecting that higher marshes drain through a network of small tidal creeks [30].

5. **Add Tidal Marsh or Mangrove Zones:** Delineate the highest part of the intertidal range along the margins as vegetated **salt marshes or mangrove forests** (depending on climate). These would lie above mean high water neap, flooding mainly on spring tides. Represent them as narrow strips of densely vegetated areas on the landward fringe of flats or on top of infrequently flooded mudflats

[10]. Marshes tend to have a patchy, sinuous creek network. In generation, one could algorithmically fill any flat cells above a certain elevation with “marsh” and assign a high roughness (to indicate vegetation). This static snapshot would show marshes as dark-toned swaths (if illustrated) flanking the tidal channels in the inner estuary and parts of the central estuary.

6. **Facies Distribution Assignment:** Now overlay *facies belts* on the morphological elements, to reflect sediment type and depositional environment:

7. **Tidal Channel Facies:** Assign sandy or mixed sand-gravel facies to the main channels and bars at the mouth (high-energy marine tidal channels). Use large-scale cross-bedding and bidirectional (herringbone) cross-stratification indicators for these areas in the model, as they represent the main conduit of sand transport [28]. In the central estuary meandering channels, use heterolithic channel-fill facies (sand-mud alternations, tidal point bar deposits with reactivation surfaces and mud drapes). The inner estuary channel might show fluvial-tidal transition facies: finer sand with some gravel lags and increasing mud drapes upstream.
8. **Tidal Bars/Shoals:** Label these with clean *sand bar facies*, often showing upward-fining or tidal rhythmites. Internal structure can include lateral accretion surfaces (if bar migration is lateral) or forward accretion if prograding. Bars near the mouth may show evidence of both ebb and flood currents (e.g., foresets dipping both landward and seaward in different parts of the bar) [31].
9. **Sand Flats:** Extensive sand flats (especially outer estuary) should be marked by upper plane bed or rippled fine sand deposits (indicative of strong, shallow tidal flows). These may have thin mud drapes from slack tide periods.
10. **Mixed Flats:** In the middle estuary, assign a mix of ripple-laminated sands, wavy bedded heterolithic units, and mud layers – representing alternating tidal currents and slack-water settling. Bioturbation is moderate to high in these flats due to marine organisms.
11. **Mudflats:** Inner estuary and sheltered central basin flats are mostly mud (silts and clays) with minor sand. Represent them with planar laminated or finely bedded mud, containing tidal rhythmites (thin sand streaks for spring tides) and abundant bioturbation except where low salinity limits burrowers [9]. These mudflat deposits can transition upward into peat or soil if exposed subaerially.
12. **Marsh:** The marsh fringe facies would be clayey silt with root structures, organic layers, and occasional thin sand from storm overwash. This can be a thin veneer in the static model but is important for completeness.

By distributing facies this way, the static model will show the **zonation of depositional environments**: coarse channel sands at the mouth, grading to mixed sandy flats and muddy central basin, and fluvial silts upstream – consistent with real tide-dominated estuary depositional patterns [8] [9].

1. **Ensure Energy Consistency:** As a final validation, cross-check that the layout makes sense energetically. The outer estuary should have indicators of high energy (wide channels, large bars, sand-dominated facies), whereas the inner estuary shows low energy (small channel, mud deposition). If needed, adjust channel widths or bar heights to ensure, for example, that the tidal prism through each cross-section is roughly conserved. One rule could be: calculate the cross-sectional area at various transects and confirm it decreases moving landward according to prism loss (due to friction and storage on flats). Also verify that *flood and ebb channels* are plausible – e.g., perhaps designate one of the bifurcating outer channels as ebb-dominated (straighter, carrying most ebb flow seaward) and the other as flood-dominated (more sinuous, capturing flood tide inflow) [23]. Including this detail adds realism: flood-dominant channels might have more landward-oriented bedforms, whereas ebb-dominant ones show seaward-oriented ones.

Following these steps will yield a single "snapshot" map or block diagram of a tide-dominated estuary that is geologically consistent. All elements – channels, bars, flats, facies – should tie together to reflect the underlying processes and hierarchy of this environment. This provides a foundation for creating synthetic images or models that closely mimic real-world tide-dominated estuarine systems.

5. Quantitative Formulas and Relationships

In modeling or describing tide-dominated estuaries, several quantitative relationships and empirical formulas can be useful. These formulas help ensure that the generated analog adheres to real-world scaling laws and process-response consistency:

- **Tidal Prism – Inlet Area Relationship:** As mentioned, the equilibrium cross-sectional area (A) of the inlet channel relates to the tidal prism (P) by a power-law. A general form is:

  $$A \;=\; C \cdot P^n,$$

  where *C* and *n* are empirical constants. O'Brien's original study (1931) suggested $A = 1000 \cdot P^{0.85}$ (units in feet and cubic feet) [16]. In metric for mesotidal to macrotidal inlets, a commonly cited relationship (Jarrett 1976 for U.S. East Coast) is approximately $A = 7.5 \times 10^{-4} \cdot P^{0.95}$ (A in m^2, P in m^3) – indicating near-linear scaling [16]. These relationships mean, for example, an estuary with tidal prism $5 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$ would have an inlet cross-section on the order of $3.5 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^2$. Such formulas guide the sizing of channels in the model; if your design has a much larger cross-section than this, it likely would not be stable and would deposit sediment until equilibrium is reached [17].

- **Tidal Prism – Tidal Flat Area:** The tidal prism can also be related to the surface area of intertidal flats. By definition $P = H \times A_{\text{basin}}$ (tidal range * tidally inundated area) [14]. Rearranged, for a given prism and tidal range, one can estimate the area of flats that must exist. For instance, if a prism is mainly filled by flooding intertidal flats (assuming deep channels contribute secondarily), then $A_{\text{flats}} \approx P/H$. This can be used to allocate how extensive the tidal flats should be. Higher tidal range allows a smaller area of flats for the same prism, whereas a lower range requires broader flats to hold the volume.

- **Channel Hydraulic Geometry:** Tidal channels exhibit hydraulic geometry relationships akin to rivers but with their own twist. Typically, as discharge (Q) increases downstream (moving seaward, Q increases up to the prism at the mouth), **channel width increases faster than channel depth** [32]. In other words, tidal channels tend to be relatively wide and shallow compared to fluvial channels of the same discharge. Qualitatively, one might express width (B) and depth (D) as power-laws of tidal discharge (or prism upstream):

  $$B \propto Q^b, \qquad D \propto Q^f,$$

  with exponent $b > f$. Field data and theory have shown that tidal channels broaden substantially toward the mouth while depth increases more modestly [32]. For example, b might be on the order of ~0.4–0.5 whereas f ~0.1–0.2 for tidal channels (contrast with fluvial where b ~0.3, f ~0.2 typically). The result is large width-to-depth ratios (often >20–30) in tidal channel networks. As a practical formula, some studies correlate local *tidal prism upstream* (P_u) to cross-sectional area or width: e.g., $B = \alpha \cdot (P_u)^{0.3}$ and $D = \beta \cdot (P_u)^{0.1}$ (conceptually). While exact coefficients vary, the key rule is to scale channel dimensions in proportion to the volume of water they carry – expansive near the mouth and diminutive upriver.

- **Meander Wavelength and Channel Sinuosity:** In the central estuary where meandering occurs, tidal channel meanders obey geometric rules similar to rivers. A common approximation is that meander wavelength (λ) is about 10–14 times the channel width, and bend radius ~2–3 times channel width, as in fluvial systems. Tidal meanders can be tighter (relative to width) in areas of bedload convergence [7] because both flood and ebb currents scour the bends, but the general scale is comparable. If implementing channel curves, one could use $\lambda \approx 12B$ as a starting point. Note that the presence of reversing flow can also generate double scour pools in bends, but that's a finer detail.

- **Bar Spacing and Size:** Empirical observations in tide-dominated estuaries indicate that large tidal bars have certain size ranges. As gleaned from modern analogs, **tidal bars** in estuaries might be on the order of a few hundred meters wide and ~1–3 km long [29], often with their length aligned along the channel. Bars often form where channel velocity drops; in an estuary with multiple bars, their spacing might be related to the tidal excursion length (distance a parcel of water travels on a flood or ebb). A rough guideline: primary barforms may appear roughly one tidal excursion apart (~the distance water moves inland during flood before ebb starts, which could be several kilometers). In absence of precise formula, using the channel width as a scale: bar length ~ several times channel width, bar width ~ one-third to half the channel width is reasonable. *Energy partitioning* influences bars too – e.g., an ebb-dominated channel might have fewer, more stable bars (since strong ebb currents flush sediment seaward between bars), whereas a flood-dominated channel can have more frequent bars as sand accumulates between flood channels.

- **Tidal Currents and Froude Number:** In shallow tidal channels, typical peak flow velocities can be 1–3 m/s in macrotidal estuaries. To ensure subcritical flow (Froude number < 1) – which is usually the case except in constricted inlets or bores – one can check $Fr = U/\sqrt{gD}$. For example, with $U = 2\ \mathrm{m/s}$ and depth $D = 5\ \mathrm{m}$, $Fr \approx 0.9$, nearing critical. If a model shows very high Froude (>1), that might indicate a tidal bore or that depth should increase or slope decrease. While not a direct "generation" rule, keeping flow regime in mind ensures realism (most estuarine flow is tranquil to weakly transient, not fully supercritical).

- **Sediment Settling and Tidal Cycle Ratios:** Fine sediment deposition can be quantified by considering settling velocity (w_s) vs. the duration of slack water. A simple formula: the thickness of mud deposited per tide on flats $\approx w_s \cdot T_{\text{slack}} \cdot C$ (where C is near-bed concentration). If one wanted to compute mud accumulation, say $w_s = 1 \times 10^{-3}\ \mathrm{m/s}$ for silt, slack duration ~1 hour per tide, then potential deposit thickness ~3.6 m in 1000 tides given a certain concentration. This might be too detailed for layout, but it underscores how *tidal period and sediment size govern mudflat aggradation*.

- **Tidal Asymmetry Index:** A quantitative measure of flood vs. ebb dominance is the *tidal asymmetry index* (ratio of flood duration to ebb duration or ratio of flood peak velocity to ebb peak). For example, if flood tide lasts 5 hours and ebb 7 hours, and flood peak velocity is 25% greater, then one can calculate a skewness that predicts net sediment transport direction [15]. Models can use this: e.g., net bedload transport ∝ (U_{flood}^3 * tflood) – (U_{ebb}^3 * tebb). A positive result indicates net landward bedload (flood-dominant) which aligns with tide-dominated estuaries.

In implementing these formulas, it's important to maintain consistency. For instance, choose a tidal prism and ensure the channel sizes via O'Brien match it; then ensure those channels can carry that flow at reasonable velocity, etc. By integrating such quantitative rules, the generative model will not only *look* geologically accurate but also obey known physical constraints of estuarine systems [17].

6. Visual Examples for Spatial Layout

To ground these concepts, it's helpful to examine real and schematic examples of tide-dominated estuaries. Below are two visuals – a satellite image and a schematic diagram – illustrating the typical layout of such systems:

Satellite view of a modern tide-dominated estuary (Columbia River Estuary, USA). This image shows a wide estuary mouth opening to the ocean, with multiple elongate tidal bars and islands at the entrance (light-toned sand bodies). The main tidal channel splits around these bars and then converges landward, with broad intertidal flats flanking the channel inland. Note the dendritic branching of smaller tidal creeks into the marshy fringes upriver. Such features exemplify the tide-dominated morphology: an open funnel-shaped inlet with sand shoals, and a narrowing tidal river reach upstream [28] *.*

Schematic block diagram of a tide-dominated estuary. The illustration highlights the funnel-shaped bay and channelized middle/upper reaches characteristic of this environment [1] *. A single deep main channel (blue) runs through the estuary, flanked by extensive intertidal flats (light brown/tan areas). Elongate tidal shoals (yellow) are visible in the lower estuary, emerging as islands within the channel* [33] *. The upper intertidal zone supports vegetation (green areas, representing mangroves or salt marsh) on elevated flats* [10] *. This schematic corresponds to the hierarchy described: outer sand bar zone, central meandering zone, and inner tidal river, with the distribution of facies and morphology driven by tidal processes.*

These figures serve as references for spatial arrangement. The satellite image provides a real-world analog with identifiable bars, channels, and flats (useful for calibrating scale in a model), while the schematic abstractly delineates the different depositional environments in a tide-dominated estuary (useful for understanding relationships between elements). Together, they guide how to spatially organize a synthetic estuary scene that is faithful to geologic reality.

7. Pseudocode for Generative Model

Finally, to assist with implementation, here is a pseudocode outline that could be used to algorithmically generate a tide-dominated estuary model. This scaffold captures the multiscale structure and rules discussed above:

```
# Pseudocode: Generate Tide-Dominated Estuary Model

# Input parameters:
 tidal_range = R              # e.g., 4.0 m (meso/macro tidal range)
 estuary_length = L           # e.g., 50 km
 mouth_width = W0             # e.g., 5 km
 river_width = W_end          # e.g., 0.2 km at head
```

```
 depth_at_mouth = D0           # e.g., 20 m (deep at inlet)
 depth_upstream = D_end        # e.g., 5 m  (shallower upriver)
 tidal_prism = R * estuary_area_estimate  # approximate prism

# 1. Generate basin shape (funnel geometry):
 create_estuary_domain(length=L, width_at_mouth=W0, width_upstream=W_end,
depth_gradient=[D0, D_end])
 # Domain could be represented as a 2D grid or set of cross-sections.

# 2. Carve primary tidal channel(s):
 main_channel_path = spline_curve(from=mouth to=upstream, sinuosity=0.1 in
outer, 1.5 in middle, 1.1 in inner)
 set_channel_width_along_path(main_channel_path, width_function = f(x)
decreasing linearly or via power-law)
 set_channel_depth_along_path(main_channel_path, depth_function = f(x)
decreasing landward)
 mark_cells_along(main_channel_path) as "channel"
 # If multiple inlet channels desired:
 if multi_inlet:
    create_secondary_channel(branch_point near mouth, merge back near mid-
estuary, slightly shallower than main)
    mark_secondary_channel_as_flood_or_ebb(flood_channel on one side, ebb on the
other)

# 3. Place tidal bars and shoals:
 identify_widening_sections(main_channel_path) -> potential_bar_sites
 for each site in potential_bar_sites:
    bar_polygon = elongate_island(shape=ellipse oriented along channel, length ~
channel_width*3, width ~ channel_width*0.5)
    elevate(bar_polygon, crest_level = random_between(mean_low_tide,
mean_high_tide))
    mark(bar_polygon) as "sand_bar"
    split_channel_around(bar_polygon)  # adjust channel path to bifurcate around
the bar
 # Optionally, add smaller mid-channel bars periodically:
 generate_smaller_bars(interval = ~5 km, size ~ 0.2*main_channel_width,
random_offset)

# 4. Generate tidal flats:
 for each cell in domain:
    if not channel and not bar:
       assign_elevation(cell) based on distance from channel and tidal prism
distribution
       # e.g., create a gentle slope from channel edge (low elevation) to
shoreline (high intertidal).
 mark_all_non-channel_non-bar_below_high_tide() as "tidal_flat"
 # Create sloping elevation: could use an exponential profile for cross-
sectional shape of flats.
```

```
# 5. Carve tidal creeks in flats:
 for each marsh/high-flat area:
    if area_size > threshold:
       trace_small_channel from high-flat (start) to nearest main channel or
tidal creek (end)
       width = a few tens of meters, depth = few meters
       mark_path as "tidal_creek" in flats
       possibly branch creeks in tree structure (Horton-Strahler ordering for
creek network)
    endif

# 6. Assign facies based on zones:
 for each grid cell or geomorphic element:
    if cell.type == "channel":
       if near_mouth (x < L/3): facies = "channel sand (large dunes, marine)"
       elif mid-estuary: facies = "heterolithic tidal channel (sand-mud alt.)"
       else (inner estuary): facies = "fluvial channel sand with mud drapes"
    if cell.type == "sand_bar":
       facies = "tidal bar sand (clean, cross-bedded)"
    if cell.type == "tidal_flat":
       # gradation from sand flat to mud flat
       if near_outer_estuary: facies = "sand flat (rippled fine sand)"
       elif mid-estuary: facies = "mixed flat (wavy bedding)"
       else (inner): facies = "mud flat (laminated mud)"
    if cell.type == "marsh" (highest flats, vegetated):
       facies = "marsh mud/peat with roots"
    if cell.type == "tidal_creek":
       facies = "creek fill sand/mud (depending on local tidal prism in creek)"

# 7. Calibration and checks:
 calculate_tidal_prism_from_layout() -> P_calc
 compare P_calc to input tidal_prism; adjust flat elevations or channel cross-
sections iteratively so that P_calc ≈ tidal_prism
 enforce_cross_section_relationship(main_channel_path, target_exponent=0.85)  #
optional fine-tuning of width-depth
 ensure connectivity: all creeks connect to main channel; main channel connects
ocean to river.

# Output:
 return estuary_mesh_with_facies  # A complete 2D/3D model ready for
visualization or analysis
```

This pseudocode outlines the creation of the estuary morphology and facies in a procedural manner. It starts with large-scale structure (basin shape, main channel), then adds smaller features (bars, flats, creeks), and finally assigns sedimentary characteristics. Calibration steps ensure physical plausibility (like matching tidal prism and empirical relations). In an actual implementation, one would replace these abstract steps with code that manipulates arrays (for raster models) or vectors (for object-based models) accordingly. By following this scaffold, the resulting synthetic estuary should honor the hierarchical, multiscale nature of tide-dominated estuarine systems and be suitable for generating realistic analog images or further numerical experiments.

---

1 10 33 Typical morphology of tide-dominated estuaries (after Dalrymple et al.... | Download Scientific Diagram
https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Typical-morphology-of-tide-dominated-estuaries-after-Dalrymple-et-al-1992-The-estuary_fig2_313731820

2 4 6 8 12 13 15 18 19 21 22 23 24 25 30 Morphology of estuaries - Coastal Wiki
https://www.coastalwiki.org/wiki/Morphology_of_estuaries

3 7 Tide-Dominated Estuary – Seamap Australia
https://seamapaustralia.org/aquatic-setting/coastal-waterway/tide-dominated-estuary/

5 28 29 31 Frontiers | Reservoir Architecture in Tide-Dominated Estuary: A Case Study of McMurray Formation Oil Sand Reservoir in the Athabasca Block, Canada
https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/earth-science/articles/10.3389/feart.2022.894059/full

9 11 Mudflat - Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mudflat

14 17 Tidal prism - Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tidal_prism

16 20 Under the general heading of ‘Top-down’ methods there are a range of techniques available
http://www.estuary-guide.net/pdfs/regime_relationships.pdf

26 Sedimentary facies and Holocene progradation rates of the ...
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/221956685_Sedimentary_facies_and_Holocene_progradation_rates_of_the_Changjiang_Yangtze_delta_China

27 Progradation of the Changjiang River delta since the mid-Holocene
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230899297_Progradation_of_the_Changjiang_River_delta_since_the_mid-Holocene

32 pubs.usgs.gov
https://pubs.usgs.gov/pp/0422b/report.pdf