Machine Learning

Regularization and Feature Selection

Fabio Vandin

November 13th, 2023

Learning Model

- A: learning algorithm for a machine learning task
- S: m i.i.d. pairs $z_i = (x_i, y_i), i = 1, ..., m$, with $z_i \in Z = \mathcal{X} \times Y$, generated from distribution \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow training set available to A to produce A(S);
- H: the hypothesis (or model) set for A
- loss function: $\ell(h,(x,y))$, $\ell:\mathcal{H}\times Z\to\mathbb{R}^+$
- $L_S(h)$: empirical risk or training error of hypothesis $h \in \mathcal{H}$

$$L_S(h) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \ell(h, z_i)$$

• $L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)$: true risk or generalization error of hypothesis $h \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) = \mathbb{E}_{z \in \mathcal{D}}[\ell(h, z)]$$

Learning Paradigms

We would like A to produce A(S) such that $L_{\mathcal{D}}(A(S))$ is *small*, or at least close to the smallest generalization error $L_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*)$ achievable by the "best" hypothesis h^* in \mathcal{H} :

$$h^* = \arg\min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_{\mathcal{D}}(h)$$

We have seen a learning paradigm: Empirical Risk Minimization

We will now see another learning paradigm...

should be
the hope of
smallest
empirical
tisk Lo(h)

Regularized Loss Minimization

Assume h is defined by a vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_d)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (e.g., linear models)

Regularization function
$$R: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$$

Regularized Loss Minimization (RLM): pick h obtained as

$$\arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} \left(L_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbf{w}) + R(\mathbf{w}) \right)$$

Intuition: $R(\mathbf{w})$ is a "measure of complexity" of hypothesis h defined by \mathbf{w}

⇒ regularization balances between low empirical risk and "less complex" hypotheses

We will see some of the most common regularization function

ℓ_1 Regularization 1/= 5 Regularization function: $R(\mathbf{w}) = \lambda$ • ℓ_1 norm: $||\mathbf{w}||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^d |w_i|$ Therefore the *learning rule* is: pick $A(S) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} \left(L_S(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda |\mathbf{w}||_1 \right)$

Intuition:

- | w | 1 measures the "complexity" of hypothesis defined by w
- λ regulates the tradeoff between the empirical risk $(L_S(\mathbf{w}))$ or overfitting and the complexity $(||\mathbf{w}||_1)$ of the model we pick

LASSO

Linear regression with squared loss $+ \ell_1$ regularization \Rightarrow LASSO (least absolute shrinkage and selection operator)

LASSO: pick
$$\mathbf{w} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} \lambda ||\mathbf{w}||_1 + \sum_{i=1}^m (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2$$
 How?

Notes:

- no closed form solution!
- ℓ₁ norm is a convex function and squared loss is convex
 ⇒ problem can be solved efficiently! (true for every convex loss function)

LASSO and Sparse Solutions: Example

(Equivalent) one dimensional regression problem with squared loss:

$$\underset{w \in \mathbb{R}}{\swarrow} = \mathbb{R} \operatorname{arg \, min}_{w \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i w - y_i)^2 + \lambda |w| \right)$$

Is equivalent to:

$$\arg\min_{w\in\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \right) w^2 - \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i y_i \right) w + \lambda |w| \right)$$

Assume for simplicity that
$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 = 1$$
, and let $\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i y_i = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$.

Then the optimal solution is

$$w = \operatorname{sign}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle) [\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle / m - \lambda]_{+}$$
 where $[a]_{+} = (def) \max\{a, 0\}$.

Tikhonov regularization

Regularization function:
$$R(\mathbf{w}) = \lambda |\mathbf{w}|^2$$

• $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0$

•
$$\ell_2$$
 norm: $||\mathbf{w}||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d w_i^2$

Therefore the learning rule is: pick

$$A(S) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} \left(L_{S}(\mathbf{w}) + \lambda ||\mathbf{w}||^{2} \right)$$

Intuition:

- $||\mathbf{w}||^2$ measures the "complexity" of hypothesis defined by \mathbf{w}
- λ regulates the tradeoff between the empirical risk $(L_S(\mathbf{w}))$ or overfitting and the complexity $(||\mathbf{w}||^2)$ of the model we pick

Ridge Regression

Linear regression with squared loss + Tikhonov regularization ⇒ ridge regression

Linear regression with squared loss:

- given: training set $S = ((\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_m, y_m))$, with $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$
- want: w which minimizes empirical risk:

$$\mathbf{w} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2$$

equivalently, find w which minimizes the *residual sum of* squares RSS(w)

$$\mathbf{w} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} RSS(\mathbf{w}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2$$

Linear regression: pick

$$\mathbf{w} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} RSS(\mathbf{w}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2$$

Ridge regression: pick

$$\mathbf{w} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} \left(\lambda ||\mathbf{w}||^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m (\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2 \right)$$