# Closure properties of regular languages

Let L and M be regular languages over  $\Sigma$ . Then the following languages are all regular

- Union:  $L \cup M$
- Intersection: L ∩ M
- Complement:  $\overline{L} = \Sigma^* \setminus L$
- Difference:  $L \setminus M$
- Reversal:  $L^R = \{ w^R \mid w \in L \}$
- Kleene closure: L\*
- Concatenation: L.M
- Homomorphism:  $h(L) = \{h(w) \mid w \in L\}$
- Inverse homomorphism:  $h^{-1}(L) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid h(w) \in L \}$

### Closure under union

**Theorem** For any regular languages  $L \in M$ ,  $L \cup M$  is regular

**Proof** Let E and F be regular expressions such that L = L(E) and M = L(F). Then  $L \cup M$  is generated by E + F, and is regular by definition

### Closure under concatenation and Kleene

The proof of closure under union is rather **immediate**, since regular expressions use the union operator

Similarly, we can immediately prove the closure under

- concatenation
- Kleene operator

# Closure under complement

**Theorem** If L is a regular language over  $\Sigma$ , then so is  $\overline{L} = \Sigma^* \setminus L$ 

**Proof** Let L be recognized by a DFA


$$A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F).$$

Let 
$$B = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, Q \setminus F)$$
. Now  $L(B) = \overline{L}$ 




# Example

#### Let L be recognized by the DFA



### Then $\overline{L}$ is recognized by the DFA



### Closure under intersection

**Theorem** If L and M are regular, then so is  $L \cap M$ 

**Proof** By De Morgan's law, 
$$L \cap M = \overline{\overline{L} \cup \overline{M}}$$

We already know that regular languages are closed under complement and union



#### Intersection automaton

**Proof** (alternative) Let  $L = L(A_L)$  and  $M = L(A_M)$  for automata  $A_L$  and  $A_M$  with

$$A_L = (Q_L, \Sigma, \delta_L, q_L, F_L)$$
  


$$A_M = (Q_M, \Sigma, \delta_M, q_M, F_M)$$

Without any loss of generality, we assume that both automata are deterministic

We shall construct an automaton that simulates  $A_L$  and  $A_M$  in parallel, and accepts if and only if both  $A_L$  and  $A_M$  accept

### Intersection automaton

**Idea**: If  $A_L$  goes from state p to state s upon reading a, and  $A_M$  goes from state q to state t upon reading a, then  $A_{L \cap M}$  will go from state (p,q) to state (s,t) upon reading a



#### Intersection automaton

Formally

$$A_{L\cap M} = (Q_L \times Q_M, \Sigma, \delta_{L\cap M}, (q_{L,0}, q_{M,0}), F_L \times F_M),$$

where

$$\delta_{L\cap M}((p,q),a) = (\delta_L(p,a),\delta_M(q,a))$$


We can show by induction on |w| that

$$\hat{\delta}_{L\cap M}((q_{L,0},q_{M,0}),w) = \left(\hat{\delta}_L(q_{L,0},w),\hat{\delta}_M(q_{M,0},w)\right)$$

Then  $A_{L \cap M}$  accepts if and only if  $A_L$  and  $A_M$  accept

### Exercise

Build an automaton that accepts strings with at least one 0 and at least one 1. Let's build **simpler** automata and take the intersection



### Closure under set difference

**Theorem** If L and M are regular languages, so is  $L \setminus M$ 

**Proof** Observe that  $L \setminus M = L \cap \overline{M}$ 

We already know that regular languages are closed under complement and intersection



# Closure under reverse operator

**Theorem** If L is regular, so is  $L^R$ 

**Proof** Let L be recognized by FA A. Turn A into an FA for  $L^R$  by

- reversing all arcs
- make the old start state the new sole accepting state
- create a new start state  $p_0$  such that  $\delta(p_0, \epsilon) = F$ , F the set of accepting states of old A

# Closure under reverse operator

**Proof** (alternative) Let E be a regular expression. We shall construct a regular expression  $E^R$  such that  $L(E^R) = (L(E))^R$ 

We proceed by structural induction on E

**Base** If E is  $\epsilon$ ,  $\emptyset$ , or  $\boldsymbol{a}$ , then  $E^R = E$  (easy to verify)

## Closure under reverse operator

#### Induction

- E = F + G: We need to reverse the two languages. Then  $E^R = F^R + G^R$
- E = F.G: We need to reverse the two languages and also reverse the order of their concatenation. Then  $E^R = G^R.F^R$
- $E = F^*$ :  $w \in L(F^*)$  means  $\exists k : w = w_1w_2 \cdots w_k$ ,  $w_i \in L(F)$ then  $w^R = w_k^R w_{k-1}^R \cdots w_1^R$ ,  $w_i^R \in L(F^R)$ then  $w^R \in L(F^R)^*$ Same reasoning for the inverse direction. Then  $E^R = (F^R)^*$

Thus 
$$L(E^R) = (L(E))^R$$