ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/saa



Studies on the full vibrational energies and dissociation energies of some heteronuclear diatomic molecules

Qunchao Fan a,*, Weiguo Sun a,b, Hao Feng a

- ^a School of Physics and Chemistry, Xihua University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610039, China
- ^b Institute of Atomic and Molecular Physics, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610065, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 31 May 2009 Received in revised form 1 August 2009 Accepted 10 August 2009

Keywords:
Algebraic method
Parameter-free formula
Heteronuclear diatomic molecules
Vibrational energy
Molecular dissociation energy

ABSTRACT

A parameter-free analytical formula for dissociation energies of diatomic molecules is proposed by Fan and Sun (2009) [20] based on LeRoy and Bernstein's vibrational energy expression near dissociation limit. Using three highest vibrational energies which may be generated by the algebraic method (AM) presented in our previous study and by some other physical methods, the new formula is applied to study the molecular dissociation energies of 10 electronic states of KH, 7 LiD, 7 LiH, 6 LiH, NaK, NaLl and NaRb heteronuclear diatomic molecules which have regular (Morse-like) potentials in this work. The results show that the AM energies E^{AM}_{ν} and dissociation energies D^{AM}_{e} have excellent agreement with experimental values.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Molecular high-lying vibrational excited data are important for studying molecular scattering [1]. In recent decades, many theoretical and experimental studies on vibrational energies of many diatomic electronic states have been made. However, due to the limitations of experimental conditions and techniques, studies on high-lying vibrational energies, especially those near molecular dissociation limits, are rather limited. Therefore, it is still very important to study full vibrational energy spectra of diatomic molecules [2].

The dissociation energies D_e of stable diatomic electronic states play a significant role in atomic and molecular physics, molecular structures, reactive scatterings, and chemical physics [3]. However, it is usually difficult to directly measure dissociation energy. Theoretically, many studies on D_e have used ab initio methods having different features and using many variationally changed basis function parameters [4–6]. However, due to limitations of ab initio method itself, it is difficult to obtain accurate dissociation energies for most of molecular electronic states. The computational process of ab initio studies is usually very complicated, even if for the ground state of simplest molecule H_2 , the molecular wave function for calculating dissociation energy contains many constituents and many correction terms. Experimentally, recently extensive efforts have been focused on dissociation energies of a series of

In our previous study, based on LeRoy and Bernstein's work for molecular dissociation energy [17,18], a parameter-free analytical formula was suggested to evaluate molecular dissociation energies for some electronic states of homonuclear diatomic molecules which have regular (Morse-like) potentials has been proposed recently by Sun et al. [19,20]

$$D_e \cong E_{\nu_{\text{max}}} + \frac{\Delta E_{\nu_{\text{max}}, \nu_{\text{max}} - 1}^2}{\Delta E_{\nu_{\text{max}}, \nu_{\text{max}} - 2} - \Delta E_{\nu_{\text{max}}, \nu_{\text{max}} - 1}}$$

$$= E_{\nu_{\text{max}}} + F(\Delta E_{\nu_{\text{max}}, \nu_{\text{max}} - 1}), \tag{1}$$

where

$$\Delta E_{\upsilon_{\text{max}},\upsilon_{\text{max}-1}} = E_{\upsilon_{\text{max}}} - E_{\upsilon_{\text{max}-1}}, \ \Delta E_{\upsilon_{\text{max}},\upsilon_{\text{max}-2}} = E_{\upsilon_{\text{max}}} - E_{\upsilon_{\text{max}-2}}.$$

Eq. (1) is only the function of the highest three vibrational energies $E_{\nu_{\text{max}}}$, $E_{\nu_{\text{max}}-1}$ and $E_{\nu_{\text{max}}-2}$, and the calculated results agree excellently with known experimental values for each state. One may calculate the correct molecular dissociation energy D_e of a stable diatomic molecular electronic state by using its three highest vibrational energies $E_{\nu_{\text{max}}}$, $E_{\nu_{\text{max}}-1}$ and $E_{\nu_{\text{max}}-2}$, and must satisfy the condition

$$D_e D_e^{\text{expt}}$$
. (2)

If Eq. (2) is violated, the three highest vibrational energies used to calculate D_e^{cal} have errors. Since Eq. (1) should use the three highest

electronic states of diatomic alkali molecules [7–16] using high resolution experimental apparatus such as the Doppler-free polarization spectroscopy, the polarization labeling spectroscopy, and the optical-optical double resonance excitation spectroscopy.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 028 85408426. E-mail address: fanqunchao@sina.com (Q. Fan).

fibrational spectroscopic constants of some heteronuclear diatomic electronic states (all quantities are in cm⁻¹).

•	•			,						
State		ω_0	ω_{e0}	ω_e	$\omega_e \chi_e$	$10^2\omega_e y_e$	$10^3\omega_e z_e$	$10^4\omega_e t_e$	$10^5\omega_e s_e$	$10^{10}\omega_e r_e$
NaRb – $X^1\Sigma^+$	AM	-0.02016	-0.00013	106.8559	0.3805	-0.0669	-0.00093	-0.00137	0.00013	-0.1262
	Ref. [23]	-0.0183		106.8560	0.3805	-0.06283	-0.0135			
$KH - X^1 \Sigma^+$	AM	0.7947	-0.45159	986.1990	15.3068	8.07539	4.9823	-6.64172	3.35753	-8651.5100
	Ref. [24]	0.670859		986.65955	15.844615					
$^{6}\text{LiH} - X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	AM	1.02881	0.06876	1419.75354	23.34232	7.26434	15.3305	-16.5157	7.42114	-16388.37175
	Ref. [25]			1419.68479	23.15436					
$^{7}\text{LiH} - X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	AM	0.97014	-0.37830	1405.2706	22.94698	8.29105	15.3382	-18.30155	8.81461	-19152.8897
	Ref. [26]	1.061		1405.07781	22.68035					
NaK – $c^3 \Sigma^+$	AM	0.01553	-0.01244	73.38756	0.47657	-0.13945	0.02190	-0.00556	0.00059	-0.11784
	Ref. [27]			73.4	0.480173	-0.0982485				
NaK – $d^3 \Pi$	AM	0.01954	0.00105	67.3833	0.79453	1.98123	-0.32087	0.0070	-0.00164	1.50585
	Ref. [28]			67.38222	0.7938763	1.966478	-0.306383			
$NaK - B^1\Pi$	AM	-0.04199	-0.00322	71.45970	1.14786	-1.17148	1.19447	-0.376	0.08566	-160.68422
	Ref. [29]			71.4630	1.15092	-1.0613	0.99950	-0.19426		
$^7\text{LiD} - X^1\Sigma^+$	AM	0.552062	-0.27895	1054.72789	12.9237	3.72176	4.23328	-3.67030	1.26444	-2088.9394
	Ref. [26]			1055.00696	13.18954	0.161683				
$NaLi - X^1\Sigma^+$	AM	0.0929	-0.1875	256.8050	1.5715	-2.0771	1.1306	-0.3680	0.04231	-20.7977
	Ref. [30]			256.9926	1.657189	-0.6379382	0.1607073	-0.0669253		
$NaLi - A^1\Sigma^+$	AM	0.03243	-0.000075	188.0326	0.9264	0.2027	-0.02992	-0.00659	0.00229	-3.0797
	Ref. [31]			188.0328	0.926	0.208	-0.039			

vibrational energies, it may also be used as a physical criterion judging whether the full vibrational spectrum of a diatomic electronic state is well converged.

The key condition to obtain correct diatomic molecular dissociation energy D_e using Eq. (1) is that one has to use accurate high-lying vibrational energies near molecular dissociation limit. However modern experimental methods and quantum methods usually give a vibrational energy subset $[E_{ij}]$ which may not be very close to the dissociation limit of a given diatomic system. In our previous study [21,22], an algebraic method (AM) is suggested by second order perturbation theory to generate a full set of vibrational energy spectrum $\{E_{ij}\}$ using a known experimental vibrational energy subset $[E_{ij}]$. In this work, with the algebraic method (AM) for full E_{ij} , the new formula is applied to study the molecular dissociation energies of 10 electronic states of KH, ⁷LiD, ⁷LiH, ⁶LiH, NaK, NaLi and NaRb heteronuclear diatomic molecules which have regular potentials, respectively. Section 2 presents the applications of AM method and the new formula for some heteronuclear diatomic molecules. Section 3 summarizes this study.

2. Application and discussion

The improved computational program of the AM method is used to study the vibrational spectroscopic constants and the full vibrational spectra, respectively, for 10 heteronuclear diatomic molecular electronic states: the $X^1 \Sigma^+$ state of KH, ⁶LiH, ⁷LiH, ⁷LiD, NaLi and NaRb, the $B^1\Pi$, $d^3\Pi$, $c^3\Sigma^+$ states of NaK, the $A^1\Sigma^+$ state of NaLi. The dissociation energies of the above electronic states are calculated using Eq. (1) and their three highest AM vibrational energies, respectively. The vibrational spectroscopic constants from references and the AM of these states are given in Table 1. It can be seen that the harmonic vibrational constant ω_e from the AM method has an excellent agreement with those from the references, the anharmonic vibrational constants $\omega_e x_e$ from the AM method agrees with those from references for most of the molecular electronic states, and some high-lying vibrational spectroscopic constants of several electronic states are not available in literatures. If one uses the literature low-lying vibrational spectroscopic constants to calculate all vibrational energies of these electronic states, the obtained high-lying vibrational energies would not be converged to their dissociation energies correctly and have big errors or are even incorrect.

For the above molecular electronic states, the known experimental vibrational energies, the full AM vibrational energies calculated using the AM vibrational spectroscopic constants are listed in Table 2, respectively. For each electronic state, the eight experimental energies chosen in the AM method are marked in bold face. Since the vibrational information and the measurement error contained in different experimental data are different, it is necessary to choose eight energies which contain the most useful vibrational information and the smallest errors from a set of known experimental vibrational energies by applying a group of physical criteria described in Refs. [21,22] that judge the convergence of the calculation in the AM studies. Thus, the so obtained AM vibrational spectra not only reproduce known experimental energies, but also generate all unknown high-lying vibrational energies. Using the three highest AM vibrational energies and the analytical expression in Eq. (1), the correct molecular dissociation energies can be calculated.

Table 2 also gives the maximum vibrational quantum number υ_{\max} , the energy difference $\Delta E_{\upsilon_{\max},\upsilon_{\max}-1}[=E_{\upsilon_{\max}}-E_{\upsilon_{\max}-1}]$ of the highest two AM vibrational energies, the approximate molecular dissociation energy $D_e^{app} = E_{\upsilon_{\max}} + \Delta E_{\upsilon_{\max},\upsilon_{\max}-1}$, the molecular dissociation energy D_e^{cal} obtained using the new analytical formula in Eq. (1), the experimental molecular dissociation energy D_e^{expt} , and

 $\label{eq:Table 2} \textbf{Vibrational energies of some electronic states (energies in cm^{-1})}.$

υ	Nal	$\operatorname{Rb} - X^1 \Sigma^+$		$KH - X^1 \Sigma$	+		υ ⁶ LiH – 2	$X^1 \Sigma^+$	υ	$^{7}\text{LiH} - X^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	
	E_{ν}^{exp}	pt [23]	E_{υ}^{AM}	E_{ν}^{expt} [24]	E_{υ}^{AM}		E_{v}^{expt} [2	5] E_{v}^{AM}		E _v [26]	E_{υ}^{AM}
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31	159 264 369 473 577 678 886 986 107 117 127 137 144 156 166 177 184 199 200 2111 220 228 237 244 254 266 277	3126 0.4054 1.7310 0.2849 3.0624 3.0624 3.06584 3.2676 0.6845 0.3030 0.1170 79.1199 77.3047 74.6642 71.1907 36.8761 31.7121 35.8899 32.3793 22.8276 12.3673 30.9869 38.6745 57.4178 51.2038 46.0193 19.8504 12.6825 44.5008 75.5899	53.3126 159.4054 264.7310 369.2849 473.0624 576.0584 678.2676 779.6845 880.3031 980.1171 1079.1200 1177.3048 1274.6642 1371.1907 1466.8762 1561.7122 1655.6899 1748.8002 1841.0334 1932.3793 2022.8276 2112.3672 2200.9868 2288.6744 2375.4177 2461.2038 2546.0193 2629.8504 2712.6825 2794.5007 2875.2895 2955.0326 3033.7133 3111.3142 3187.8174 5026.8677 5030.1913	490.0779 1445.946 2372.011' 3268.900 4137.155' 4977.307' 5789.847' 6575.185: 7333.630 8065.374' 8770.78 9448.836: 10100.12: 10723.71: 11318.59 11883.23: 12415.40: 12911.93: 13368.35 13778.46: 14133.86: 14423.49 14633.72: 14749.34:	0 1445.9 7 2372.0 7 2372.0 1 3268.9 10 4137.1 7 4977.3 9 5789.8 9 6575.1 1 7333.5 0 8065.3 1 8770.4 3 9448.7 21 10100 38 10723 11318 84 11883 80 12415 31 12911 17 13366 86 13776 21 14131 18 14423 53 14638	1460 1239 1001 1499 1007 1479 1710 1896 1096 1042 1739 10895 17138 15972 10364 10393 17349 12299 18020 18020 180300 1803000 180300 180300 180300 180300 180300	0 705.08 1 2078.4 2 3406.03 3 4688.8 4 5927.5 5 7123.1 6 8276.1 7 9387.2 8 10456.0 9 11484.1 11 13415.1 12 14317.1 13 15176.1 14 15989.1 15 16753.1 16 17466.1 17 18122.2 18 18713.1 19 19232.2 20 19664.2 21 19995.3	3 3406.11 1 4688.89 5 5927.65 2 7123.18 6 8276.16 1 9387.16 51 10456.54 55 11484.51 12470.99 13415.66 74 14317.80 19 15176.23 12 15989.14 16 16753.86 17466.65 18122.34 193 18713.93 10 19232.10 19664.65	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	697.88 2057.59 3372.48 4643.37 5871.14 7056.58 8200.35 9302.95 10364.73 11385.90 12366.42 13306.04 14204.13 15059.61 15870.80 16635.24 17349.46 18008.73 18606.62 19134.53 19581.14 19932.13 20169.84	697.88 2057.59 3372.46 4643.36 5871.14 7056.58 8200.34 9302.94 10364.74 11385.90 12366.42 13306.04 14204.20 15059.91 15871.63 16637.03 17352.70 18013.85 18613.79 19143.45 19590.74 19939.73 20169.84 20254.79
$\Delta E_{\upsilon_{ ext{max}}}, \ D_e^{app}$	$v_{ ext{max}} - 1$		5030.5579 0.3666 5030.925		10.480 14782			62.84 20331.20			84.95 20339.74
$D_e^{ m expt}$		30.75*,a 30.502(50)*,b		14772.7*			20287.	7*		20287.7*	
D_e^{AM} E_{rrors}			5030.598 [#]		14772 0.001	. <u>69</u> #		20287.2 [#] 0.008			20286.14 [#] 0.018
υ	$NaK - c^3 \Sigma^+$					υ	NaK $- d^3 \Pi$		υ	$NaK - B^1\Pi$	
	E _v [27]	E _v ^{AM}	υ	E_v^{expt} [27]	E_v^{AM}		E _v [28]	E _v ^{AM}		E _v [29]	E _v ^{AM}
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	36.59 109.02 180.49 250.98 320.49 389.02 456.55 523.08 588.61 653.13 716.63 779.11 840.56 900.98 960.35 1018.69 1075.97 1132.19 1187.35 1241.44	36.59 109.02 180.48 250.98 320.49 389.02 456.55 523.08 588.61 653.13 716.63 779.11 840.56 900.97 960.35 1018.68 1075.96 1132.18 1187.34 1241.43 1294.44	41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61		2149.10 2177.05 2203.83 2229.42 2253.82 2277.05 2299.10 2319.97 2339.67 2358.21 2375.60 2391.83 2406.92 2420.88 2433.73 2445.48 2456.13 2465.72 2474.26 2481.77 2488.27	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	33.515 99.372 163.809 226.930 288.830 349.599 409.317 468.058 525.889 582.868 639.049 694.474 749.182 803.202 856.557 909.260 961.321 1012.740 1063.509 1113.614 1163.033	33.515 99.372 163.809 226.929 288.830 349.599 409.317 468.059 525.890 582.870 639.051 694.477 749.185 803.205 856.559 909.262 961.323 1012.740 1063.509 1113.614 1163.033	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	35.3995 104.5311 171.2926 235.6635 297.6413 357.2394 414.4884 469.4145 522.0765 572.5238 620.8137 667.0058 711.1588 753.3288 793.5667 831.9160 868.4101	35.3995 104.5311 171.2933 235.6643 297.6419 357.2395 414.4844 469.4145 522.0766 572.5238 620.8137 667.0058 711.1593 753.3302 793.5689 831.9160 868.3993 903.0290 935.7924 966.6490 995.5230

Table 2 (Continued)

υ	${ m NaK}-c^3\Sigma^+$					υ	$NaK - d^3\Pi$		υ	NaK $-B^1\Pi$	
	E_v^{expt} [27]	E_{υ}^{AM}	υ	<i>E</i> _υ ^{expt} [27]	E_{υ}^{AM}		E_{v}^{expt} [28]	E_{v}^{AM}		E _v [29]	E_{υ}^{AM}
1	1346.38	1346.37	62		2493.78	21	1211.738	1211.738	21		1022.2966
2	1397.23	1397.22	63		2498.34	22	1259.692	1259.691	22		1046.8010
3	1446.98	1446.97	64		2501.98	23	1306.850	1306.850	23		1068.8075
24	1495.63	1495.63	65		2504.72	24	1353.163	1353.163	24		1088.0168
25 26	1543.18 1589.62	1543.18 1589.62	66 67		2506.60 2507.65	25 26	1398.571 1443.009	1398.571 1443.009	25 26		1104.0469 1116.4205
20 27	1634.94	1634.94	68		2507.92	27	1445.009 1486.403	1486.403	26 27		1110.4203
28	1679.14	1679.14	00		2507.52	28	1528.673	1528.673	28		1127.723
29	1722.21	1722.21				29	1569.732	1569.731			
30	1764.15	1764.15				30		1609.483			
31	1804.95	1804.94				31		1647.826			
32	1844.60	1844.59				32		1684.651			
33	1883.09	1883.09				33		1719.842			
34	1920.44	1920.43						• • • •			
35 36	1956.61 1991.62	1956.61 1991.63				••		• • •			
7	1991.02	2025.47				43		 1951.616			
38		2058.15				44		1959.306			
39		2089.64				45		1963.474			
40		2119.96				46		1963.929			
$\Delta E_{\nu_{\text{max}}, \nu_{\text{max}}-1}$					0.27			0.455			3.173
D _e					2508.19			1964.384			1130.896
D_e^{expt}				2508 [*]			1964*,c			1129.17*,d	
Dept Control of the c					2507.987 [#]			<u>1963.979</u> #			1128.962
Error					0.049			0.046			0.007
,	7 LiD $- X^1 \Sigma^+$			υ	NaLi $-X^1\Sigma^+$			υ	NaL	$i - A^1 \Sigma^+$	
	E _υ [26]	E_{υ}^{AM}			E _v [30]		E_{υ}^{AM}		E_{ν}^{expt}	t [31]	E_{υ}^{AM}
)	524.69	524.69		0	128.10		128.10	0	93.8	3174	93.8174
	1553.71	1553.71		1	381.70		381.70	1	280.	.0037	280.0037
2	2557.33	2557.31		2	632.00		632.00	2		.3545	464.3546
3	3535.86	3535.84		3	878.90		878.92	3		.8806	646.8806
4	4489.67	4489.67		4	1122.40		1122.40	4		.5915	827.5915
5 6	5419.13	5419.15		5 6	1362.40		1362.39	5		6.4962	1006.496
7	6324.60 7206.40	6324.63 7206.41		7	1598.90 1831.90		1598.85 1831.75	6 7		3.6024 8.9172	1183.602 1358.916
3	8064.77	8064.77		8	2061.30		2061.06	8		2.6381	1532.446
9	8899.95	8899.93		9	2287.20		2286.77	9		4.1950	1704.194
10	9712.08	9712.06		10	2509.40		2508.83	10		4.1672	1874.167
11	10501.29	10501.28		11	2728.00		2727.23	11	204	2.3662	2042.366
2	11267.63	11267.63		12	2942.90		2941.94	12	220	8.7942	2208.794
13	12011.09	12011.11		13	3154.00		3152.90	13		3.4524	2373.452
14	12731.57	12731.60		14	3361.30		3360.09	14		6.3413	2536.341
5	13428.90	13428.93		15	3564.70		3563.44	15		7.4602	2697.460
6	14102.75	14102.77		16 17	3764.20		3762.89 3958.36	16 17		6.8076 4.3810	2856.807
.7 .8	14752.68 15378.05	14752.68 15378.02		18	3959.70 4151.10		4149.76	17 18		4.3610 0.1771	3014.380 3170.177
9	15978.00	15977.96		19	4338.20		4336.99	19		4.1915	3324.191
0	16551.42	16551.37		20	4521.10		4519.94	20		6.4189	3476.419
1	17096.85	17096.81		21	4699.50		4698.48	21		6.8532	3626.85
2	17612.46	17612.45		22	4873.30		4872.47	22		5.4871	3775.488
!3	18095.94	18095.94		23	5042.40		5041.74	23		2.3127	3922.312
.4	18544.37	18544.37		24	5206.60		5206.12	24	406	7.3208	4067.318
25	18954.15	18954.13		25	5365.70		5365.43	25	421	0.5016	4210.493
26	19320.78	19320.76		26	5519.60		5519.46	26			4351.825
27	19638.84	19638.84		27	5668.00		5668.00	27			4491.299
8		19901.82		28	5810.70		5810.81	28			4628.899
9 10		20101.83 20229.45		29 30	5947.50 6078.10		5947.63 6078.21	29 30			4764.608 4898.403
50 51		20229.43		31	6202.20		6202.27	31			5030.263
•		20275,55		32	6319.50		6319.50	32			5160.16
				33	6429.60		6429.60	33			5288.069
				34	6532.40		6532.24	34			5413.954
				35	6627.20		6627.08	35			5537.779
				36	6713.90		6713.76				
				37	6791.90		6791.90				
								61			7740.416
				42			7040.38	62			7763.368
				43			7058.54	63			7778.769
				44			7065.08	64			7786.098

Table 2 (Continued)

υ	$^7 \text{LiD} - X^1 \Sigma^+$		υ	NaLi – $X^1 \Sigma^+$		υ	NaLi $-A^1\Sigma^+$	
	E _v [26]	E_{v}^{AM}		E_v^{expt} [30]	E_{v}^{AM}		E_{v}^{expt} [31]	E_{v}^{AM}
$\Delta E_{\upsilon_{ ext{max}},\upsilon_{ ext{max}}-1} \ D_e^{app} \ D_e^{ ext{expt}} \ D_e^{AM}$		44.10 20317.65			6.54 7071.62			7.3292 7793.4277
D_e^{AM} E_{rror}	20293.5*	20288.79 # 0.107		7067.8*	7067.434 0.056		7791*	7789.586 # 0.193

- * Experimental value.
- # The values (bold-underlined) calculated using Eq. (1) and the highest three AM vibrational energy. $D_e^{app} = E_{v_{max}} + \Delta E_{v_{max},v_{max}-1}$; $E_{rror} = (D_e^{expt} D_e^{cal})/\Delta E_{v_{max},v_{max}-1}$.
- ^a Experimental value from Ref. [32].
- b Experimental value from Ref. [33].
- ^c Experimental value from Ref. [34].
- d Experimental value from Ref. [35].

the relative error $E_{rror} = (D_e^{\rm expt} - D_e^{\rm cal})/\Delta E_{\upsilon_{\rm max},\upsilon_{\rm max}-1}$ of the calculated dissociation energy $D_e^{\rm cal}$ for each electronic state.

It can be seen from Table 2 that the AM dissociation energies D_e^{AM} (those D_e^{cal} with "#") evaluated using the AM vibrational energies and Eq. (1) have excellent agreement with experimental dissociation energies $D_e^{\rm expt}$, and all D_e^{AM} satisfy Eq. (2). Table 2 also demonstrates that the AM produces correct maximum vibrational quantum number $\upsilon_{\rm max}$ and correct maximum vibrational energy $E_{\upsilon_{\rm max}}$ which is very close to the molecular dissociation limit. A typical example is the electronic excited state $X^1 \Sigma^+$ of NaRb. Kasahara and coworkers [23] obtained 31 experimental vibrational energies for this system. However, the AM approach obtains 77 vibrational energies in full energy spectrum $\{E_{\upsilon}\}$ using the accurate experimental energy subset $[E_{\upsilon}^{\rm expt}]$ of Kasahara et al., a correct maximum vibrational energy $E_{\upsilon_{\rm max}=76}^{\rm AM} (= 5030.558\,{\rm cm}^{-1})$ which is very close to the $D_e^{\rm expt} = 5030.75\,{\rm cm}^{-1}$ [32] and $D_e^{\rm expt} = 5030.502(50)\,{\rm cm}^{-1}$ [33], and an dissociation energy $D_e^{\rm AM} = 5030.598\,{\rm cm}^{-1}$.

A widely recognized experience is that the energy difference $(D_e^{\rm expt}-D_e^{\rm cal})$ of an electronic state is usually within a fraction of its last vibrational spacing $\Delta E_{\upsilon_{\rm max},\upsilon_{\rm max}-1}$ for a theoretical $D_e^{\rm cal}$. In other words, the relative error $E_{rror}=(D_e^{\rm expt}-D_e^{\rm cal})/\Delta E_{\upsilon_{\rm max},\upsilon_{\rm max}-1}$ of $D_e^{\rm cal}$ is usually smaller than unity. It is clearly seen that all AM E_{rrors} in Table 2 are less than unity.

The approximate dissociation energies $D_e^{app} = E_{\upsilon_{\max}} + \Delta E_{\upsilon_{\max},\upsilon_{\max}-1}$ listed in Table 2 show that most of these quantities from the AM vibrational constants violate Eq. (2). It can be seen that the second term $\Delta E_{\upsilon_{\max},\upsilon_{\max}-1}$ of D_e^{app} are greater or much greater than the second term $F(\Delta E_{\upsilon_{\max},\upsilon_{\max}-1})$ of D_e^{cal} in Eq. (1) for every state in Table 2. It is this difference that makes the D_e^{app} poorer or much poorer than the D_e^{cal} .

3. Summation

An algebraic method (AM) used to study the full vibrational energy spectra of diatomic systems which have regular (Morselike) potentials proposed by Sun et al. [21,22], and an analytical formula [19,20] used to calculate molecular dissociation energies are described. At present, vibrational energies near molecular dissociation limits for many diatomic electronic states may not be available experimentally or theoretically. The AM method and the new formula for dissociation energy are applied to study the vibrational spectroscopic constants, the full AM vibrational spectra and the molecular dissociation energies of some electronic states of homonuclear and heteronuclear diatomic molecules. Studies show that: (a) for many diatomic molecular electronic states, although there are many results on their vibrational energies, the data of high-lying vibrational energies near dissociation limits are still sorely lacking. The AM method generates vibrational spectroscopic

constants and full vibrational spectrum for a diatomic electronic state by using some accurate experimental energies and a set of physical requirements satisfied by correct vibrational energies. (b) For some diatomic molecular electronic states, it may be difficult to obtain their molecular dissociation energies using modern quantum methods and it is costly to obtain them experimentally. However, the parameter-free analytical expression we proposed recently [19,20] is only the function of the three highest vibrational energies near the dissociation limit. Therefore, if we use the three highest vibrational energies, the analytical formula will generate molecular dissociation energies. In summary, based on accurate experimental vibrational energies, the AM method, together with the analytical formula for dissociation energy, supplies a reliable and economical physical method to study the full vibrational spectrum and the molecular dissociation energy of a stable diatomic molecular theoretically.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10774105) and the Science Foundation of the Ministry of Education of China.

References

- [1] M.A. Morrison, W.G. Sun, Computational Methods for Electron-Molecule Collisions, Plenum Press, New York, 1995, p. 131.
- [2] National Natural Science Foundation Committee, The Natural Science Academic Development Strategy Investigation Report-Light Physics, Science Press, Beijing, 1994, p. 23 (in Chinese).
- [3] G. Herzeberg, Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure, vol. I, Spectra of Diatomic Molecules. Van Nostrand Reinhold. New York. 1953.
- [4] W. Kolos, J. Rychlewski, J. Chem. Phys. 98 (1993) 3960.
- [5] Z. Li, F.M. Tao, Y.K. Pan, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 57 (1996) 207.
- [6] M. Merchán, R. Pou-Amérigo, B. Roos, Chem. Phys. Lett. 252 (1996) 405.
- [7] V. Bednarska, I. Jackowska, W. Jaskrzebski, P. Kowalczyk, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 189 (1998) 244.
- [8] A. Pashov, W. Jastrzebski, P. Kowalczyk, Chem. Phys. Lett. 292 (1998) 615.
- [9] A. Grochola, W. Jastrzebski, P. Kowalczyk, P. Crozet, A.J. Ross, Chem. Phys. Lett. 372 (2003) 173.
- [10] W. Jastrzebski, P. Kowalczyk, J.J. Camacho, A. Pardo, J.M.L. Poyato, Spectrochim. Acta A 57 (2001) 1829.
- [11] A. Grochola, W. Jastrzebski, P. Kowalczyk, Chem. Phys. Lett. 394 (2004) 383.
- [12] R. Nadyak, W. Jastrzebski, P. Kowalczyk, Chem. Phys. Lett. 353 (2002) 414.
- [13] A.J. Ross, P. Crozet, I. Russier-Antoine, A. Grochola, P. Kowalczyk, W. Jastrzebski, P. Kortyka, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 226 (2004) 95.
- [14] P. Kowalczyk, W. Jastrzebski, A. Pashov, S. Magnier, M. Aubert-Frécon, Chem. Phys. Lett. 314 (1999) 47.
 [15] W. Jastrzebski, P. Kowalczyk, P. Nadyak, A. Pashov, Spectrosc, Acta A 58 (2002)
- [15] W. Jastrzebski, P. Kowalczyk, R. Nadyak, A. Pashov, Spectrosc. Acta A 58 (2002) 2193.
- [16] A. Grochola, W. Jastrzebski, P. Kowalczyk, S. Magnier, M. Aubert-Frécon, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 224 (2004) 151.
- [17] R.J. LeRoy, R.B. Bernstein, J. Chem. Phys. 52 (1970) 3869.
- [18] R.J. LeRoy, R.B. Bernstein, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 37 (1971) 109.
- [19] W. Sun, Q. Fan, W. Ren, Sci. China Ser. G 50 (2007) 611.
- [20] Q. Fan, W. Sun, Spectrochim. Acta A 72 (2009) 298.
- [21] W. Sun, S. Hou, H. Feng, W. Ren, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 215 (2002) 93.

- [22] W. Ren, W. Sun, S. Hou, H. Feng, Sci. China Ser. G 48 (2005) 385.
- [23] S. Kasahara, T. Ebi, M. Tanimura, H. Ikoma, K. Matsubara, M. Baba, H. Kato, J. Chem. Phys. 105 (1996) 1341. [24] W.C. Stwalley, W.T. Zemke, S.C. Yang, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 20 (1991) 153.
- [25] L. Li, A.M. Lyyra, W.T. Luh, W.C. Stwalley, J. Chem. Phys. 93 (1990) 8452.
- [26] W.C. Stwalley, W.T. Zemke, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 22 (1993) 87.
- [27] R. Ferber, E.A. Pazyuk, A.V. Stolyarov, A. Zaitsevskii, P. Kowalczyk, J. Chem. Phys. 112 (2000) 5740.
- [28] P. Kowalczyk, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 136 (1989) 1.

- [29] H. Kato, M. Sakano, N. Yoshie, M. Baba, K. Ishikawa, J. Chem. Phys. 93 (1990)
- [30] F. Engelke, G. Ennen, K.H. Meiwes, Chem. Phys. 66 (1982) 391.
- [31] C.E. Fellows, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 136 (1989) 369.
- [32] W.T. Zemke, W.C. Stwalley, J. Chem. Phys. 114 (2001) 10811.
- [33] A. Pashov, O. Docenko, M. Tamanis, R. Ferber, H. Knöckel, E. Tiemann, Phys. Rev. A 72 (2005) 062505.
- [34] W.J. Stevens, D.D. Konowalow, L.B. Ratcliff, J. Chem. Phys. 80 (1984) 1215.
- [35] G.H. Jeung, J.P. Daudey, J.P. Malrieu, Chem. Phys. Lett. 94 (1983) 300.