## 1 Introduction

This report looks at *AstroTrop* project use casees and to determine the project requirements, and then evaluates where the concepts, components and services from the IVOA can be applied to meet some of the *AstroTrop* project requirements.

# 2 The IVOA Virtual Observatory

The The International Virtual Observatory Alliance (IVOA) was formed in June 2002 with a mission to

"facilitate the international coordination and collaboration necessary for the development and deployment of the tools, systems and organizational structures necessary to enable the international utilization of astronomical archives as an integrated and interoperating virtual observatory."

The  $Virtual\ Observatory\ (VO)$  is the realization of the IVOA vision of an integrated and interoperating virtual observatory. The work of the IVOA focuses on the development of standards, providing a forum for members to debate and agree the technical standards that are needed to make the VO possible.

The operational VO itself is comprised of a global shared metadata registry, the Registry, and a number of individual data discovery and data access services deployed at each of the participating institutes. These components work together to present a uniform mechanism for discovering and accessing data, irrespective of where it is physically located.

The VO architecture and data discovery processes are very similar to the 'interconnected metadata collections' approach described in "The new bioinformatics: integrating ecological data from the gene to the biosphere" (Jones et al. 2006).

".... a loosely structured collection of project-specific data sets accompanied by structured metadata about each of the data sets."

"Each of the data sets is stored in a manner that is opaque to the data system in that the data themselves cannot be directly queried; rather, the structured metadata describing the data is queried in order to locate data sets of interest."

"After data sets of interest are located, more detailed information .... can be extracted from the metadata and used to load, query, and manipulate individual data sets."

## 2.1 Example use case

A useful way to illustrate how the data discovery process works in the VO is to look at an example task such as selecting images covering a particular region of the sky, in a particular wavelength e.g. infrared, visible light, radio or xray.

#### 2.1.1 Service discovery

The first step of the process is to identify the services that provide access to the type of data we are looking for by querying the *Registry*.

The *Registry* is comprised of a number of small local registry services, typically hosted at the participating institute level, working in cooperation with a set of higer level global registry services hosted by a few key institutes that aggregate the data from the smaller registries to create a global searchable index of metadata describing all of the services and datasets available in the VO.

When a new service is deployed, part of the deployment process involves registering the service with the local registry. The local registry is then responsible for collecting and storing the metadata that describes both the service itself and the datasets that it provides access to.

Once the metadata for a service or dataset has been registered in a local registry, it is automatically propagated up to the next level and replicated between the global registries.

This makes it possible to access the metadata for all of the services and datasets published in the VO by querying any one of the global registries.

The first step in fulfilling our example use case is to identify services that contain the type of data we are looking for, in this case images, by querying the *Registry* for services that support the *IVOA Simple Image Access* (SIA) capability.

In addition to the technical details of services and their capabilities the *Registry* also contains details about the content of datasets, including details of the wavelength(s) measured, e.g. infrared, visible, radio or xray.

This allows us to refine our query to search for SIA services that contain images in a specific waveband, e.g. optical, infrared or x-ray.

The *Registry* query returns a table of data, each row of which contains information about a *SIA* service that provides the type of data we are interested in - images in a particular wavelength.

The VO is itself an evolving system, building on the existing work to add additional levels of integration as new features are added to the IVOA specifications.

A recent addition to the list of IVOA standards is the  $HEALPix\ Multi-Order$   $Coverage\ Map\ (MOC)$  which allows Registry services to perform coarse grained region matches.

This will enable us to further refine our *Registry* query to filter for *SIA* services that contained data in a particular region of the sky.

### 2.1.2 Data discovery

The next stage of the process is to query each of the SIA services in the list to discover details about the individual images available from that service.

A SIA service can handle queries that specifiy a particular wavelength and a particular region of the sky:

- POS The positional region (ra, dec)
- BAND The energy interval (wavelength)

Each SIA service returns a table of data, each row of which contains metadata about an individual image. The details of the fields in the image metadata are defined in the Observation Data Model Core Components (ObsCore) data model.

This demonstrates a core part of the *IVOA* architecture, interoperable services based on standard interfaces and data formats.

All of the SIA services will return a standard response, which makes it much easier to combine them to produce a global list of all the images available within the whole VO that match our search criteria.

The two key components of this are:

- A standard interface for the global Registry that uses a standard set of attributes to describe datasets and services
- A standard interface for local SIA data access services that uses a standard set of attributes to describe the available data products

The separation between the initial service discovery query at the global level followed by individual data discovery queries at the local level is very similar to the stages described in Jones et al. 2006:

- 1. Querying the metadata to establish the location of suitable data
- 2. Querying the individual services to establish what the data is and how to access it

# 3 Tropical forest science

#### 3.1 Carbon density comparison

We can compare the VO data discovery process for astronomy data with an example use case based on a recent study "Markedly divergent estimates of Amazon forest carbon density from ground plots and satellites" (Mitchard et al. 2014), comparing remote sensing data from satellites with ground plot data collected in the field.

The study compares two sets of remote sensing data, from NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) "Benchmark map of forest carbon stocks in tropical regions across three continents" (Saatchi et al. 2011) [RS1] and the Woods Hole

Research Center (WHRC) "Estimated carbon dioxide emissions from tropical deforestation improved by carbon-density maps" (Baccini et al. 2012) [RS2] with four sets of ground plot data from

- Red Amazónica de Inventarios Forestales (RAINFOR) (Peacock et al. 2007) (Malhi et al. 2009)
- Amazon Tree Diversity Network (ATDN)
- Tropical Ecology Assessment and Monitoring (TEAM)
- Brazilian Program for Biodiversity Research (PPBio) (Pezzini et al. 2012)

#### 3.1.1 Remote sensing source data

It is not know what data discovery and data access methods were used to identify and access the primary remote sensing source data.

However, there are a number of data discovery tools available that enable researchers to search for remote sensing data products such as satellite images and radar scans.

A good examples of this type of tool are the *Earth Explorer* and *GloVis* tools provided by the *U.S. Geological Survey* (*USGS*)

"The USGS EarthExplorer ... provides users the ability to query, search, and order satellite images, aerial photographs, and cartographic products from several sources"

"In addition to data from the Landsat missions and a variety of other data providers, EE now provides access to MODIS land data products from the NASA Terra and Aqua missions, and ASTER level-1B data products over the U.S. and Territories from the NASA ASTER mission"

"The USGS Global Visualization Viewer (GloVis) is an online search and order tool for selected satellite data. The viewer allows access to all available browse images from the Landsat 7 ETM+, Landsat 4/5 TM, Landsat 1-5 MSS, EO-1 ALI, EO-1 Hyperion, MRLC, and Tri-Decadal data sets, as well as Aster TIR, Aster VNIR and MODIS browse images from the DAAC inventory"

The USGS also provides large area composited mosaics generated from Land-sat data via the WELD project.

"The WELD data products are processed so users do not need to apply the equations, spectral calibration coefficients, and solar information, needed to convert Landsat digital numbers to reflectance and brightness temperature. They are defined in the same coordinate system and align precisely, making them simple to use for

multi-temporal applications. The products provide consistent data that can be used to derive higher-level land cover as well as geophysical and biophysical products for assessment of surface dynamics and to study Earth system functioning"

The USGS also maintains a  $Long\ Term\ Archive\ (LTA)$  of historical remote sensing data.

"The U.S. Geological Survey's (USGS) Long Term Archive (LTA) at the National Center for Earth Resource Observations and Science (EROS) in Sioux Falls, SD is one of the largest civilian remote sensing data archives"

"Time series images are a valuable resource for scientists, disaster managers, engineers, educators, and the general public. USGS EROS has archived, managed, and preserved land remote sensing data for more than 35 years and is a leader in preserving land remote sensing imagery"

However, all of these interfaces are based around human interaction. There are no machine readable data discovery services for this type of remote sensing data.

#### 3.1.2 Carbon density maps

A detailed description of the [RS1] dataset produced by NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory is available in the authors paper (Saatchi et al. 2011).

The paper, along with the additional supporting information available on the PNAS website, describes the main upstream data sources and the methods applied.

However, details of the data sources, the instruments, target areas and date ranges the data covers are not available in a machine readable format.

The carbon density dataset itself is available as *GTIF* files, with associated *World file* metadata, for download from the *NASA JPL carbon dataset* site.

A detailed description of the [RS2] dataset produced by the *Woods Hole Research Center* is available in the authors paper (Baccini et al. 2012).

The paper, along with the additional supporting information available from the *Nature* website, describes the upstream data sources and the methods applied. However, details of the data sources, the instruments, target areas and date ranges the data covers are not available in a machine readable format.

The carbon density dataset itself is available by request from the WHRC carbon dataset website. Access to the data requires filling in a simple web form declaring who you are and what you want to use the data for. On submitting the web-form, an automated email reply is generated containing a URL to a ZIP file on the WHRC website.

The ZIP file contains the data as GTIF files, with associated World file metadata.

#### 3.1.3 Ground plot data

The four sets of ground plot data from RAINFOR, ATDN, TEAM and PPBio were combined together in the ForestPlots.Net database.

Details of the design and capabilities of the *ForestPlots.Net* system is presented in "ForestPlots.net: a web application and research tool to manage and analyse tropical forest plot data" (Lopez-Gonzalez et al. 2011).

"The ForestPlots.net web application was designed primarily as a repository for long-term intact tropical forest inventory plots, where trees within an area are individually identified, measured and tracked through time"

Of the three sets of ground plot data, the data from *RAINFOR* and *ATDN* were already available in the *ForestPlots.Net* database.

The plot data from the TEAM and PPBio projects were downloaded and imported into the ForestPlots.Net database manually.

A permanent archive of the combiuned field plot data is stored in the *Forest-Plots.Net* database as a publically available dataset<sup>1</sup> and is available in the supporting information for the paper.

#### 3.1.4 AGB data

The AGB data for the forest plots were calculated using a SQL query provided by the ForestPlots.Net system which implements the tropical forest model described in "Tree allometry and improved estimation of carbon stocks and balance in tropical forests" (Chave et al. 2005). The results of the AGB calculation for each forest plot are included in the combined field plot dataset stored in the ForestPlots.Net database.

The paper refers to a number of maps derived from the field plot data and other sources which were generated as part of the analysis.

- Kriged map of mean wood density  $(\rho)$
- Ratio of diameter (D) to tree height (H) Feldpausch et al. 2012
- Kriged map of basal area
- Kriged map of AGB using D and species-specific  $\rho$ , and a regional height model ( $K_{DH\rho}$ )
- Kriged map of AGB using D and species-specific  $\rho$ , but a pan Amazonian height model  $(K_{D\rho})$
- Kriged map of AGB using D, regional height models and  $\rho$ , but with  $\rho$  fixed at 0.63 (K<sub>DH</sub>)

http://dx.doi.org/10.5521/FORESTPLOTS.NET/2014\_1

- Kriged map of AGB using D, pan-Amazonian height model, and  $\rho$  fixed at 0.63 (K<sub>D</sub>)
- AGB map from [RS1] (Saatchi et al. 2011)
- AGB map from [RS2] (Baccini et al. 2012)
- Difference between [RS1] and  $K_{DH\rho}$
- Difference between [RS2] and  $K_{DH\rho}$
- Difference between [RS1] and [RS2]

These derived datasets and maps are not available in the supporting information for the paper.

The AGB data derived from two remote-sensing-derived maps, Saatchi et al. 2011 [RS1] and Baccini et al. 2012 [RS2] are not available in the supporting information for the paper.

## 4 Data discovery requirements

Based on the *AstroTrop* use cases we have studied so far it is clear that data discovery forms a significant part of the requirements for *AstroTrop*.

In the many of the use cases a significant part of the source material for the use case has come from outside the *AstroTrop* community.

For example, both the [RS1] (Saatchi et al. 2011) and [RS2] (Baccini et al. 2012) datasets used in the Mitchard et al. 2014 use case came from external data sources, NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory and Woods Hole Research Center respectively.

In the long term, the ideal solution would be to encourage these external data providers to join the *AstroTrop* community and publish and curate their own data using the *AstroTrop* system.

In the short term, in order to make this type of external data available as part of the *AstroTrop* data discovery process, it will necessary for a member of the *AstroTrop* community to register and curate the metadata about the external data in the *AstroTrop* system.

A number of the *AstroTrop* use cases also require data created or provided by members of the *AstroTrop* community.

This means that the Astro Trop needs to provide support for individual members of the Astro Trop community to publish indivudual datasets within the Astro Trop system. Enabling other members of the Astro Trop community to discover and use the data as source material for their own research. Promoting the sharing and re-use of results within the Astro Trop community.

In both cases, the requirements for the data discovery process are that the users is able to specify an area of interest and the type of data they are interested in and then gradually narrows the search criteria in response to the data discovery results until they find the data they are interested in.

Based on this outline we can begin to evaluate how well the *IVOA* and *AstroGrid* software meets the *AstroTrop* requirements and compare this with equivalent *GIS* software currently available.

At first glance, the *IVOA* and *AstroTrop* data discovery processes are very similar. Suggesting that the *IVOA* and *AstroGrid* software should be a good fit for the *AstroTrop* requirements.

However, there are a number of issues that may mean that the *IVOA* and *AstroGrid* software are not the most best solution for meeting the *AstroTrop* requirements.

One issue is that a significant part of the IVOA metadata structure relies on a number of domain specific astronomy terms and concepts, making it difficult to apply the IVOA metadata structure to data from a different domain.

Although it would be possible to remove the domain specific terms and concepts from the *IVOA* data model and replace them with a set of terms that were more suited to the *AstroTrop* domain. Doing this piece at a time, gradually evolving a new metadata data model for the *AstroTrop* project would be a nontrivial undertaking involving a significant comitment of time and resources. It is worth bearing in mind that the *IVOA* ObsCore data model that forms the basis of a lot of the *IVOA* data discovery process is the result of 10 years work by the *IVOA* working groups to define a common data model for astronomy observations.

With this in mind, it would probably be more practical to base the AstroTrop metadata data model on a existing data model(s) that has been developed for domains similar to AstroTrop.

Two examples of this are the World file GIS metadata and Ecological Metadata Language (EML) metadata formats.

The World file GIS metadata format provides a simple way of annotating an existing map or raster image with GIS location metadata.

The World file format consists of a plain text file format containing details of the location, scale and rotation of a map or raster image.

Both of the remote sensing datasets [RS1] (Saatchi et al. 2011) and [RS2] (Baccini et al. 2012) provide *World file* metadata using the *example.tfw* convention to associate the metadata with the *GTIF* maps.

Ecological Metadata Language (EML) is a metadata specification for describing ecological datasets, based on work done by the Ecological Society of America and associated efforts "Nongeospatial metadata for the ecological sciences" (Michener et al. 1997).

 $\it EML$  is implemented as a series of  $\it XML$  document types that can be used to describe different aspects of an ecological dataset.

A second issue with the *IVOA* and *AstroGrid* metadata *Registry* and data discovery tools concerns the allocation of roles and responsibilities for managing the metadata within the *Registry*, and the way these reflect the structure of the *IVOA* and the members involved in developing the *Registry*.

Historically, the most active contributors to the development of the *IVOA* standards and the *AstroGrid* software have been primary data providers within the international and UK astronomy communities. Many of these represent large

scale data providers responsible for publishing and curating primary science archives for telescope surves or satelite missions.

In the AstroTrop domain these are equivalent to the upstream data providers who publish the original satelite remote sensing data, such as the Landsat data archive or the LTA of remote sensing data published by by the USGS.

This has influenced the way that the *IVOA* and *AstroGrid* software has been developed. In particular, the general emphasis on providing tools and services for publishing large datasets, has meant that the curation of the dataset metadata was seen as a system administrator role. As a result, many of the current user interface tools for managing and curating data sets are designed around a single system administrator managing the metadata for an entire service, rather that individual researchers managing the metadata for their own data.

In contrast, in the *AstroTrop* use cases a significan portion of the data in the system will be provided by and curated by individual researchers or small research groups. For example, the results and supplementary data for the (Mitchard et al. 2014) paper would probably be published and curated by the members of the research team themselves.

Neither of these issues are 'show stoppers'. It should be possible to replace the *IVOA ObsCore* with a new datamodel designed for *AstroTrop*, and it should be possible to develop a new user interface and permission infrastructure to enable individual users to publish and curate their own data.

However, bearing in mind the level of resources these changes would require it may also be useful to compare the data discovery capabilities and features provided by the IVOA and AstroGrid software with the equivalent capabilities of some of the current GIS based systems which are designed to handle geographical and ecological data.

#### 4.0.5 Global Index of Vegetation-Plot Databases

The Global Index of Vegetation-Plot Databases (GIVD) system is a complex registry of metadata describing databases of vegetation plot data from around the world.

The *GIVD* system contains records for over 200 databases and 3 million individual vegetation plots.

Three of the datasets used in our use cases are listed in the GIVD system:

- $\bullet$  ForestPlots.Net [GIVD:00-00-001]<sup>2</sup>
- PPBio [GIVD:SA-BR-001]<sup>3</sup>
- TEAM [GIVD:00-00-002]<sup>4</sup>.

In "The Global Index of Vegetation-Plot Databases (GIVD): a new resource for vegetation science" (Dengler et al. 2011) the GIVD project team describe

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ http://www.givd.info/ID/00-00-001

<sup>3</sup>http://www.givd.info/ID/SA-BR-001

<sup>4</sup>http://www.givd.info/ID/00-00-002

the system architecture and some plans for the future to aggregate different types of data from external sources.

"Our longer-term vision is to develop GIVD in ways similar to Metacat (Jones et al. 2006), so that, ultimately, users who query GIVD will not only receive information on which databases contain data suitable for the intended analyses, but they will also discover other data from distributed databases, with GIVD acting as the central node."

This is broadly similar to the *VO* architecture of distributed datasets and to the *'interconnected metadata collections approach'* described in "The new bioinformatics: integrating ecological data from the gene to the biosphere" (Jones et al. 2006).

However, the current emphasis is focussed on providing a human interactive search facility with the *GIVD* system acting as the central node. So far, the plans do not include providing a machine readable interface to enable *GIVD* system itself to be used as a component in in a larger distributed system.

## 4.0.6 PPBio Information System

In "The Brazilian Program for Biodiversity Research (PPBio) Information System" (Pezzini et al. 2012) the *PPBio* team describe the role of the data manager and the metadata collection processes developed as part of the *PPBio* Information System.

They also describe the transition from an initial flat file data storage system, to a new system based on Metacat.

"To facilitate data searches, all the metadata were converted to XML, and the PPBio has installed a METACAT server to integrate with the Knowledge Network for Biocomplexity (KNB), a network which aims to assist ecological and environmental research."

This move towards using open standards for the metadata and the service interfaces, enables the PPBio Information System to become part of a larger distributed system.

## 4.0.7 Knowledge Network for Biocomplexity

The Knowledge Network for Biocomplexity KNB is a data repository

"intended to facilitate ecological and environmental research"

by enabling researchers to

"share, discover, access and interpret complex ecological data"

The KNB system uses the Metacat software to store and query the Ecological Metadata Language (EML) metadata for each of the datasets in the repository.

In some cases the KNB system stores both the metadata and actual data itself, e.g. Tree crown allometries, Piedmont and Southern Appalachians 2001-2004<sup>5</sup>.

In other cases the KNB system only stores the metadata, referring to data that is stored elsewhere, e.g. Tree crown allometries, Piedmont and Southern Appalachians  $2001-2004^6$ .

This is may be good model for the AstroTrop system to follow.

In some cases, the system would store both the data and the metadata, e.g the results and supplementary data for (Mitchard et al. 2014).

In other cases, the system would only store the metadata, along with a refence to the data stored in an external system, e.g. the [RS1] (Saatchi et al. 2011) and [RS2] (Baccini et al. 2012) source material datasets used by (Mitchard et al. 2014)

In this second example, the members of the (Mitchard et al. 2014) research team would enter the metadata details of the [RS1] (Saatchi et al. 2011) and [RS2] (Baccini et al. 2012) datasets into the *AstroTrop* system, but the datasets themselves would remain with their original publishers, *NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory* and *Woods Hole Research Center* respectively.

This would enable other members of the AstroTrop community to discover the [RS1] (Saatchi et al. 2011) and [RS2] (Baccini et al. 2012) datasets using the AstroTrop search facility, which would refer them to the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory and Woods Hole Research Center websites to download the data.

As the AstroTrop system is developed further, the ideal outcome would be for NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory and Woods Hole Research Center to join the AstroTrop community and participate in the development of the standards and interfaces for data sharing and data discovery.

A number of NASA projects are active in the IVOA, participating in the working groups and conferences and contributing towards developing the IVOA standards.

#### 4.0.8 Metacat

*Metacat* is an open source data management tool that provides a repository for managing data and metadata in a single system.

Metacat is a repository for data and metadata (documentation about data) that helps scientists find, understand and effectively use data sets they manage or that have been created by others.

#### 4.0.9 Data Observation Network

The *Metacat* project is itself part of the *Data Observation Network* (*DataONE*) project, a collaboration sponsored by the U.S. National Science Foundation to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>https://knb.ecoinformatics.org/#view/doi:10.5063/AA/mdietze.3.2

 $<sup>^6\</sup>mathrm{https://knb.ecoinformatics.org/\#view/doi:10.5063/AA/mdietze.3.2}$ 

build an infrastructire from distributed webservices that provides open, persistent, robust, and secure access to Earth observational data.

The DataONE project is a collaboration among scientists, technologists, librarians, and social scientists to build a robust, interoperable, and sustainable system for preserving and accessing Earth observational data at national and global scales. Supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation, DataONE partners focus on technological, financial, and organizational sustainability approaches to building a distributed network of data repositories that are fully interoperable, even when those repositories use divergent underlying software and support different data and metadata content standards.

The *DataONE* architecture is based on a set of top level *Coordinating Nodes* and individual *Member Nodes* located at each participating institute or organisation.

The *Coordinating Nodes* provide a replicated catalog of the data in the *Member Nodes*, enabling researchers to search for and discover data across the whole network.

The individual  $Member\ Nodes$  at each institute enable researchers to publish data to the whole DataONE network.

This approach is very similar to the *VO* architecture of distributed datasets and the *'interconnected metadata collections approach'* described in "The new bioinformatics: integrating ecological data from the gene to the biosphere" (Jones et al. 2006).

#### 4.0.10 FGDC Geospatial Platform

"The GeoPlatform provides shared and trusted geospatial data, services, and applications for use by the public and by government agencies and partners to meet their mission needs"

"Implementation of the GeoPlatform embodies the principles and spirit of Open Government, emphasizing government-to-citizen communication, accountability, and transparency"

"The GeoPlatform supports open formats, data standards, and common core and extensible metadata. The portfolio of data, applications, and services provided here is stewarded through the use of open licenses and careful review and hosted on an infrastructure that maximizes interoperability"

## 4.0.11 CKAN Data management system

"Many organisations already have their data in repositories with well-defined process and procedures for publishing and managing data. In this case the data can be simply pulled regularly into CKAN from the existing repositories. To facilitate this model we've developed a sophisticated and customisable "harvesting" mechanism which can fetch and import records from many different repository sources, including:

- Geospatial CSW Servers (see geospatial for more information)
- Existing web catalogues
- Simple HTML index pages or Web Accessible Folders
- ArcGIS, Geoportal Servers and Z39.50 databases
- Other CKAN instances

This functionality is used on data.gov to get data in from hundreds of their agencies, on data.gov.uk to implement a Discovery Metadata Service used to fulfill the UK's obligations under the EU INSPIRE directive."

Because the harvesting functionality can be used to pull in metadata from other CKAN instances, it can also be used to create a federated network of CKAN nodes which share data between each other. This is useful if, for example, a national portal wanted to aggregate information from local government CKAN instances, or if a topic-specific CKAN instance was created which aggregated a subset of datasets from other CKAN sources. CKAN follows the DCAT standard for data catalogue metadata, so data can also be federated from other non-CKAN catalogues."

### References

AGB. Above Ground Biomass. URL: http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/4\_Volume4/V4\_04\_Ch4\_Forest\_Land.pdf.

ATDN. Amazon Tree Diversity Network. URL: http://web.science.uu.nl/Amazon/ATDN/.

AstroGrid. URL: http://www.astrogrid.org/.

AstroTrop. URL: http://www.astrotrop.org/.

Baccini, A. et al. (2012). "Estimated carbon dioxide emissions from tropical deforestation improved by carbon-density maps". In: *Nature Climate Change* 2 (3), 182–185. DOI: 10.1038/nclimate1354. URL: http://www.nature.com/nclimate/journal/v2/n3/full/nclimate1354.html.

PPBio. Brazilian Program for Biodiversity Research. URL: http://www.teamnetwork.org/.

Chave, J. et al. (2005). "Tree allometry and improved estimation of carbon stocks and balance in tropical forests". In: *Oecologia* 145 (1), pp. 87-99. DOI: 10.1007/s00442-005-0100-x. URL: http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00442-005-0100-x.

```
CKAN. CKAN Data management system. URL: http://ckan.org/.
```

DataONE. Data Observation Network. URL: https://www.dataone.org/.

- Dengler, Jürgen et al. (2011). "The Global Index of Vegetation-Plot Databases (GIVD): a new resource for vegetation science". In: Journal of Vegetation Science 22 (4), pp. 582-597. DOI: 10.1111/j.1654-1103.2011.01265.x. URL: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2011.01265.x/abstract.
- Dietze, Michael, Michael Wolosin, and James Clark (2004). Tree crown allometries, Piedmont and Southern Appalachians 2001-2004. URL: https://knb.ecoinformatics.org/#view/doi:10.5063/AA/mdietze.3.2.
- Earth Explorer. URL: https://lta.cr.usgs.gov/earth\_explorer.
- EML. Ecological Metadata Language. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Ecological\_Metadata\_Language.
- XML. Extensible Markup Language. URL: http://www.w3.org/XML/.
- Feldpausch, T. R. et al. (2012). "Tree height integrated into pantropical forest biomass estimates". In: *Biogeosciences* 9 (8), 3381-3403. DOI: 10.5194/bg-9-3381-2012. URL: http://www.biogeosciences.net/9/3381/2012/bg-9-3381-2012.html.
- FGDC Geospatial Platform. URL: http://www.geoplatform.gov/.
- ForestPlots.Net. URL: http://www.forestplots.net/.
- Kriged. Gaussian process regression. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kriging.
- GIS. geographic information system. URL: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Geographic\_information\_system.
- GTIF. GeoTIFF. URL: http://trac.osgeo.org/geotiff/.
- GIVD. Global Index of Vegetation-Plot Databases. URL: http://www.givd.info/.
- GloVis. Global Visualization Viewer. URL: https://lta.cr.usgs.gov/glovis.
- MOC. HEALPix Multi-Order Coverage Map. URL: http://www.ivoa.net/documents/MOC/.
- Registry. IVOA Registry. URL: http://www.ivoa.net/documents/RegistryInterface/.
- SIA. IVOA Simple Image Access. URL: http://www.ivoa.net/documents/SIA/.
- Jones, Matthew B. et al. (2006). "The new bioinformatics: integrating ecological data from the gene to the biosphere". In: Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 37, pp. 519-544. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys. 37.091305.110031. URL: http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.37.091305.110031.
- $KNB.\ Knowledge\ Network\ for\ Biocomplexity.\ URL: \ https://knb.ecoinformatics.org/.$
- Landsat. Landsat Program. URL: http://landsat.gsfc.nasa.gov/.
- LTA. Long Term Archive. URL: https://lta.cr.usgs.gov/about.
- Lopez-Gonzalez, Gabriela et al. (2011). "ForestPlots.net: a web application and research tool to manage and analyse tropical forest plot data". In: *Journal of Vegetation Science* 22 (4), pp. 610–613. DOI: 10.1111/j.1654–1103.2011.

- 01312.x. URL: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2011.01312.x/abstract.
- Malhi, Y. et al. (2009). "An international network to monitor the structure, composition and dynamics of Amazonian forests (RAINFOR)". In: *Journal of Vegetation Science* 13 (3), pp. 439–450. DOI: 10.1111/j.1654-1103. 2002.tb02068.x. URL: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2002.tb02068.x/abstract.
- Metacat. Metacat. URL: https://knb.ecoinformatics.org/knb/docs/intro.html
- Michener, William K. et al. (1997). "Nongeospatial metadata for the ecological sciences". In: *Ecological Applications* 7 (1), pp. 330-342. DOI: 10.1890/1051-0761(1997)007[0330: NMFTES]2.0.CO; 2. URL: http://www.esajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1890/1051-0761%281997%29007%5B0330%3ANMFTES%5D2.0.CO%3B2.
- Mitchard, Edward T. A. et al. (2014). "Markedly divergent estimates of Amazon forest carbon density from ground plots and satellites". In: *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 23 (8), pp. 935–946. DOI: 10.1111/geb.12168. URL: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/geb.12168/abstract.
- JPL. NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory. URL: http://carbon.jpl.nasa.gov/. NASA JPL carbon dataset. URL: ftp://www-radar.jpl.nasa.gov/projects/carbon/datasets/.
- NASA. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. URL: http://www.nasa.gov/.
- Nature. URL: http://www.nature.com/.
- ObsCore. Observation Data Model Core Components. URL: http://www.ivoa.net/documents/ObsCore/.
- Peacock, J et al. (2007). "The RAINFOR database: monitoring forest biomass and dynamics". In: Journal of Vegetation Science 18 (4), 535-542. DOI: 10. 1111/j.1654-1103.2007.tb02568.x. URL: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2007.tb02568.x/abstract.
- Pezzini, Flávia Fonseca et al. (2012). "The Brazilian Program for Biodiversity Research (PPBio) Information System". In: *Biodiversity & Ecology* 4 (24), 265-274. DOI: 10.7809/b-e.00083. URL: http://www.biodiversity-plants.de/biodivers\_ecol/article\_meta.php?DOI=10.7809/b-e.00083.
- PNAS. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. URL: http://www.pnas.org/.
- RAINFOR. Red Amazónica de Inventarios Forestales. URL: http://www.rainfor.org/.
- Saatchi, Sassan S. et al. (2011). "Benchmark map of forest carbon stocks in tropical regions across three continents". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108 (24), 9899–9904. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1019576108. URL: http://www.pnas.org/content/108/24/9899.
- $SQL.\ Structured\ Query\ Language.$  URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SQL.

- IVOA. The International Virtual Observatory Alliance. URL: http://www.ivoa.net/.
- TEAM. Tropical Ecology Assessment and Monitoring. URL: http://www.teamnetwork.org/.
- USGS. U.S. Geological Survey. URL: http://www.usgs.gov/.
- VO. Virtual Observatory. URL: http://www.ivoa.net/.
- WELD. Web-enabled Landsat data. URL: http://landsat.usgs.gov/WELD.php.
- WHRC carbon dataset. URL: http://www.whrc.org/mapping/pantropical/carbon\_dataset.html.
- WHRC. Woods Hole Research Center. URL: http://www.whrc.org/.
- World file. World file GIS metadata. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/World\_file.
- ZIP. ZIP archive. URL: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zip\_(file\_ format).