





Using OpenAPI for IVOA standards

Lessons learned

Dave Morris
Manchester
University



IVOA interop meeting
Valletta, Malta
November 2024

Dave Morris
dave.morris@manchester.ac.uk



Developing a new IVOA standard for
remote execution of analysis software.

Moving the code to the data.



*International
Virtual
Observatory
Alliance*

IVOA Execution Broker

Version 1.0

IVOA Working Draft 2024-11-15

Working Group
GWS

This version

<https://www.ivoa.net/documents/ExecutionBroker/20241115>

Latest version

<https://www.ivoa.net/documents/ExecutionBroker>

IVOA interop meeting
Valletta, Malta
November 2024

Dave Morris
dave.morris@manchester.ac.uk



New standard, new document structure.

“The Execution Broker service is based on the following IVOA profiles :”

- *The IVOA REST service framework*
- *The IVOA structured error messages*
- *The IVOA HTTP protocol profile*
- *The IVOA JSON encoding profile*
- *The IVOA YAML encoding profile*

“Unless otherwise stated, the Execution Broker service will follow the protocols as defined in these profiles.”



International
Virtual
Observatory
Alliance

IVOA Execution Broker Version 1.0

IVOA Working Draft 2024-11-15

Working Group
GWS

This version

<https://www.ivoa.net/documents/ExecutionBroker/20241115>

Latest version


<https://www.ivoa.net/documents/ExecutionBroker>



New standard, new document structure.

“This document explains the reasoning behind the design and uses examples to describe the service behavior.”

“The technical details of the data model and web-service API are defined in the OpenAPI specification published alongside this document.”



IVOA interop meeting
Valletta, Malta
November 2024



International
Virtual
Observatory
Alliance

IVOA Execution Broker Version 1.0

IVOA Working Draft 2024-11-15

Working Group
GWS

This version



<https://www.ivoa.net/documents/ExecutionBroker/20241115>

Latest version

<https://www.ivoa.net/documents/ExecutionBroker>

Dave Morris
dave.morris@manchester.ac.uk

Using OpenAPI to specify the data model and web service API.




What worked

What didn't work

Would I use it again

What worked

Using OpenAPI to describe the data model and service API




- Shallow learning curve
- Good documentation
- Clear and easy syntax
- Good feature coverage

What worked

Generating Java service code from the OpenAPI specification

Including support for polymorphic types in the message content.




What worked

Generating Java service code from the OpenAPI specification

Including support for HTTP content type negotiation.



Content-type:
Accept:




<XML/> ✓
{JSON} ✓
YAML ✓

What didn't work

Generating Python service code from the OpenAPI specification

Issues with both polymorphic types and content negotiation.




Content - type :

Accept :

What didn't work

Splitting the OpenAPI specification into separate files.



Java code generator loses the polymorphic inheritance links.



Would I use it again ? YES

Using a structured schema to define the service API is a huge benefit.

Writing clear and precise technical specifications in text is hard.



- Shallow learning curve
- Good documentation

- Clear and easy syntax
- Good feature coverage