# Progress and Preservation Proofs for the Expressions "iszero" and "pred" in the Arith Language

Zayd Hammoudeh (zayd.hammoudeh@sjsu.edu)

April 16, 2016

### Contents

List	of Figu	res	ii
1	Arith	Language	1
2	Progre	ess in the Arith Language	4
	2.1	Proving Progress for Boolean and Integer Values	4
	2.2	Proving Progress for the if Expression	4
	2.3	Proving Progress for the succ Expression	5
	2.4	Proving Progress for the pred Expression	5
	2.5	Proving Progress for the iszero Expression	5
3	Preser	vation in the Arith Language	6
	3.1	Proving Preservation for Boolean and Integer Values	7
	3.2	Proving Preservation for the if Expression	7
	3.3	Proving Preservation for the succ Expression	8
	3.4	Proving Preservation for the pred Expression	8
	3.5	Proving Preservation for the iszero Expression	8

## List of Figures

1	The Arith language	1
2	Small-Step, Evaluation Order Semantics Rules for the Arith Language	2
3	Type Rules for the Arith Language	3
4	Formal Definition of the Progress Theorem	4
5	Proof of Progress for the if Expression	4
6	Proof of Progress for the succ Expression	1
7	Proof of Progress for the pred Expression	6
8	Proof of Progress for the iszero Expression	6
9	Formal Definition of the Preservation Theorem	7
10	Proof of Preservation for the if Expression	7
11	Proof of Preservation for the succ Expression	8
12	Definition of Lemma Int	8
13	Proof of Preservation for the pred Expression	ę
14	Proof of Preservation for the iszero Expression	Ç

#### 1 Arith Language

Arith is a basic language; its expressions, values, and types are enumerated in figure 1. Arith's small-step, evaluation order semantics are defined in figure 2, and Arith's type rules are enumerated in figure 3.

```
Expressions \\
e ::=
                                                Boolean True
      true
      false
                                                Boolean False
                                            Integer Value "0"
      0
      succ(e)
                                        Successor Expressions
      pred(e)
                                      Predecessor Expressions
                                Zero Value Check Expressions
      iszero(e)
      if (e) then (e) else (e)
                                      Conditional Expressions
v ::=
                                                       Values
                                                integer values
      i
      b
                                               boolean values
T ::=
                                                        Types
      Bool
                                                Boolean Type
      Int
                                                 Integer Type
```

Figure 1: The Arith language

$$\begin{array}{lll} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

Figure 2: Small-Step, Evaluation Order Semantics Rules for the Arith Language

Type Rules:	e:T	
	[T-TRUE]	true: Bool
	[T-FALSE]	false: Bool
	[T-Int]	$i: { t Int}$
	[T-Succ]	$\frac{e_1: \mathtt{Int}}{\mathtt{succ}\ (e_1): \mathtt{Int}}$
	[T-Pred]	$\frac{e_1: \mathtt{Int}}{\mathtt{pred}\; (e_1): \mathtt{Int}}$
	[T-IsZero]	$rac{e_1:  exttt{Int}}{ exttt{iszero}\left(e_1 ight):  exttt{Bool}}$
	$[T-I_F]$	$\frac{e_1: \texttt{Bool}, \ e_2: T, \ e_3: T}{\texttt{if} \ (e_1) \ \texttt{then} \ (e_2) \ \texttt{else} \ (e_3): T}$

Figure 3: Type Rules for the Arith Language

#### 2 Progress in the Arith Language

In a type system, "progress" entails that a well-type expression will not "get stuck." Figure 4 is the formal, theoretical definition of progress.

Given e:T, then either:

- 1. e is a value.
- 2. There exists an e' such that:  $e \to e'$ .

Figure 4: Formal Definition of the Progress Theorem

The following subsections are the formal progress proofs for the type rules in figure 3.

#### 2.1 Proving Progress for Boolean and Integer Values

Figure 4 establish that progress is achieved if an expression "e" is a value. Hence, by this criterion, type rules [T-True], [T-False], and [T-Int] are all valid for the progress theorem.

#### 2.2 Proving Progress for the if Expression

Figure 5 is the proof of progress for the if expression in the Arith Language.

Given:

$$e = \text{if } (e_1) \text{ then } (e_2) \text{ else } (e_3)$$
  
 $e_1 : \text{Bool}, \ e_2 : T, \ e_3 : T$ 

Then:

e:T

By induction, an expression  $e_1$  must be a value or  $\exists e_1$  such that:

- 1. If  $e_1$  is a value, then either [E-IF-TRUE] or [E-IF-FALSE] applies since  $e_1$ : Bool. Hence, an expression e' exists for both cases.
- 2. Otherwise,  $e_1 \to e_1'$  which means that [E-IF-CTXT] applies. This satisfies by induction the progress theorem.

Figure 5: Proof of Progress for the if Expression

#### 2.3 Proving Progress for the succ Expression

Figure 3 shows the type rule for the succ expression. The proof of progress for this expression is shown in figure 6.

Given:

$$e = \mathtt{succ}\ (e_1)$$
  
 $e_1 : \mathtt{Int}$ 

Then:

$$T = {\tt Int}$$

By induction,  $e_1$  must either be a value or  $\exists e'_1$  such that:

- 1. If  $e_1$  is a value (i.e. "i"), then:  $e \to i'$  where i' = i + 1 using the small-step rule [E-Succ] since it was given that  $e_1$ : Int.
- 2. Otherwise,  $e_1 \to e_1'$  in which case [E-Succ-Ctxt] applies (as shown below). Hence, progress holds by induction.

$$e o \mathtt{succ}\; (e_1')$$

Figure 6: Proof of Progress for the succ Expression

#### 2.4 Proving Progress for the pred Expression

Figure 3 shows the type rule for the pred expression. The proof of progress for this expression is shown in figure 7.

#### 2.5 Proving Progress for the iszero Expression

Figure 3 shows the type rule for the iszero expression. The proof of progress for this expression is shown in figure 8.

Given:

$$e = \mathtt{pred}\ (e_1)$$
 $e_1 : \mathtt{Int}$ 

Then:

$$T = \mathtt{Int}$$

By induction,  $e_1$  must either be a value or  $\exists e'_1$  such that:

- 1. If  $e_1$  is a value (i.e. "i"), then:  $e \to i'$  where i' = i 1 using the small-step rule [E-PRED] since it was given that  $e_1$ : Int.
- 2. Otherwise,  $e_1 \to e'_1$  in which case [E-Pred-Ctxt] applies (as shown below). Hence, progress holds by induction

$$e \to \mathtt{pred}\ (e_1')$$

Figure 7: Proof of Progress for the pred Expression

Given:

$$e = \mathtt{iszero}\ (e_1)$$
  
 $e_1 : \mathtt{Int}$ 

Then:

$$T = {\tt Bool}$$

By induction,  $e_1$  must either be a value or  $\exists e'_1$  such that:

- 1. If  $e_1$  is a value (i.e. "i") in which case either rule [E-IsZero-Z] or [E-IsZero-NZ] applies since  $e_1$ : Int.
- 2. Otherwise,  $e_1 \to e_1'$  in which case [E-IsZero-CTXT] applies (as shown below). Hence, progress holds by induction.

$$e o \mathtt{iszero}\; (e_1')$$

Figure 8: Proof of Progress for the iszero Expression

#### 3 Preservation in the Arith Language

Preservation entails that a well-typed expression will not change its type during evaluation. Figure 9 is the formal, theoretical definition of preservation.

```
Given e: T and that e \to e',
then e': T.
```

Figure 9: Formal Definition of the Preservation Theorem

The following subsections are the formal proofs of preservation for the type rules in figure 3.

#### 3.1 Proving Preservation for Boolean and Integer Values

For type rules [T-TRUE], [T-FALSE], and [T-INT], preservation holds vacuously as it is not possible to evaluate these expressions given that they are in normal form.

#### 3.2 Proving Preservation for the if Expression

Figure 10 is the proof of preservation for the if expression in the Arith Language.

Given:

$$\begin{array}{c} e = \mathtt{if}\ (e_1)\ \mathtt{then}\ (e_2)\ \mathtt{else}\ (e_3) \\ e_1 : \mathtt{Bool},\ e_2 : T,\ e_3 : T \end{array}$$

For the if expression, three evaluation rules may apply.

- 1. If [E-IFTRUE] applies, then  $e_1 = \text{true}$ . Hence,  $e' = e_2$ . This proof holds since by definition  $e_2 : T$ .
- 2. If [E-IFFALSE] applies, then  $e_1 = false$ . Hence,  $e' = e_3$ . This proof holds since by definition  $e_3 : T$ .
- 3. If [E-IF-CTXT] applies, then  $e_1 \to e'_1$ . What is more, by induction  $e'_1$ : Bool (this can be assumed by induction since  $e_1$  is a subcase of e). Then using [T-IF]:

$$e' = \text{if } (e'_1) \text{ then } (e_2) \text{ else } (e_3) \to T$$

Figure 10: Proof of Preservation for the if Expression

#### 3.3 Proving Preservation for the succ Expression

Figure 11 is the proof of preservation for the succ expression in the Arith Language.

Given:

$$e = \mathtt{succ}\ (e_1)$$
  $e_1 : \mathtt{Int}$ 

Then:

$$T = Int$$

For the succ expression, two evaluation rules may apply.

1. If [E-Succ-Ctxt] applies, then  $e_1 \to e_1'$ . What is more, by induction  $e_1'$ : Int. Using type rule [T-Succ],

$$e' = \mathtt{succ}\ (e'_1) o \mathtt{Int}$$

2. If [E-Succ] applies, then  $e_1 = i$ . Hence,  $e = \mathtt{succ}(i) \to i'$  where i' = i + 1. Therefore,  $e' : \mathtt{Int}$  using Lemma-Int defined in Figure 12.

Figure 11: Proof of Preservation for the succ Expression

The preservation proof for successor relies on a lemma defining integer arithmetic; it is shown in Figure 12.

#### Lemma Int

If i: Int and either:

- 1. i' = i + 1
- 2. i' = i 1

then i': Int.

Figure 12: Definition of Lemma Int

#### 3.4 Proving Preservation for the pred Expression

Figure 13 is the proof of preservation for the pred expression in the Arith Language.

#### 3.5 Proving Preservation for the iszero Expression

Figure 14 is the proof of preservation for the iszero expression in the Arith Language.

Given:

$$e = \mathtt{pred}\ (e_1)$$
  $e_1 : \mathtt{Int}$ 

Then:

$$T = \mathtt{Int}$$

For the pred expression, two evaluation rules may apply.

1. If [E-Pred-Ctxt] applies, then  $e_1 \to e_1'$  since by induction  $e_1'$ : Int. Using type rule [T-Pred],

$$e' = \mathtt{pred}\ (e_1') \to \mathtt{Int}$$

2. If [E-PRED] applies, then  $e_1 = i$ . Hence,  $e = \text{pred}(i) \rightarrow i'$  where i' = i - 1. Therefore, e' : Int using Lemma-Int defined in Figure 12.

Figure 13: Proof of Preservation for the pred Expression

Given:

$$e = \mathtt{iszero}\ (e_1)$$
  $e_1 : \mathtt{Int}$ 

Then:

$$T = \mathtt{Bool}$$

For the iszero expression, three evaluation rules may apply.

- 1. If [E-IsZero-Z] applies, then  $e_1 = 0$ . Hence, e' = true which holds using type rule [T-True].
- 2. If [E-IsZero-NZ] applies, then  $e_1$  is an integer value such that  $e_1 \neq 0$ . Hence, e' = false which holds using type rule [T-FALSE].
- 3. If [E-ISZERO-CTXT] applies, then  $e_1 \to e'_1$  since by induction  $e'_1$ : Bool (this can be assumed by induction since  $e_1$  is a subcase of e). Then using [T-ISZERO]:

$$e' = \mathtt{iszero}\; (e'_1) \to \mathtt{Bool}$$

Figure 14: Proof of Preservation for the iszero Expression