

Homework 2: Operational Semantics for the WHILE Language

Zayd Hammoudeh
(zayd.hammoudeh@sjsu.edu)

1 Introduction to the WHILE Language

The "WHILE" language is a basic language that was defined in class. Figure 1 defines the expressions, values, and operators in this language. This notation for expressions (e.g. e), values (v), variables/addresses (x), and store (σ) applies to all sections of this document.

$e ::=$	x v $x := e$ $e; e$ $e \text{ op } e$ $\text{if } e \text{ then } e \text{ else } e$ $\text{while } (e) \text{ } e$ $\text{not } e$ $\text{and } (e) \text{ } e$ $\text{or } (e) \text{ } e$	<i>Expressions</i> variables/addresses values assignment sequential expressions binary operations conditional expressions while expressions not expressions and expressions or expressions
$v ::=$	i b	<i>Values</i> integer values boolean values
$\text{op} ::=$	$+ \mid - \mid * \mid / \mid > \mid \geq \mid < \mid \leq$	<i>Binary operators</i>
σ		<i>Store</i>

Figure 1: The WHILE language

2 Base WHILE Language

The following figures enumerate the execution order, small-step semantics rules for the WHILE language as defined in class.

Variable Evaluation Rules:	
[SS-VAR]	$\frac{x \in \text{domain}(\sigma) \quad \sigma(x) = v}{x, \sigma \rightarrow v, \sigma}$

Figure 2: Variable Small-Step Semantics Evaluation Order Rules

Set/Assignment Evaluation Rules:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{[SS-ASSIGNCONTEXT]} & \frac{e, \sigma \rightarrow e', \sigma'}{x := e, \sigma \rightarrow x := e', \sigma'} \\
\text{[SS-ASSIGNREDUCTION]} & \frac{}{x := v, \sigma \rightarrow v, \sigma[x := v]}
\end{array}$$

Figure 3: Set/Assignment Small-Step Semantics Evaluation Order Rules**Binary Operator (op) Evaluation Rules:**

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{[SS-OPCONTEXT1]} & \frac{e_1, \sigma \rightarrow e'_1, \sigma'}{e_1 \text{ op } e_2, \sigma \rightarrow e'_1 \text{ op } e_2, \sigma'} \\
\text{[SS-OPCONTEXT2]} & \frac{e, \sigma \rightarrow e', \sigma'}{v \text{ op } e, \sigma \rightarrow v \text{ op } e', \sigma'}
\end{array}$$

Is there a reason you used the infix op notation here instead of the notation from class "v₃ = apply(op, v₁, v₂)"

$$\text{[SS-OPREDUCTION]} \quad \frac{v_3 = v_1 \text{ op } v_2}{v_1 \text{ op } v_2, \sigma \rightarrow v_3, \sigma}$$

Figure 4: Binary Operator (op) Evaluation Order Rules**Sequence (;) Evaluation Rules:**

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{[SS-SEQCONTEXT]} & \frac{e_1, \sigma \rightarrow e'_1, \sigma'}{e_1; e_2, \sigma \rightarrow e'_1; e_2, \sigma'} \\
\text{[SS-SEQREDUCTION]} & \frac{}{v; e, \sigma \rightarrow e, \sigma'}
\end{array}$$

Figure 5: Sequence (;) Evaluation Order Rules

Conditional Statement (if) Evaluation Rules:

[SS-IFCONTEXT]	$\frac{e_1, \sigma \rightarrow e'_1, \sigma'}{\text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3, \sigma \rightarrow \text{if } e'_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3, \sigma'}$
[SS-IFTRUEREDUCTION]	$\frac{}{\text{if true then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2, \sigma \rightarrow e_1, \sigma}$
[SS-IFFALSEREDUCTION]	$\frac{}{\text{if false then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2, \sigma \rightarrow e_2, \sigma}$

Figure 6: Conditional (if) Small-Step Semantics Evaluation Order Rules

while Evaluation Rules:

[SS-WHILE]	$\frac{}{\text{while } (e_1) \ e_2, \sigma \rightarrow \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2; \text{while } (e_1) \ e_2 \text{ else false}, \sigma}$
------------	---

Figure 7: while Small-Step Semantics Evaluation Order Rules

3 Boolean Expressions Small-Step Semantics

In this section, I add three new expression types to the WHILE language namely: **not**, **and**, and **or**. The evaluation order rules for each are below.

Do I need the parentheses in the "and" and "or" statements? Is an infix style more typically used?

not Evaluation Rules:

$$[\text{SS-NOTCONTEXT}] \quad \frac{e, \sigma \rightarrow e', \sigma'}{\text{not } e, \sigma \rightarrow \text{not } e', \sigma'}$$

Not sure if I need this. If I do, then why? Why is this not like the "op" case:

$$[\text{SS-NOTREDUCTION}] \quad \frac{}{\text{not } v, \sigma \rightarrow \text{if } v \text{ then false else true}, \sigma}$$

I believe the above rule makes these unnecessary. Would most define as above or like below?

$$[\text{SS-NOTTRUE}] \quad \frac{}{\text{not true}, \sigma \rightarrow \text{false}, \sigma}$$

$$[\text{SS-NOTFALSE}] \quad \frac{}{\text{not false}, \sigma \rightarrow \text{true}, \sigma}$$

Figure 8: not Small-Step Semantics Evaluation Order Rules

and Evaluation Rules:

$$[\text{SS-ANDCONTEXT}] \quad \frac{e_1, \sigma \rightarrow e'_1, \sigma'}{\text{and } (e_1) e_2, \sigma \rightarrow \text{and } (e'_1) e_2, \sigma'}$$

$$[\text{SS-ANDREDUCTION}] \quad \frac{}{\text{and } (v) e, \sigma \rightarrow \text{if } v \text{ then } e \text{ else false}, \sigma}$$

Using the above, I think I do not need these:

$$[\text{SS-ANDCONTEXT2}] \quad \frac{e, \sigma \rightarrow e', \sigma'}{\text{and } (v) e, \sigma \rightarrow \text{and } (v) e', \sigma'}$$

$$[\text{SS-ANDALLTRUE}] \quad \frac{}{\text{and } (\text{true}) \text{ true}, \sigma \rightarrow \text{true}, \sigma}$$

$$[\text{SS-ANDFALSE1}] \quad \frac{}{\text{and } (\text{false}) v, \sigma \rightarrow \text{false}, \sigma}$$

$$[\text{SS-ANDFALSE2}] \quad \frac{}{\text{and } (v) \text{ false}, \sigma \rightarrow \text{false}, \sigma}$$

Figure 9: and Small-Step Semantics Evaluation Order Rules

or Evaluation Rules: (Is defining "temporary variables" as I did allowed in small step semantics? I assumed it was because of how you handled the "op" case. I also assume that defining these temp variables is required since they enforce the evaluation order (correct me if I am wrong))

$$[\text{SS-ORREDUCTION}] \quad \frac{e'_1 = \text{not } e_1 \quad e'_2 = \text{not } e_2 \quad e_3 = \text{and } (e'_1) \ e'_2}{\text{or } (e_1) \ e_2, \sigma \rightarrow \text{not } e_3, \sigma}$$

Figure 10: or Evaluation Order Rule