

# COMP3141

Software System Design and Implementation

## Data Invariants, Abstraction and Refinement Practice

Curtis Millar  
CSE, UNSW  
25 June 2021

## Sort Properties

- ❶ `sortFn xs == sortFn (reverse xs)`
- ❷ `x `elem` sortFn (xs ++ [x] ++ ys)`
- ❸ `isSorted (sortFn xs)`
- ❹ `length xs == length (sortFn xs)`
- ❺ `sortFn xs == insertionSort xs`

## Dodgy Sort

- ❶ Satisfy only (2) and (4)
- ❷ Satisfy only (1), (2), and (3)
- ❸ Satisfy only (1), (3), and (4)
- ❹ Satisfy only (1), (2), (3), and (4)

# Fractal Art

- Let's take a look at the gallery
- Assess your peers
  - ❶ Is the function which generates the image a recursive function?
  - ❷ Is the picture function given parameters that influence at least two aspects of the image other than recursion depth, size, and colour?
  - ❸ Is it a real attempt to generate a nice image?
- Online form to review peers art & implementation on course website soon.

## Data Invariants

- Data invariants are statements that must always be true of a data structure. We generally represent these invariants as a *wellformedness predicate*, a function that tests whether a value is well-formed.
- Data invariants must be shown to be true for all *constructors* of a data type. The output of any constructor must satisfy the wellformedness predicate.

`constructor :: .. -> X`

- Data invariants must also be shown to be true for all functions that transform the value of a data type. The output of these functions must satisfy the wellformedness predicate only if the input does.

`fn :: .. -> X -> X`

# Abstract Data Types

- ADTs allow us to encapsulate the implementation of a data type by restricting access to which functions can be used construct, query, or transform a value from *outside* the module in which it is defined.
- The ability to restrict access to certain implementation details is generally dependant on the language.
- If all the externally visible functions maintain the data invariants then no external code can construct a value that ever violates them.

# Refinement

- A relation from an *implementation* to an *abstract model* or an *abstract specification*.
- If an implementation *refines* a model or specification, all of its behaviour is represented in the model.  
A refinement is the opposite of an abstraction, which removes detail in favor of generality.
- In this course, the model and implementation will present an indistinguishable interface with different implementation details; they will be *equivalent*.

## Data Refinement

- One datatype *refines* another if all provable properties of the first are also provably true of the second.
- We can demonstrate an *equivalence relation* between two data types if we can show that their interfaces are functionally equivalent, i.e., they produce the equivalent or equal outputs given equivalent inputs. This is a *data refinement*.
- We choose which data type will be the *abstract model* which is the *definition* or *specification*.
- The other data type then becomes our *implementation*, i.e. the data type that we will actually use in the final system.
- We must show that the implementation is a refinement of the model or specification.



# Data Refinement

## Refinement and Specifications

In general, all **functional correctness specifications** can be expressed as:

- ① all data invariants are maintained, and
- ② the implementation is a refinement of an abstract correctness model.

There is a limit to the amount of abstraction we can do before they become useless for testing (but not necessarily for proving).

## Warning

While abstraction can simplify proofs, abstraction does not reduce the fundamental complexity of verification, which is provably hard.

## Editor Example

Consider this ADT interface for a text editor:

```
data Editor
einit :: String -> Editor
stringOf :: Editor -> String
moveLeft :: Editor -> Editor
moveRight :: Editor -> Editor
insertChar :: Char -> Editor -> Editor
deleteChar :: Editor -> Editor
```

## Data Invariant Properties

```
prop_einit_ok          s = wellformed (einitA s)
prop_moveLeft_ok       a = wellformed (moveLeftA a)
prop_moveRight_ok      a = wellformed (moveRightA a)
prop_insertChar_ok x a = wellformed (insertCharA x a)
prop_deleteChar_ok     a = wellformed (deleteCharA a)
```

## Editor Example: Abstract Model

Our conceptual abstract model is a string and a cursor position:

```
einitA s = A s 0
stringOfA (A s _) = s
moveLeftA (A t c) = A t (max 0 (c-1))
moveRightA (A t c) = A t (min (length t) (c+1))
insertCharA x (A t c) = let (t1, t2) = splitAt c t
                        in A (t1 ++ [x] ++ t2) (c+1)
deleteCharA (A t c) = let (t1, t2) = splitAt c t
                      in A (t1 ++ drop 1 t2) c
```

But do we need to keep track of all that information in our implementation? **No!**

## Concrete Implementation

Our concrete version will just maintain two strings, the left part (in reverse) and the right part of the cursor:

```
einit s = C [] s
stringOf (C ls rs) = reverse ls ++ rs
moveLeft (C (l:ls) rs) = C ls (l:rs)
moveLeft c = c
moveRight (C ls (r:rs)) = C (r:ls) rs
moveRight c = c
insertChar x (C ls rs) = C (x:ls) rs
deleteChar (C ls (_:rs)) = C ls rs
deleteChar c = c
```

## Refinement Functions

Abstraction function to express our refinement relation in a QC-friendly way: such a function:

```
toAbstract :: Concrete -> Abstract  
toAbstract (C ls rs) = A (reverse ls ++ rs) (length ls)
```

## Properties with Abstraction Functions

```
prop_init_r s =  
  toAbstract (einit s) == einitA s  
prop_stringOf_r c =  
  stringOf c == stringOfA (toAbstract c)  
prop_moveLeft_r c =  
  toAbstract (moveLeft c) == moveLeftA (toAbstract c)  
prop_moveRight_r c =  
  toAbstract (moveRight c) == moveRightA (toAbstract c)  
prop_insertChar_r x c =  
  toAbstract (insertChar x c)  
  == insertCharA x (toAbstract c)  
prop_deleteChar_r c =  
  toAbstract (deleteChar c) == deleteCharA (toAbstract c)
```

## Homework

- ➊ Last week's quiz is due at 6pm. Make sure you submit your answers.
- ➋ The third programming exercise is due by the start of my next lecture (in 7 days).
- ➌ The first assignment is due by the start of my next lecture (in 7 days).
- ➍ This week's quiz is also up, it's due next Friday (in 7 days).