Quiz (Week 5)

Effects and Purity

Question 1

Which of the following C functions would be considered *pure*?

```
    X printf()
    X rand()
    X strcpy()
    ✓ max()
```

Computing a maximum is pure, as the result depends solely on the input to the function. Indeed, the maximum is a function in the mathematical sense and therefore is, by definition, pure.

The printf() function is not pure as it performs I/O, a type of effect.

The <code>rand()</code> function is not pure as each time it is evaluated it can return different results. That is, the results are not dependent *solely* on the input arguments.

The strcpy() function is impure as it mutates its arguments.

Question 2

Imagine we had a function adder that added on to a running total each time it was called:

```
*> adder 7
7
*> adder 10
17
*> adder 0
17
*> adder 7
24
```

Why is adder impure?

- 1. X It doesn't indicate effects in its type
- 2. ✓ It does not depend solely on its arguments
- 3. X It performs I/O
- 4. X It manipulates memory

The <code>adder</code> function does not perform I/O, it *does* manipulate memory (but so do pure functions), and its type is neither here nor there. The thing that makes it impure is that the expression <code>adder x</code> does not always mean the same thing for a given <code>x</code>. That is, it depends on some internal state *in addition to* its argument. Thus option 2 is the correct answer.

Question 3

Which of the following effects is considered an internal effect?

- 1. ✓ Modifying local variables
- 2. X Drawing on the screen
- 3. ✓ Allocating a data structure
- 4. X Throwing an exception
- 5. X Modifying global variables

Modifying global variables can have a non-local influence on other parts of the program, therefore is not internal. Drawing on the screen similarly is not internal as its effect can clearly be observed from outside the function. Modifying local variables is internal as no other part of the program can observe the modification (neither can the user). Allocating data structures is also considered internal (under the common abstraction that we have infinite memory) as such an allocation also cannot be observed externally. Throwing an exception can be observed externally, however (by catching it), and thus is not an internal effect.

Question 4

What does the type IO Int signify?

- 1. X An embedded program that may perform side effects before returning an Int
- 2. X A function from the abstract state of the RealWorld to a pair of the RealWorld state and an Int.
- 3. X An effectful computation that produces an Int.
- 4. ✓ All of the above views are valid interpretations

```
GHC internally models IO a as being the same as a type:
```

```
IO a =~ RealWorld -> (RealWorld, a)
```

This is a common view of [10] and option 2, but perhaps a more common view of [10] is that it denotes *embedded programs*. For example,

```
getChar :: IO Char
```

Could be viewed as a type representing an (effectful) *program* that will *produce* a char when executed. This helps us to view o as a type just like any other, and one that we can pass into and return from functions just like Maybe Int or [Int].

IO and State

Question 5

Imagine we had the following to based API for manipulating a robot:

```
data Direction = L | R
forward :: IO ()
obstructed :: IO Bool
turn :: Direction -> IO ()
```

We wish to write a program that will move forward unless obstructed, in which case the robot should turn towards the L direction.

Which of the following is a type-correct implementation of the above procedure?

```
1. ✓
```

```
robot = do
sensed <- obstructed
if sensed
  then turn L
  else forward
robot</pre>
```

2. X

```
robot = do
  if obstructed
    then turn L
    else forward
  robot
```

3. X

```
robot = do
let sensed = obstructed
if sensed
  then turn L
  else forward
robot
```

4. X

```
robot = do
  sensed <- obstructed
  if sensed
    then turn L
       robot
  else forward
      robot</pre>
```

Option 1 is correct. Option 2 uses an <code>IO Bool</code> (<code>obstructed</code>) where a <code>Bool</code> is required (in the <code>if</code>). Option 3 uses <code>let</code> to bind <code>sensed</code> to <code>obstructed</code>. That is, <code>sensed</code> now has type <code>IO Bool</code>, which once again is incorrectly used within the <code>if</code>. Option 4 places the <code>robot</code> looping call at the same indentation as <code>turn L</code> and <code>forward</code>, but without the <code>do</code> keyword they do not form a block and so Haskell would parse this as <code>turn L robot</code> which is not well-typed.

Question 6

Check all of the following programs that are equivalent to the | 10 | action | a |:

```
a = do x <- getLine
    putStrLn (filter isDigit x)
a

1. ✓ a = getLine >>= putStrLn . filter isDigit >> a

2. ✓ a = getLine >>= \x -> putStrLn (filter isDigit x) >>= \_ -> a
```

```
3. X a = (getLine >>= \x -> putStrLn (filter isDigit x)) >>= a
4. X a = do getLine >>= \x -> putStrLn . filter isDigit; a
5. X a = do x <- getLine; putStrLn . filter isDigit; a
6. ✓ a = do x <- getLine; putStrLn . filter isDigit $ x; a
7. ✓ a = do getLine >>= \x -> putStrLn (filter isDigit x); a
```

Options 3,4, and 5 don't type-check. The others are all equivalent.

Question 7

Below is an example of a small program using State String. As a refresher, here's the basic API for State:

```
get :: State String String
put :: String -> State String ()
runState :: State String a -> String -> (String, a)
```

Now, our program will repeatedly pad a string with spaces until it reaches a certain length:

What is the type of while in this example?

```
1. X Bool -> State String () -> State String ()
2. X State String Bool -> State String () -> State String ()
3. X (Bool -> State String ()) -> State String ()
4. X (String -> Bool) -> State String ()
5. ✓ (String -> Bool) -> State String () -> State String ()
```

The while loop takes a state-dependent conditional, i.e a function that returns a Bool for a given String, and a stateful action for the loop body, State String (), finally producing a stateful action that runs the loop, State String (), hence option 5 is correct.

Here is a program to detect if a string has balanced parentheses, ignoring all other characters.

Which of the following is an accurate translation of the above program to use the state type?

1. X

2. X

3. X

4. X

5. ✓

Option 1 checks if the final count is zero, but does not check if the count sinks below zero at any point, matching the strings () () for example. Option 2 does check if the count drops below zero, but then doesn't do anything with that information. Option 3 only checks if the count drops below zero, and not that the count is zero at the end. Option 4 does check both the boolean and the count at the end, however does not set the boolean to false when the count drops below zero. Option 5 does all the required checks and is therefore correct.

Submission is already closed for this quiz. You can click here to check your submission (if any).