Quiz (Week 3)

Properties for Functions

Question 1

A substitution cipher is a method of hiding a message by substituting each character of the message with a different character in a way that can be reversed. The following is a simple subtitution cipher implemented in Haskell that substitutes each letter in the alphabet with the corresponding letter in the reversed alphabet, so $\boxed{\text{A}}$ becomes $\boxed{\text{Z}}$, $\boxed{\text{B}}$ becomes $\boxed{\text{Y}}$, and $\boxed{\text{M}}$ becomes $\boxed{\text{N}}$.

```
encipher :: String -> String
encipher =
let
  table = table' 'A' 'Z' ++ table' 'a' 'z'
  table' a z = zip [a..z] (reverse [a..z])
in
  map $ \x -> case lookup x table of
  Just y -> y
  Nothing -> x
```

Select all the properties that this function satisfies (assuming ASCII strings).

Property 1 is true, as this cipher does not affect any characters except alphabetical ones.

This cipher is an *involution*, that is, it is its own inverse. This makes property 2 true.

Property 3 is also true, as the ciphertext is always the same length as the plaintext in a substitution cipher. This property is also true of `map` itself and the

implementation here is simply a partially applied 'map'.

Property 4 does not hold, for example when f is the succ function.

Property 5 is true, as concatenating two ciphered strings is the same as ciphering their concatenation.

Property 6 holds as case is preserved across ciphering (capital letters are changed to other capital letters, and lowercase letters are changed to other lowercase letters).

Property 7 is false, as the difference in ASCII codes can be very different from 26, for example, the space character is left unaltered by ROT13 and so the difference may be zero.

Question 2

Here is a function that fairly merges ordered lists, e.g. merge [2,4,6,7] [1,2,3,4] == [1,2,2,3,4,4,6,7].

Select all the properties that this function satisfies.

```
1.  \langle length (merge a b) == length a + length b
2. \textsty merge (map f a) (map f b) == map f (merge a b)
3.  \langle sort (merge a b) == sort (a ++ b)
4. \textsty merge a b == sort (a ++ b)
5.  \langle merge (sort a) (sort b) == sort (merge a b)
6. \textsty merge (filter f a) (filter f b) == filter f (merge a b)
```

Property 1 is true, as the merge always contains all elements from the input list.

Property 2 is false, for example if f is the absolute value function f, then any lists involving both negative and positive numbers will result in different orderings.

Property 3 is true, as a merge contains all the elements of both lists, as does a concatenation, and sort canonicalises their order.

Property 4 is false, for example when a is [3,1] and b is [2,3].

Property 5 is true, as given two sorted lists (sort a and sort b), merge should produce a sorted list containing all of the elements of the original lists. Even if the input lists are not sorted, all elements will still be contained in the output, so sort -ing merge a b will produce the same result.

Property 6 is false, for example when a is [3,1] and b is [2,3], and f is (/= 3). The two filtered lists are [1] and [2], resulting in a merge of [1,2] whereas merging first would result in the list [2,1].

Question 3

The following code converts Haskell Int values to and from strings containing their hexadecimal representation (as a sequences of characters from the set { '0', '1', '2', '3', '4', '5', '6', '7', '8', '9', 'A', 'B', 'C', 'D', 'E', 'F' }.

```
toHex :: Int -> String
toHex 0 = ""
toHex n =
  let
    (d,r) = n `divMod` 16
  in
    toHex d ++ ["0123456789ABCDEF" !! r]

fromHex :: String -> Int
fromHex = fst . foldr eachChar (0,1)
  where
    eachChar c (sum, m) =
        case elemIndex (toUpper c) "0123456789ABCDEF" of
        Just i -> (sum + i * m, m * 16)
        Nothing -> (sum , m * 16)
```

Select all properties that these functions satisfy.

```
1. X all ('elem' "0123456789") s ==> read s <= fromHex s
2. ✓ i >= 0 ==> fromHex (toHex i) == i
3. ✓ i > 0 ==> length (toHex i) <= length (show i)
4. ✓ all ('elem' "0123456789ABCDEF") s ==> fromHex s == fromHex ('0':s)
5. X all ('elem' "0123456789ABCDEF") s ==> toHex (fromHex s) == s
```

Property 1 is false as read will throw an exception when given the empty list for s.

Property 2 is true as converting to a hexadecimal string and then back should result in the same number.

Property 3 is true, as for *positive* (i.e. nonzero) integers the toHex representation will never be longer than the decimal string representation.

Property 4 is true, as adding leading zeroes to a hexadecimal number does not change its value.

Property 5 is false as while toHex is injective (there is a unique string for every number), fromHex is not, even if the strings are restricted to hexadecimal digits. For example, adding any number of leading zeroes onto the binary string will result in the same number from fromBinary, so a counterexample to this property can easily be found with s = "01".

Question 4

The following function removes adjacent duplicates from a list.

Assume the presence of the following sorted predicate:

```
sorted :: (Ord a) => [a] -> Bool
sorted (x:y:xs) = x <= y && sorted (y:xs)
sorted xs = True</pre>
```

Select all properties that dedup satisfies.

Property 1 is true as dedup will remove elements without otherwise reording the list.

Property 2 is true as | dedup | will not remove the last of any given value in the list.

Property 3 is true as removing adjacent duplicates shouldn't find any more adjacent duplicates the second time around.

Property 4 is true as for sorted lists, removing adjacent duplicates and removing all duplicates are identical.

Property 5 is false, as can be seen when both xs and ys are just the singleton list [1].

Property 6 is false as a list that already has no duplicates will not get any shorter.

Functions for Properties

Question 5

Here are a set of properties that the function | foo | must satisfy:

```
foo :: [a] -> (a -> b) -> [b]
foo = undefined -- see below

prop_1 :: [Int] -> Bool
prop_1 xs = foo xs id == xs

prop_2 :: [Int] -> (Int -> Int) -> (Int -> Int) -> Bool
prop_2 xs f g = foo (foo xs f) g == foo xs (g . f)
```

Choose an implementation for foo that satisfies the above properties, and type-checks:

```
1. X

foo xs f = []
```

```
2. X

foo xs f = xs
```

3. X

```
foo [] f = []
foo (x:xs) f = foo xs f
```

4. X

```
foo [] f = []
foo (x:xs) f = x : foo xs f
```

5. ✓

```
foo [] f = []
foo (x:xs) f = f x : foo xs f
```

These are the standard laws (*functor* laws) that <code>map</code> has to obey. And, indeed, the correct answer is a <code>map</code> implementation.

Note that it is actually impossible to write a terminating function that typechecks and obeys those properties *without* correctly implementing $\boxed{\text{map}}$. Try to write an incorrect, terminating $\boxed{\text{map}}$ that is well-typed and obeys those laws! You will find it is impossible. Later on in the course we will discuss why this is so and how we can exploit it to write better programs.

Question 6

```
bar :: [Int] -> [Int]
bar = undefined

prop_1 :: [Int] -> Bool
prop_1 xs = bar (bar xs) == xs

prop_2 :: [Int] -> Bool
prop_2 xs = length xs == length (bar xs)

prop_3 :: [Int] -> (Int -> Int) -> Bool
prop_3 xs f = bar (map f xs) == map f (bar xs)
```

Choose all implementations for bar that satisfy the above properties, and type-check:

1. X

```
bar [] = []
bar (x:xs) = xs ++ [x]
```

2. X

```
bar [] = []
bar (x:xs) = bar (filter (<=x) xs)</pre>
```

```
++ x : bar (filter (> x) xs)
```

```
3. ✓
```

```
bar = foldr (:) []
```

4. ✓

```
bar xs = go xs []
where go []     acc = acc
     go (x:xs) acc = go xs (x:acc)
```

5. X

```
bar xs = nub xs
```

6. ✓

```
bar = foldl (flip (:)) []
```

The first property says the function has to be an *involution*, that is, applying it twice should have the same effect as not applying it at all. Property 2 says that the number of elements must remain the same. And property 3 says that bar must commute with map: This effectively means that we cannot depend on the value of elements of the list or change the values of the elements of the list as this would allow the function given to map to be crafted to break this property.

Thus, we must permute the elements of the given list without altering them or changing their quantity, and we must choose the output permutation without inspecting the input values. Thus, the two reverse implementations in 4 and 6, and the identity function in 3 are all correct implementations.

The rotation function in 1 breaks idempotence property 1. The remove duplicates function in 5 breaks the length property in 2. The quicksort function in 2 breaks property 3, as the map function could change the relative ordering of the elements.

Question 7

```
baz :: [[Integer]] -> [Integer]
baz = undefined

prop_1 :: [[Integer]] -> Bool
```

```
prop_1 xs ys = baz xs ++ baz ys == baz (xs ++ ys)

prop_2 :: [[Integer]] -> Bool
prop_2 xs = baz xs == reverse (baz (reverse $ map reverse xs))

prop_3 :: [Integer] -> [[Integer]] -> Bool
prop_3 x xs = take (length x) (baz (x:xs)) == x
```

Choose a law-abiding definition for baz, that type checks:

```
1. X
```

```
baz [] = [0]
baz (x:xs) = x ++ baz xs
```

2. X

```
baz xs = []
```

3. X

```
baz [] = []
baz (x:xs) = 1 : baz xs
```

4. ✓

```
baz = foldr (++) []
```

This has to be the concat function (option 4).

The game is almost given away by the first property alone. However $prop_1$ by itself allows for a function that merely returns an empty list (option 2) or a function that returns a list of the same total elements as input lists combined (option 3), however $prop_3$ rules these out for us. Option 1 includes an off-byone error, as the identity of ++ is is [], and thus would break $prop_1$ for even empty lists.

The prop_2 property is not really needed here, and is included as a bit of a red herring.

Question 8

Here is a definition of a function fun , and properties for another function nuf :

Choose a definition for | nuf | that type checks and satisfies the given properties:

1. X

```
nuf [] i = [i]
nuf (x:xs) i = (i `xor` x) : nuf xs (i `xor` x)
```

2.

```
nuf xs i = scanl xor i xs
```

3. X

```
nuf [] i = []
nuf (x:xs) i = (i `xor` x) : nuf xs (i `xor` x)
```

4. X

```
nuf [] i = []
nuf (x:xs) i = (i `xor` x) : nuf xs i
```

5. X

```
nuf xs i = i : scanl (\v x -> v `xor` x) i xs
```

The fun function is essentially a differential decoding function, encoding when a value changes between true and false. Then, <code>nuf</code> is described by our properties as

its inverse operation (given the initial boolean value), i.e., a differential encoding function.

If we run [fun [False, True, False, True]] we will get the differential encoding [True, False, True, True]. Trying each possible implementation:

```
*> nuf1 [True,False,True,True] False
[True,True,True,False,True]

*> nuf2 [True,False,True,True] False
[False,True,True,False,True]

*> nuf3 [True,False,True,True] False
[True,True,True,False,True]

*> nuf4 [True,False,True,True] False
[True,False,False,True,False]

*> nuf5 [True,False,True,True] False
[False,False,True,True,False,True]
```

As can be seen, only <code>nuf2</code> gives a correct answer that gets us back to our starting point.

Submission is already closed for this quiz. You can click here to check your submission (if any).