

# W-Assignment 2

Klaus Møllnitz  
nhg665

December 8, 2013

## 1 Writing Context-Free Grammars

In the following subquestions, we are working with the alphabet:

$$\Sigma = \{a, b, c\} \quad (1)$$

### 1.1 a

Words have to match this regular expression  $a^*b^*$  and have to match more  $a$ 's than  $b$ 's.

$$S = aS \quad (2)$$

$$S = aT \quad (3)$$

$$T = aTb \quad (4)$$

$$T = \epsilon \quad (5)$$

$$(6)$$

### 1.2 b

Words that are palindromes.

$$S = \epsilon \quad (7)$$

$$S = a \quad (8)$$

$$S = b \quad (9)$$

$$S = c \quad (10)$$

$$S = aSa \quad (11)$$

$$S = bSb \quad (12)$$

$$S = cSc \quad (13)$$

$$(14)$$

A conflict free grammar for palindromes does not exist, since it belongs to a class of grammars which is not LR(1).

## 2 LL(1)-Parser Construction

We have the following grammar:

$$Z \rightarrow b|XYZ \quad (15)$$

$$Y \rightarrow \epsilon|c \quad (16)$$

$$X \rightarrow Y|a \quad (17)$$

## 2.1 a. Calculating *Nullable*

I need to calculate *Nullable* of all the nonterminals. I assume that all the equations in 18 is false, as a starting point. Then I use the algorithm 2.4 in Springer 2011, [1].

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Nullable}(Z) \\ & \text{Nullable}(Y) \\ & \text{Nullable}(X) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

I then write down the expressions of *Nullable* for all nonterminals and terminals.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Nullable}(Z) &= \text{Nullable}(b) \vee \text{Nullable}(XYZ) \\ \text{Nullable}(Y) &= \text{Nullable}(\epsilon) \vee \text{Nullable}(c) \\ \text{Nullable}(X) &= \text{Nullable}(Y) \vee \text{Nullable}(a) \\ \\ \text{Nullable}(b) &= \text{Nullable}(b) = \text{false} \\ \text{Nullable}(XYZ) &= \text{Nullable}(X) \wedge \text{Nullable}(Y) \wedge \text{Nullable}(Z) \\ \text{Nullable}(c) &= \text{Nullable}(c) = \text{false} \\ \text{Nullable}(\epsilon) &= \text{true} \\ \text{Nullable}(Y) &= \text{Nullable}(Y) \\ \text{Nullable}(a) &= \text{Nullable}(a) = \text{false} \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Then I use fixed point iterations to solve the equations.

Right-hand side	Init	It. 1	It. 2	It. 3	It. 4	It. 5
<i>b</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>
<i>XYZ</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>
<i>ε</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>
<i>c</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>
<i>Y</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>
<i>a</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>
Nonterminal						
<i>Z</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>
<i>Y</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>
<i>X</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>

(20)

The result is then (21).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Nullable}(Z) &= \text{False} \\ \text{Nullable}(Y) &= \text{True} \\ \text{Nullable}(X) &= \text{True} \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

## 2.2 a. Calculating *FIRST*

To calculate the *FIRST* set, I am using the algorithm 2.5 in Springer 2011, [1].

$$\begin{aligned}
FIRST(Z) &= FIRST(b) \cup FIRST(XYZ) \\
FIRST(Y) &= FIRST(\epsilon) \cup FIRST(c) \\
FIRST(X) &= FIRST(Y) \cup FIRST(a)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
FIRST(b) &= \{b\} \\
FIRST(XYZ) &= FIRST(X) \cup FIRST(Y) \cup FIRST(Z) \\
FIRST(c) &= \{c\} \\
FIRST(\epsilon) &= \emptyset \\
FIRST(a) &= \{a\}
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Then I use fixed point iterations to solve the equations.

Right-hand side	Init	It. 1	It. 2	It. 3	It. 4	It. 5
$b$	$\emptyset$	$\{b\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{b\}$
$XYZ$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$
$\epsilon$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
$c$	$\emptyset$	$\{c\}$	$\{c\}$	$\{c\}$	$\{c\}$	$\{c\}$
$Y$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{c\}$	$\{c\}$	$\{c\}$
$a$	$\emptyset$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$
Nonterminal						
$Z$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{b\}$	$\{b\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$
$Y$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{c\}$	$\{c\}$	$\{c\}$	$\{c\}$
$X$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{a\}$	$\{a\}$	$\{a, c\}$	$\{a, c\}$

The final FIRST set is gonna be as shown in (24).

$$\begin{aligned}
FIRST(b) &= \{b\} \\
FIRST(XYZ) &= \{a, b, c\} \\
FIRST(\epsilon) &= \emptyset \\
FIRST(c) &= \{c\} \\
FIRST(Y) &= \{c\} \\
FIRST(a) &= \{a\}
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

### 2.3 b. Calculating FOLLOW

I'm calculating the FOLLOW set according to the algorithm in the book page 59. To calculate the FOLLOW set, we first have to add an extra start production:

$$S = Z\$ \tag{25}$$

For each nonterminals, X, Y and Z, I locate all occurrences on the right hand side of the productions, which leads me to following tables of constraints. First I calculate constraints for the nonterminal Z:

Production	Constraints
$S \rightarrow Z\$$	$FIRST(\{\$\}) = \{\$\} \subseteq FOLLOW(Z)$
$Z \rightarrow XYZ$	$FOLLOW(Z) \subseteq FOLLOW(Z)(\beta = \epsilon, \text{ this can be omitted since } Z=Z)$

Then I proceed calculating Y:

<i>Production</i>	<i>Constraints</i>
$X \rightarrow Y$	$FOLLOW(X) \subseteq FOLLOW(Y)(\beta = \epsilon)$
$Z \rightarrow XYZ$	$FIRST(Z) \subseteq FOLLOW(Y)(\beta = Z \text{ which is not nullable})$

(27)

Lastly I calculate X:

<i>Production</i>	<i>Constraints</i>
$Z \rightarrow XYZ$	$FIRST(YZ) \subseteq FOLLOW(X)(\beta = YZ, \text{nullable}(YZ) = \text{false})$

(28)

Since Y is nullable, I can write

$$FIRST(YZ) = FIRST(Y) \cup FIRST(Z) = \{a, b, c\} \quad (29)$$

The constraints table with FIRST set derived is then:

<i>Production</i>	<i>Constraints</i>
$S \rightarrow Z\$$	$\{\$ \} \subseteq FOLLOW(Z)$
$X \rightarrow Y$	$FOLLOW(X) \subseteq FOLLOW(Y)$
$Z \rightarrow XYZ$	$\{a, b, c\} \subseteq FOLLOW(X), \{a, b, c\} \subseteq FOLLOW(Y)$

(30)

Follow-set	Init	It. 1	It. 2
$FOLLOW(Z)$	$\emptyset$	$\{\$ \}$	$\{\$ \}$
$FOLLOW(Y)$	$\emptyset$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$
$FOLLOW(X)$	$\emptyset$	$\{a, b, c\}$	$\{a, b, c\}$

(31)

## 2.4 c. Look ahead and parser table

I calculate the lookahead set for alle productions by using the information provided in the handed out slides about Syntax Analysis (slide 19).

Production	Lookahead set
$Z \rightarrow b$	$FIRST(b)$
$Z \rightarrow XYZ$	$FIRST(XYZ)$
$Y \rightarrow \epsilon$	$FIRST(\epsilon) \cup FOLLOW(Y)$
$Y \rightarrow c$	$FIRST(c)$
$X \rightarrow Y$	$FIRST(Y) \cup FOLLOW(X)$
$X \rightarrow a$	$FIRST(a)$

(32)

$$la(Z \rightarrow b) = \{b\} \quad (33)$$

$$la(Z \rightarrow XYZ) = \{a, b, c\} \quad (34)$$

$$la(Y \rightarrow \epsilon) = \{a, b, c\} \quad (35)$$

$$la(Y \rightarrow c) = \{c\} \quad (36)$$

$$la(X \rightarrow Y) = \{a, b, c\} \quad (37)$$

$$la(X \rightarrow a) = \{a\} \quad (38)$$

### 2.4.1 Parse table

Stack	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	\$
<i>Z</i>	<i>XYZ, 2</i>	<i>XYZ, 2; b, 1</i>	<i>XYZ, 2</i>	<i>error</i>
<i>Y</i>	<i>ϵ, 3</i>	<i>ϵ, 3</i>	<i>ϵ, 3; c, 4</i>	<i>error</i>
<i>X</i>	<i>Y, 5; a, 6</i>	<i>Y, 5</i>	<i>Y, 5</i>	<i>error</i>
<i>a</i>	<i>pop</i>	<i>error</i>	<i>error</i>	<i>error</i>
<i>b</i>	<i>error</i>	<i>pop</i>	<i>error</i>	<i>error</i>
<i>c</i>	<i>error</i>	<i>error</i>	<i>pop</i>	<i>error</i>
\$	<i>error</i>	<i>error</i>	<i>error</i>	<i>accept</i>

(39)

## 3 SLR Parser Construction

### 3.1 Left-recursion

I made up the following grammar with left-recursion.

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &\rightarrow Sa \\
 S &\rightarrow \epsilon
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{40}$$

I have made the parse table by using mosmlyac, with the -v flag. This introduce a new terminal as starting symbol, and a nonterminal called entry:

	\001	<i>a</i>	<i>ϵ</i>	<i>end</i>	<i>S</i>	%entry%
<i>S</i> <sub>0</sub>	<i>S</i> <sub>1</sub>					Go <i>S</i> <sub>2</sub>
<i>S</i> <sub>1</sub>		red. 2			Go <i>S</i> <sub>3</sub>	
<i>S</i> <sub>2</sub>				<i>accept</i>		
<i>S</i> <sub>3</sub>		<i>S</i> <sub>4</sub>		red. 3		
<i>S</i> <sub>4</sub>			red. 1			

(41)

### 3.2 Parse table of grammar with right-recursion

The equivalent right-recursive grammar of (40) is as shown in (42).

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &\rightarrow aS \\
 S &\rightarrow \epsilon
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{42}$$

The parse table of this grammar generated with mosmlyac can be seen below.

	\001	<i>a</i>	<i>ϵ</i>	<i>end</i>	<i>S</i>	%entry%
<i>S</i> <sub>0</sub>	<i>S</i> <sub>1</sub>					Go <i>S</i> <sub>2</sub>
<i>S</i> <sub>1</sub>		<i>S</i> <sub>3</sub>		red. 2	Go <i>S</i> <sub>4</sub>	
<i>S</i> <sub>2</sub>				<i>accept</i>		
<i>S</i> <sub>3</sub>		<i>S</i> <sub>3</sub>		red. 2	Go <i>S</i> <sub>5</sub>	
<i>S</i> <sub>4</sub>			red. 3			
<i>S</i> <sub>5</sub>			red. 1			

(43)

From these two parse tables, it can be shown that the *right-recursive* equivalent parser would build up a stack before doing the reduce actions, whereas the *left-recursive*, would simply reduce immediately.

## References

- [1] Torben Ægidius Mogensen, *Introduction to Compiler Design*. Springer London, 2011.