Simple Linear Regression

Dr. Kiah Wah Ong

Previously on Regression

We assume that the x and the y are related by a straight line equation

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon$$

with β_0 and β_1 unknown numbers.

Simple Linear Regression (SLR)

For data points (x_i, y_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we write

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$$

- (i) y_i is the value of the response variable in the *i*-th trial
- (ii) β_0 and β_1 are parameters
- (iii) x_i is a **known constant**, namely, the value of the predictor variable in the i-th trial
- (iv) ϵ_i is a random error with

$$E(\epsilon_i) = 0$$
 and $\sigma^2(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2$

and ϵ_i, ϵ_j are uncorrelated so that their covariance is zero, i.e. $\sigma(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = 0$ for all $i, j, i \neq j, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.



Simple Linear Regression (SLR)

By minimizing

$$S(\beta_0, \beta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i))^2$$

the residual sum of squares. we obtained

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})y_i}{S_{xx}} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i$$

where

$$c_i=\frac{x_i-\overline{x}}{S_{xx}}, \quad i=1,\cdots,n,$$

and

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \overline{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{x}$$

Now let us look at

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x}) y_i}{S_{xx}} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i$$

where

$$c_i = \frac{x_i - \overline{x}}{S_{nx}}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n,$$
 s

$$E(\hat{\beta}_1) = E(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i E(y_i)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i E(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \left(E(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) + E(\epsilon_i) \right)$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}x_{i})=\beta_{0}\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}+\beta_{1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}x_{i}$$

Continue from the previously slide, we have

$$E(\hat{\beta}_1) = E(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) = \beta_0 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i$$

We leave it as an exercise for you to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i = 1$$

This gives

$$E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1$$

We say that $\hat{\beta}_1$ is an **unbiased estimator** of β_1 .

From

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \overline{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{x}$$

we have

$$E(\hat{\beta}_0) = E(\overline{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{x})$$

$$= E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{n}\right) - \overline{x}E(\hat{\beta}_1))$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(y_i) - \overline{x}\beta_1$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)\right) - \overline{x}\beta_1$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (n\beta_0 + \beta_1 n\overline{x}) - \overline{x}\beta_1$$

$$= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \overline{x} - \overline{x}\beta_1 = \beta_0$$

We say that $\hat{\beta}_0$ is an **unbiased estimator** of β_0 .

Recall that

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}) y_i}{S_{xx}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i y_i$$

where

$$c_i = \frac{x_i - \overline{x}}{\varsigma}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n$$

hence

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i c_j \operatorname{Cov}(y_i, y_j)$$

Because the observation y_i is uncorrelated, namely $Cov(y_i, y_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$ the above equation gives

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \operatorname{Var}(y_i)$$

With

$$c_i = \frac{x_i - \overline{x}}{S_{xx}}$$
 and $S_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2$

we have

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2} \operatorname{Var}(y_{i}) = \sigma^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2}$$

$$= \sigma^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_{i} - \overline{x}}{S_{xx}}\right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{S_{xx}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{S_{xx}^{2}} S_{xx}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{S_{xx}^{2}}$$

ILLINOIS TECH

Using

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \overline{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{x}$$

and

$$Var(aX + bY) = a^{2}Var(X) + b^{2}Var(Y) + 2abCov(X, Y)$$

the variance of $\hat{\beta}_0$ is computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0) &= \operatorname{Var}(\overline{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{x}) \\ &= \operatorname{Var}(\overline{y}) + \overline{x}^2 \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) - 2 \overline{x} \operatorname{Cov}(\overline{y}, \hat{\beta}_1) \end{aligned}$$

From the previous slide, we see that

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_0) = Var(\overline{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{x})$$

= $Var(\overline{y}) + \overline{x}^2 Var(\hat{\beta}_1) - 2\overline{x}Cov(\overline{y}, \hat{\beta}_1)$

Now

$$\operatorname{Var}(\overline{y}) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}{n}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}(y_i)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} (n\sigma^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

We will leave it as an exercise for you to show that

$$\operatorname{Cov}(\overline{y}, \hat{\beta}_1) = 0$$

Using

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{S_{xx}}, \operatorname{Var}(\overline{y}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \text{ and } \operatorname{Cov}(\overline{y}, \hat{\beta}_1) = 0$$

we conclude that

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_0) = Var(\overline{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{x})$$

$$= Var(\overline{y}) + \overline{x}^2 Var(\hat{\beta}_1) - 2\overline{x} Cov(\overline{y}, \hat{\beta}_1)$$

$$= \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\overline{x}^2}{S_{xx}}\right)$$

Recap

The least squares estimators of β_0 and β_1 , denoted as $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$, have the following means and variances:

$$E(\hat{\beta}_0) = \beta_0$$
 and $E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1$

That is, $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ are unbiased estimator of β_0 and β_1 , respectively.

As for the variance, we have

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0) = \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\overline{x}^2}{S_{xx}} \right)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{S_{xx}}$$

The Gauss-Markov Theorem states that for the regression model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon$$

with the assumptions

$$E(\epsilon) = 0, Var(\epsilon) = \sigma^2$$

and uncorrelated errors, the least squares estimators are unbiased and have minimum variance when compared with all other unbiased estimators that are linear combinations of the y_i .

We say that the least squares estimators are **best linear unbiased estimators**, where "best" implies minimum variance.

