# Poisson Regression

Dr. Kiah Wah Ong

#### Introduction

By now, we are very familiar with the situation when the response variable Y is continuous, taking values in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

In that situation, the regression model we used were the usual linear regression.

In the previous module, we investigated the case when our response variable Y is binary, taking values 0 or 1.

In that case the regression model we used were Logistic regression.



#### Introduction

What do we do when our response variable Y is a count variable, taking values like  $0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ ?

Here are some examples of such variable.

#### Example

- ▶ The number of persons killed in a car accident per year.
- ▶ The number of childbirths in a specific hospital per month.
- ► The number of damages inflicted on a Lancaster bomber over 30 missions.



#### Poisson Distribution

The variable, y, that we saw earlier is a type of random variable that said to follow a Poisson distribution.

That is, this random variable Y take values in  $\{0,1,2,\cdots\}$  and

$$\Pr(Y = k) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!}$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ .

Here,  $\lambda > 0$  is the expected value of Y, i.e.  $\lambda = E(Y)$ .



## Poisson Regression Model

Suppose Y is the number of damages inflicted on a Lancaster bomber over 30 missions.

We expect the mean of Y,  $\lambda = E(Y)$ , to vary as a function of  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$  shown below:

- ► X<sub>1</sub>: Bomb load (Quantitative)
- $\triangleright$   $X_2$ : Total months of aircrew experience (Quantitative)
- X<sub>3</sub>: Day or Night mission (Qualitative)

Let us write

$$\lambda(X_1, X_2, X_3)$$

to indicate that  $\lambda$  is a function of the predictor variables  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$ .



#### Poisson Regression Model

Instead of model the number of damages, Y, as a Poisson distribution with a fixed mean value  $\lambda_0$ , we want to consider the following model for the mean  $\lambda(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ :

$$\lambda(X_1, X_2, X_3) = e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3}$$

or equivalently

$$\ln (\lambda(X_1, X_2, X_3)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3$$

where  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  are parameters to be estimated.



## Poisson Regression Model

Note: We write

$$\ln(\lambda(X_1, X_2, X_3)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3$$

instead of

$$\lambda(X_1, X_2, X_3) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3$$

in order to ensure that  $\lambda(X_1, X_2, X_3)$  takes on nonnegative values for all values of the predictor variables.

#### Estimation in Poisson Regression

To estimate the coefficients  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$ , we will employ the same maximum likelihood approach seen in the section on logistic regression.



#### Estimation in Poisson Regression

In order to use the maximum likelihood method. We would like to calculate the total probability of observing all of the data

$$(x_{i1}, x_{i2}, x_{i3}, y_i), i = 1, \cdots, n$$

namely

$$\Pr(Y_i = y_i, i = 1, \cdots, n)$$

This can get very complicated, hence we need the assumption that each data point is generated independently of each others. With that we can write

$$\Pr(Y_i = y_i, i = 1, \dots, n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Pr(Y_i = y_i)$$



## Estimation in Poisson Regression

With the assumption that the observations are independent, we get

$$\Pr(Y_i = y_i, i = 1, \dots, n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Pr(Y_i = y_i)$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda(x_i)}\lambda(x_i)^{y_i}}{y_i!}$$

Where 
$$\lambda(x_i) = e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{i3}}$$
.

We estimate the coefficients by maximizing the likelihood function above.



#### Poisson Regression in R

We now look at how to perform Poisson regression in R: Let us import the simulated data from data set Poisson1.csv and plot the data.

```
PoissonR<-read.csv("Poisson1.CSV", header=TRUE, sep=",")
x<-PoissonR$x
y<-PoissonR$y
plot(x.v)
Model1 < -glm(y \sim x, family = poisson)
summary(Model1)
                           0
   ω
                             0
>
                        00
                                              0 000 0 00
       0.0
                        0.5
                                        10
                                                       1.5
```

х

#### Poisson Regression in R

The glm() and summary() functions give the following output.

```
PoissonR<-read.csv("Poisson1.CSV", header=TRUE, sep=",")
x<-PoissonR$x
v<-PoissonR$v
plot(x.v)
Model1 < -qlm(v \sim x. family = poisson)
summary (Model1)
call:
qlm(formula = v \sim x, family = poisson)
Deviance Residuals:
              1Q Median
    Min
                                        Max
-1.5350 -0.7651 -0.1358 0.4176 2.9488
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) 1.22914   0.11558   10.635   <2e-16 ***
            -0.04981
                     0.12320 -0.404
                                        0.686
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)
    Null deviance: 83.180 on 99 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 83.017 on 98 degrees of freedom
AIC: 382.47
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

# **Making Prediction**

The predict() function can be used to obtain the fitted value from this Poisson regression model.

We need to use the argument  $\operatorname{type} = \operatorname{``response''}$  to specify that we want the output to be

$$\lambda(X) = \exp(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X)$$

Without that argument, the output  $\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X$  will be given by default. See the example below:

```
\label{eq:newdata1} $$ \sim \text{data.frame}(x=c(0.01,\ 1.013,\ 1.21,\ 0.145))$ $$ predict(Modell,\ newdata=newdata1,\ type="response")$ $$
```

The following output are for X = 0.01, 1.013, 1.21 and 0.145.



# Interpret the Model Parameters

From the *R*-output, we see that  $\hat{\beta}_0 = 1.22914$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1 = -0.04981$ .

Hence the fitted model is given by

$$\ln(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Y}|\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{x})) = \ln(\lambda(x)) = 1.22914 - 0.04981x$$

Notice that from the *p*-value of 0.686,  $\hat{\beta}_1 = -0.04981$  is not statistically significant.



## Interpret the Model Parameters

In order to understand the meaning of these parameters, let us write

$$\ln(\lambda) = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x$$

and consider  $x = x_0$  and  $x = x_0 + 1$ .

Let the expected value  $\lambda$ , when  $x = x_0$  be

$$\lambda_0 = e^{\hat{\beta_0}} e^{\hat{\beta_1} x_0}$$

Then the expected value  $\lambda$ , when  $x = x_0 + 1$  is

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1 &= e^{\hat{\beta}_0} e^{\hat{\beta}_1 (x_0 + 1)} \\ &= e^{\hat{\beta}_0} e^{\hat{\beta}_1 x_0} e^{\hat{\beta}_1} \\ &= e^{\hat{\beta}_1} e^{\hat{\beta}_0} e^{\hat{\beta}_1 x_0} \\ &= e^{\hat{\beta}_1} \lambda_0 \end{split}$$

## Interpret the Model Parameters

Now, since

$$\ln(E(Y|X=x)) = \ln(\lambda(x)) = 1.22914 - 0.04981x$$

and

$$\begin{split} \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_0}{\lambda_0} \times 100\% &= \left(e^{\hat{\beta}_1} - 1\right) \times 100\% \\ &= \left(e^{-0.04981} - 1\right) \times 100\% \\ &= \left(0.95141 - 1\right) \times 100\% \\ &= -4.859\% \end{split}$$

we can say that the mean of Y is 4.859% lower for every one-unit increase in X.

Note that this difference is not statistically significant (p-value =0.686).

