# Multiple Linear Regression

Dr. Kiah Wah Ong

Recall from the previous lesson that

$$\boldsymbol{\hat{eta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$$

We now want to investigate the properties of these least squares estimators.

Notice that if A and B are matrices of the same size, then

$$E(A+B)=E(A)+E(B)$$

Also, if  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a  $r \times p$  constant matrix, while Y is a  $p \times c$  random matrix, then

$$E(AY) = E\left(\left[\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{ik} Y_{kj}\right]\right)$$
$$= \left[E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{ik} Y_{kj}\right)\right]$$
$$= \left[\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{ik} E(Y_{kj})\right]$$
$$= AE(Y)$$

Similarly, we have E(AYB) = AE(Y)B.



From

$$\boldsymbol{\hat{eta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{y}$$

we have

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{y}$$

$$= (\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{T}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon})$$

$$= (\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + (\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\beta} + (\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

$$E(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \boldsymbol{\beta} + (\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{T}E(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

as  $E(\epsilon) = \mathbf{0}$ .

That is,  $E(\hat{\beta}_i) = \beta_i$  for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ . Hence  $\hat{\beta}_i$  is an **unbiased** estimator of  $\beta_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ .



Analogous to 
$$Var(ay) = a^2 Var(y)$$
, we have

$$Var(A\mathbf{y}) = E[(A\mathbf{y} - A\boldsymbol{\mu})(A\mathbf{y} - A\boldsymbol{\mu})^T]$$

$$= E[(A(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}))(A(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}))^T]$$

$$= E[A(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T A^T]$$

$$= AE[(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T]A^T$$

$$= AVar(\mathbf{y})A^T$$

by using  $E(A\mathbf{y}B) = AE(\mathbf{y})B$  from the previous slide and with  $\mu = E(\mathbf{y})$ .

Let us now examine the term Var(y) a little more closely.



Suppose

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $E(y_i) = \mu_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ 

then the variance of  $\mathbf{y}$  is given by

$$Var(\mathbf{y}) = E \begin{pmatrix} y_1 - \mu_1 \\ y_2 - \mu_2 \\ y_3 - \mu_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 - \mu_1 & y_2 - \mu_2 & y_3 - \mu_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= E \begin{pmatrix} (y_1 - \mu_1)^2 & (y_1 - \mu_1)(y_2 - \mu_2) & (y_1 - \mu_1)(y_3 - \mu_3) \\ (y_2 - \mu_2)(y_1 - \mu_1) & (y_2 - \mu_2)^2 & (y_2 - \mu_2)(y_3 - \mu_3) \\ (y_3 - \mu_3)(y_1 - \mu_1) & (y_3 - \mu_3)(y_2 - \mu_2) & (y_3 - \mu_3)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} E[(y_1 - \mu_1)]^2 & E[(y_1 - \mu_1)(y_2 - \mu_2)] & E[(y_1 - \mu_1)(y_3 - \mu_3)] \\ E[(y_2 - \mu_2)(y_1 - \mu_1)] & E[(y_2 - \mu_2)^2] & E[(y_2 - \mu_2)(y_3 - \mu_3)] \\ E[(y_3 - \mu_3)(y_1 - \mu_1)] & E[(y_3 - \mu_3)(y_2 - \mu_2)] & E[(y_3 - \mu_3)^2] \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} Var(y_1) & Cov(y_1, y_2) & Cov(y_1, y_3) \\ Cov(y_1, y_2) & Var(y_2) & Cov(y_2, y_3) \\ Cov(y_1, y_3) & Cov(y_2, y_3) & Var(y_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

When  $y_i$  are uncorrelated, then  $Cov(y_i, y_j) = 0$  when  $i \neq j$  and we have

$$Var(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} Var(y_1) & Cov(y_1, y_2) & Cov(y_1, y_3) \\ Cov(y_1, y_2) & Var(y_2) & Cov(y_2, y_3) \\ Cov(y_1, y_3) & Cov(y_2, y_3) & Var(y_3) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} Var(y_1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Var(y_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Var(y_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

If 
$$Var(y_i) = \sigma^2$$
,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , then

$$Var(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^2 I$$

Back to the equation

$$Var(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = Var((\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y})$$
$$= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T Var(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

we can then write

$$Var(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = Var((\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y})$$

$$= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T Var(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

$$= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \sigma^2 I \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

The **Gauss-Markov** theorem established that the least squares estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  is the best linear unbiased estimator of  $\beta$ .

#### Estimation of $\sigma^2$

As in simple linear regression, an estimator of  $\sigma^2$  can be develop from the residual sum of squares

$$SS_R = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 = \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e}$$

It can be shown that

$$\frac{SS_R}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-(k+1)}$$

and so

$$E\left(\frac{SS_R}{\sigma^2}\right) = n - k - 1$$

or

$$E\left(\frac{SS_R}{n-k-1}\right) = \sigma^2$$



#### Estimation of $\sigma^2$

From

$$E\left(\frac{SS_R}{n-k-1}\right) = \sigma^2$$

we see that

$$\frac{SS_R}{n-k-1}$$

is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ , i.e.

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{SS_R}{n - k - 1}$$

Also, just like in the case of the simple linear regression,  $SS_R$  will be independent of the least squares estimators  $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \cdots \hat{\beta}_k$ .

