#### Simple Linear Regression

Dr. Kiah Wah Ong

Recall from the previous video, the variance of  $\hat{eta}_0$  and  $\hat{eta}_1$  is given by

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0) = \sigma^2 \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\overline{x}^2}{S_{xx}} \right)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{S_{xx}}.$$

Since both of these values involve the variance  $\sigma^2$  of the error terms  $\epsilon_i$  in the regression model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i,$$

an estimate of  $\sigma^2$  is required.



Let us look at the quantities

$$y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n$$

which represent the differences between the actual responses  $(y_i)$  and their least squares estimators  $(\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i)$ .

We sometimes also write the above expression as  $y_i - \hat{y}_i$  where  $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$  is called the fitted (or predicted) values.

The estimation of  $\sigma^2$  is obtained from the residual sum of squares

$$SS_R = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$



It can be shown that  $\frac{SS_R}{\sigma^2}$  follows a chi-square distribution with n-2 degree of freedom. That is,

$$\frac{SS_R}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-2}^2.$$

Since a random variable with a chi-square distribution with k degree of freedom has its mean equal to k, we see that

$$E\left(\frac{SS_R}{\sigma^2}\right) = n - 2$$

From here, it implies

$$E\left(\frac{SS_R}{n-2}\right) = \sigma^2$$

From

$$E\left(\frac{SS_R}{n-2}\right) = \sigma^2$$

we conclude that  $\frac{SS_R}{n-2}$  is an **unbiased estimator** of  $\sigma^2$ , and write

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{SS_R}{n-2}.$$

An important hypothesis to consider regarding the simple linear regression model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon$$

is the hypothesis that  $\beta_1 = 0$ 

This is the same as saying that the mean response E(y) does not depend on the input x, or equivalently, that there is no regression on the input variable.

We also want to perform inference on  $\beta_0$  as well.

How do we do all that?



To do that, we need a distribution for the unknown  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ .

This is the point where we need to make additional assumption that the model errors  $\epsilon_i$  are normally distributed.

$$\epsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$



Since the errors

$$\epsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

the observations  $y_i$  are now normal and independently distributed with mean  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , and we write

$$y_i \sim \text{NID}(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i, \sigma^2)$$



Recall that

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})y_i}{S_{xx}} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i$$

where

$$c_i = \frac{x_i - \overline{x}}{S_{xx}}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n$$

hence from

$$y_i \sim \text{NID}(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i, \sigma^2)$$

we know that  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is also normally distributed with mean  $\beta_1$  and variance  $\sigma^2/S_{xx}$  as shown before. That is

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \sim N\left(\beta_1, \frac{\sigma^2}{S_{xx}}\right)$$

Since  $\hat{\beta}_0$  can also be written as a linear combination of  $y_i$ , the distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_0$  will also be normal with mean and variance as shown below

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \sim N\left(\beta_0, \sigma^2\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\overline{x}^2}{S_{xx}}\right)\right)$$



Since

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \sim N\left(\beta_1, \frac{\sigma^2}{S_{xx}}\right)$$

we have

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{S_{xx}}}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

hence

$$\sqrt{S_{xx}} \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$$

and it is actually independent of

$$\frac{SS_R}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-2}^2$$

Recall from your previous statistics classes:

If Z and  $X_n^2$  are independent r.v. with  $Z \sim \mathrm{N}(0,1)$  and  $X_n^2$  with chi-square with n degree of freedom , then the r.v.

$$T_n := \frac{Z}{\sqrt{X_n^2/n}} \sim t_n$$

that is  $T_n$  has a t-distribution with n degree of freedom.

Applying this to

$$\sqrt{S_{xx}} \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$$
 and  $\frac{SS_R}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-2}^2$ 

we obtain

$$T_{n-2} := \frac{\sqrt{S_{xx}}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1)/\sigma}{\sqrt{\frac{SS_R/\sigma^2}{n-2}}}$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{(n-2)S_{xx}}{SS_R}}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1) \sim t_{n-2}$$

Back to the question on whether  $\beta_1 = 0$  for

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \epsilon$$

Let us perform hypothesis testing:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0$$
 versus  $H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0$ 

A significance level  $\alpha$  test for  $H_0$  is to

Reject 
$$H_0$$
 if  $\sqrt{\frac{(n-2)S_{xx}}{SS_R}}|\hat{\beta}_1| > t_{\alpha/2,n-2}$  accept  $H_0$  otherwise

That is, the test can be performed by computing the value of the test statistics

$$\sqrt{\frac{(n-2)S_{xx}}{SS_R}}|\hat{\beta}_1|$$

and call the value  $\nu$ , then rejecting  $H_0$  if

$$P(|T_{n-2}| > \nu) = 2P(T_{n-2} > \nu) \le \alpha$$



It follows from

$$\sqrt{\frac{(n-2)S_{xx}}{SS_R}}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1) \sim t_{n-2}$$

that, for any  $\alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1$ , a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for the estimator  $\beta_1$  is

$$\left(\hat{\beta}_1 - \sqrt{\frac{SS_R}{(n-2)S_{xx}}} \quad t_{\alpha/2,n-2}, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 + \sqrt{\frac{SS_R}{(n-2)S_{xx}}} \quad t_{\alpha/2,n-2}\right)$$