


Lecture 2

- Theory
 - Unification
 - Unification in Prolog
 - Proof search
- Exercises
 - Exercises of LPN chapter 2
 - Practical work



Aim of this lecture

- Discuss **unification** in Prolog
 - Show how Prolog unification differs from standard unification
- Explain Prolog's **search strategy**
 - Prolog deduces new information from old, using modus ponens

Unification

- Recall the previous example, where we said that Prolog unifies


woman(X)

with

woman(mia)

thereby instantiating the variable **X** with the atom **mia**.

Recall Prolog Terms



Unification

- Working definition – two terms unify:
 - if they are the same term, or
 - if they contain variables that can be uniformly instantiated with terms in such a way that the resulting terms are equal

$f(a,B) = f(A,b)$

$A=a$

$B=b$

unification can be done because there is =

Unification

- This means that:
 - **mia** and **mia** unify
 - **42** and **42** unify
 - **woman(mia)** and **woman(mia)** unify

Unification

- This means that:
 - **mia** and **mia** unify
 - **42** and **42** unify
 - **woman(mia)** and **woman(mia)** unify
- This also means that:
 - **vincent** and **mia** do not unify
 - **woman(mia)** and **woman(jody)** do not unify

Unification

- What about the terms:
 - **mia** and **X**

Unification

- What about the terms:
 - **mia** and **X**
 - **woman(Z)** and **woman(mia)**

Unification

- What about the terms:
 - **mia** and **X**
 - **woman(Z)** and **woman(mia)**
 - **loves(mia,X)** and **loves(X,vincent)**

Instantiations

- When Prolog unifies two terms, it performs all the necessary instantiations, so that the terms are equal afterwards
- This makes unification a very powerful programming mechanism

Revised Definition 1/3

1. If T_1 and T_2 are constants, then T_1 and T_2 unify if they are the same atom, or the same number

Revised Definition 2/3

1. If T_1 and T_2 are constants, then T_1 and T_2 unify if they are the same atom, or the same number
2. If T_1 is a variable and T_2 is any type of term, then T_1 and T_2 unify, and T_1 is instantiated to T_2 (and vice versa)

Revised Definition 3/3

1. If T_1 and T_2 are constants, then T_1 and T_2 unify if they are the same atom, or the same number
2. If T_1 is a variable and T_2 is any type of term, then T_1 and T_2 unify, and T_1 is instantiated to T_2 (and vice versa)
3. If T_1 and T_2 are complex terms then they unify if:
 1. They have the same functor and arity, and
 2. all their corresponding arguments unify, and
 3. the variable instantiations are compatible.

Prolog unification: =/2

?- mia = mia.

yes

?-

Prolog unification: =/2

?- mia = mia.

yes

?- mia = vincent.

no

?-

Prolog unification: =/2

?- mia = X.

X=mia

yes

?-

How will Prolog respond?

?- X=mia, X=vincent.

How will Prolog respond?

?- X=mia, X=vincent.

no

?-

iki saglanmas gerek 1 tanesi saglanp bir tanesi saglanamyor.

Why? After working through the first goal, Prolog has instantiated X with **mia**, so that it cannot unify it with **vincent** anymore. Hence the second goal fails.

Example with complex terms

?- $k(s(g), Y) = k(X, t(k))$.

Example with complex terms

?- $k(s(g), Y) = k(X, t(k)).$

$X = s(g)$

$Y = t(k)$

yes

?-

Example with complex terms

?- $k(s(g), t(k)) = k(X, t(Y))$.

Example with complex terms

?- $k(s(g), t(k)) = k(X, t(Y))$.

$X = s(g)$

$Y = k$

yes

?-

One last example

?- loves(X,X) = loves(marsellus,mia).

One last example

?- loves(X,X) = loves(marsellus,mia).

no

?-

Prolog and unification

- Prolog does not use a standard unification algorithm
- Consider the following query:

?- father(X) = X.

- Do these terms unify or not?

?- father(X) = X.

?- father(X) = X.

Infinite terms (SWI Prolog)

?- father(X) = X.

X=father(father(father(...))))

yes

?-

Occurs Check

- A standard unification algorithm carries out an **occurs check**
- If it is asked to unify a variable with another term it checks whether the variable occurs in this term
- In Prolog (ISO standard):

```
?- unify_with_occurs_check(father(X), X).  
no
```

Programming with Unification

```
vertical( line(point(X,Y),  
              point(X,Z))).
```

```
horizontal( line(point(X,Y),  
                point(Z,Y))).
```



Programming with Unification

```
vertical( line(point(X,Y),  
              point(X,Z))).
```

```
horizontal( line(point(X,Y),  
                point(Z,Y))).
```

?-

Programming with Unification

```
vertical( line(point(X,Y),  
              point(X,Z))).
```

```
horizontal( line(point(X,Y),  
                point(Z,Y))).
```

```
?- vertical(line(point(1,1),point(1,3))).
```

yes

```
?-
```


Programming with Unification

```
vertical( line(point(X,Y),  
              point(X,Z))).
```

```
horizontal( line(point(X,Y),  
                point(Z,Y))).
```

```
?- vertical(line(point(1,1),point(1,3))).
```

yes

```
?- vertical(line(point(1,1),point(3,2))).
```

no

```
?-
```

Programming with Unification

```
vertical( line(point(X,Y),  
              point(X,Z))).
```

```
horizontal( line(point(X,Y),  
                point(Z,Y))).
```

```
?- horizontal(line(point(1,1),point(1,Y))).
```

```
Y = 1;
```

```
no
```

```
?-
```

Programming with Unification

```
vertical( line(point(X,Y),  
              point(X,Z))).
```

```
horizontal( line(point(X,Y),  
                point(Z,Y))).
```

```
?- horizontal(line(point(2,3),Point)).
```

```
Point = point(_554,3);
```

```
no
```

```
?-
```

Exercises: unification



Exercise 2.1

Which of the following pairs of terms unify? Where relevant, give the variable instantiations that lead to successful unification.

1. bread = bread
2. 'Bread' = bread
3. 'bread' = bread
4. Bread = bread
5. bread = sausage
6. food(bread) = bread
7. food(bread) = X
8. food(X) = food(bread)
9. food(bread,X) = food(Y,sausage)
10. food(bread,X,beer) = food(Y,sausage,X)
11. food(bread,X,beer) = food(Y,kahuna_burger)
12. food(X) = X
13. meal(food(bread),drink(beer)) = meal(X,Y)
14. meal(food(bread),X) = meal(X,drink(beer))

Exercise 2.2a

We are working with the following knowledge base:

house_elf(dobby).

witch(hermione).

witch('McGonagall').

witch(rita_skeeter).

magic(X):- house_elf(X).

magic(X):- wizard(X).

magic(X):- witch(X).

Which of the following queries are satisfied? Where relevant, give all the variable instantiations that lead to success.

1. ?- magic(house_elf).

2. ?- wizard(harry).

3. ?- magic(wizard).

4. ?- magic('McGonagall').

5. ?- magic(Hermione).

Proof Search

- Now that we know about unification, we are in a position to learn how Prolog searches a knowledge base to see if a query is satisfied.
- In other words: we are ready to learn about proof search and search trees

Example

```
f(a).  
f(b).  
g(a).  
g(b).  
h(b).  
k(X):- f(X), g(X), h(X).
```

```
?- k(Y).
```


Example: search tree

f(a).
f(b).
g(a).
g(b).
h(b).
k(X):- f(X), g(X), h(X).


?- k(Y).

?- k(Y).

Example: search tree

f(a).
f(b).
g(a).
g(b).
h(b).
k(X):- f(X), g(X), h(X).


?- k(Y).



Example: search tree

f(a).
f(b).
g(a).
g(b).
h(b).
k(X):- f(X), g(X), h(X).


?- k(Y).



Example: search tree

f(a).
f(b).
g(a).
g(b).
h(b).
k(X):- f(X), g(X), h(X).


?- k(Y).



Example: search tree

f(a).
f(b).
g(a).
g(b).
h(b).
k(X):- f(X), g(X), h(X).


?- k(Y).



Example: search tree

f(a).
f(b).
g(a).
g(b).
h(b).
k(X):- f(X), g(X), h(X).


?- k(Y).



Example: search tree

f(a).
f(b).
g(a).
g(b).
h(b).
k(X):- f(X), g(X), h(X).


?- k(Y).



Example: search tree

f(a).
f(b).
g(a).
g(b).
h(b).
k(X):- f(X), g(X), h(X).


?- k(Y).



Example: search tree

f(a).
f(b).
g(a).
g(b).
h(b).
k(X):- f(X), g(X), h(X).


?- k(Y).
Y=b



Example: search tree

f(a).
f(b).
g(a).
g(b).
h(b).
k(X):- f(X), g(X), h(X).

?- k(Y).
Y=b;
no
?-



Another example

```
loves(vincent,mia).  
loves(marsellus,mia).
```

```
jealous(A,B):-  
    loves(A,C),  
    loves(B,C).
```

```
?- jealous(X,Y).
```

Another example

```
loves(vincent,mia).  
loves(marsellus,mia).
```

```
jealous(A,B):-  
    loves(A,C),  
    loves(B,C).
```

```
?- jealous(X,Y).
```

```
?- jealous(X,Y).
```

Another example

```
loves(vincent,mia).  
loves(marsellus,mia).
```

```
jealous(A,B):-  
    loves(A,C),  
    loves(B,C).
```

```
?- jealous(X,Y).
```

```
?- jealous(X,Y).
```

X=A

Y=B

```
?- loves(A,C), loves(B,C).
```

Another example

```
loves(vincent,mia).  
loves(marsellus,mia).
```

```
jealous(A,B):-  
    loves(A,C),  
    loves(B,C).
```

```
?- jealous(X,Y).
```

```
?- jealous(X,Y).
```

X=A

Y=B

```
?- loves(A,C), loves(B,C).
```

A=vincent

C=mia


```
?- loves(B,mia).
```

Another example

```
loves(vincent,mia).  
loves(marsellus,mia).
```

```
jealous(A,B):-  
    loves(A,C),  
    loves(B,C).
```

```
?- jealous(X,Y).  
X=vincent  
Y=vincent
```




Another example

```
loves(vincent,mia).  
loves(marsellus,mia).
```

```
jealous(A,B):-  
    loves(A,C),  
    loves(B,C).
```

```
?- jealous(X,Y).  
X=vincent  
Y=vincent;  
X=vincent  
Y=marsellus
```




Another example

loves(vincent,mia).
loves(marsellus,mia).

jealous(A,B):-
 loves(A,C),
 loves(B,C).

?- jealous(X,Y).
X=vincent
Y=vincent;
X=vincent
Y=marsellus;




Another example

loves(vincent,mia).
loves(marsellus,mia).

jealous(A,B):-
 loves(A,C),
 loves(B,C).

....

X=vincent
Y=marsellus;
X=marsellus
Y=vincent




Another example

loves(vincent,mia).
loves(marsellus,mia).

jealous(A,B):-
 loves(A,C),
 loves(B,C).

....

X=marsellus
Y=vincent;
X=marsellus
Y=marsellus




Another example

loves(vincent,mia).
loves(marsellus,mia).

jealous(A,B):-
 loves(A,C),
 loves(B,C).

....
X=marsellus
Y=vincent;
X=marsellus
Y=marsellus;
no



Exercises



Exercise 2.2b

We are working with the following knowledge base:

```
house_elf(dobby).  
witch(hermione).  
witch('McGonagall').  
witch(rita_skeeter).  
magic(X):- house_elf(X).  
magic(X):- wizard(X).  
magic(X):- witch(X).
```

Draw the search tree for:

```
?- magic(Hermione).
```



Next lecture

- Chapter 3 of LPN:
Introducing **recursive definitions**
- Show that there can be mismatches
between the declarative and procedural
meaning in Prolog programs