

CHARACTERIZATION TESTING: MITIGATING RISK OF CHANGES AROUND LEGACY CODE.

Characterization Testing – written and presented by Martin Mayer

28.01.2021 The SocialCode.




MY APPROACH, NOT THE APPROACH.

Please contribute to add value to this session:

- Ask questions

- Give honest feedback

- Share your own experiences and conclusions



SCAN ME!



**GLOSSARY OF TERMS,
SLIDE DECK AND
CODE EXAMPLES**

<http://bit.ly/39g9JNG>




LEGACY CODE

CHANGE

TESTING

PROBLEM:
WE ARE AFRAID WE'LL BREAK
SOMETHING IF WE CHANGE
LEGACY CODE TO REFACTOR IT




WHAT IS LEGACY CODE?

Old code?

Code written before current standards?

Bad code that is difficult to test?


Difficult-to-change code that we don't understand?



WHAT IS LEGACY CODE?

“simply code without tests”

Working Effectively with Legacy Code, Michael Feathers



WHAT IS LEGACY CODE?

“profitable code
that we feel afraid to change”

Surviving Legacy Code with Golden Master and Sampling,
J. B. Rainsberger



WHAT IS LEGACY CODE?

“profitable/valuable code
without adequate tests in place,
meaning its change is a considerable
risk to the organisation”

**IF IT'S SO RISKY,
THEN WHY CHANGE
LEGACY CODE TO
REFACTOR IT?**



WHY CHANGE VALUABLE WORKING CODE?

Customer requirements

Bug fixes

Compliance

Security concerns

etc.

WHY REFACTOR?

Prepare for a value-adding change

Code may be reusable

Write code that is easier to read and debug

Make code changes safer and faster

Easier to estimate effort

Reduce time to skill up

POTENTIAL SOLUTION: CHARACTERIZATION TESTING



WHAT IS CHARACTERIZATION TESTING?

A form of exploratory testing

Produces (mostly) automated tests

Informs our regression cases

Forms a benchmark

Aims to capture and preserve all the value

REASONS FOR CHARACTERIZATION TESTING

1. Code isn't well covered by tests
2. Requirements are unclear
3. Code isn't clean and readable
4. Adding coverage would add safety

START TESTING!



START TESTING!

1. Start with the simplest unit of code
2. Assess if more logging should be added
3. Consider the structure of our test
4. Record all of the valued output to file
5. Create the test case source – our inputs

START TESTING!

6. “Arrange” – set up the test prerequisites
7. “Act” – call the subject under test
8. “Assert” – manual and automated options to assess if the result is “Pass” or “Fail”

PROS



- We can test code we don't understand
- Set up a benchmark before changes
- Enables testing at relative speed
- Easy general approach to learn and teach others
- Supports open-box and closed-box testing
- Fun to work with

CONS



Takes time and hard work

Can easily mean a lot of test cases and slow cycles

Depending on framework, a lot boilerplate code

Tests can be brittle as they depend on the detail

ALTERNATIVES / SUPPLEMENTARY TASKS

ALTERNATIVES / SUPPLEMENTARY TASKS: CODE AND REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS

Understand the requirements and cover all code with appropriate unit, integration and end-to-end tests

ALTERNATIVES / SUPPLEMENTARY TASKS: FEATURE FLAGS

Set up a new version of the code (starting with a direct copy) and make modifications there
Preserve the original version in source using a “feature flag” stored somewhere in remote configuration to switch between versions

ALTERNATIVES / SUPPLEMENTARY TASKS: FEATURE BRANCHES

In our version control, branch off our main source to a new feature branch and make changes there – we can then deploy either that branch or main to a test environment and verify there – comparing results from the 2 deployments

ALTERNATIVES / SUPPLEMENTARY TASKS: MANUAL EXPLORATORY TESTING

Slow but can be used to research the code behaviour before writing characterization tests
Also a good alternative for components that are hard to test with automation

ALTERNATIVES / SUPPLEMENTARY TASKS: DEBUG EXISTING CODE

Debug to reverse engineer how the code is currently behaving

ALTERNATIVES / SUPPLEMENTARY TASKS: OBSERVABILITY

Develop additional logging to:
 trace behaviour
 monitor errors

ALTERNATIVES / SUPPLEMENTARY TASKS: AUGMENT AUTOMATED REGRESSION TESTS

Set up a new version of the code (starting with a direct copy) and make modifications there
Preserve the original version in source using a “feature flag” stored somewhere in remote configuration to switch between versions

IMPLEMENTATION OPTIONS: OWN SIMPLE TEST FRAMEWORK



Write test framework from scratch

Record outputs to file and play inputs from file

Capture logged string data to save as part of the output file

IMPLEMENTATION OPTIONS: APPROVAL TESTS LIBRARY

ApprovalTests library supports multiple languages

It standardises syntax but the test code is quite brittle and contains a lot of boilerplate



HOW CAN I TRY THIS?

- Follow the references listed in this slide deck
- Organise a session with your peers – at work or in a community like this
- Follow the code examples I've shared
- ... or the tutorials with frameworks such as ApprovalTests



SCAN ME!



**GLOSSARY OF TERMS,
SLIDE DECK,
REFERENCES AND
CODE EXAMPLES**


<http://bit.ly/39g9JNG>

CONNECT

Connect with me on
LinkedIn:

<https://bit.ly/3sYsIJF>

LinkedIn



ANY

QUESTIONS?