Now that the job was to reprogram the shapes to move accordingly, things got a lot more technical. The AI generator whipped up exactly what I asked for both times no problem, similarly to how I designed it but a little more elaborate. And rather effectively too, granting clear moments of explaining each addition and what the code does and why.

It also used processes I haven't yet brushed up on, like arrays. I'll need a refresher on that for sure, since I didn't even think to use it.

 I will note that the program forgot important details about the ramen shape while completing the newer tasks, like forgetting the shape of the noodles when I asked to move them around randomly. The AI seems to follow an order of operations and can "overthink", in a way, forgetting certain criteria and needing to be reminded. This pattern occurs for preexisting commands that get changed, rather than new ones. When I asked to add text, it did so without problem.

Of course, I'd prefer the manual process of coding over the generated one. It still looks more like an actual ramen bowl, even with all the parts moving around. I understand I have bias here, and that there is a huge potential for integration for this AI software. I just feel like it's not honed into a proper tool just yet. At some point, code has to get so complex that we could use these tools to fill in the gaps and continue to work. But I've yet to see this app become more than a convenience.