



Course Code : AIT102
Course Name : Python and TensorFlow Programming
Lecturer : Ashwaq Qasem
Academic Session : 2024/04
Assessment Title : Group project-BetterDino
Submission Due Date : Sunday, 7 July 2024, 11:59 PM

Prepared by :

Student ID	Student Name
SWE2209270	Bertrand Christopher
CME2109130	Chen Jiawei
SWE2209272	Pisco Fane
SWE2209276	William Joshua Evan

Date Received :

Feedback from Lecturer:

Mark:

Own Work Declaration

I/We acknowledge that my/our work will be examined for plagiarism or any other form of academic misconduct, and that the digital copy of the work may be retained for future comparisons.

I/We confirm that all sources cited in this work have been correctly acknowledged and that I/we fully understand the serious consequences of any intentional or unintentional academic misconduct.

In addition, I/we affirm that this submission does not contain any materials generated by AI tools, including direct copying and pasting of text or paraphrasing. This work is my/our original creation, and it has not been based on any work of other students (past or present), nor has it been previously submitted to any other course or institution.

Signature:



Bertrand Christopher



Wilson Joshua Evan



Pisco Fane



Chen Jiawei

Date: 07/07/2024

MARKING RUBRICS

Component Title	Group Project					Percentage (%)	100
Criteria	Score and Descriptors					Weight (%)	Marks
	Excellent (10)	Good (8-9)	Average (6-7)	Need Improvement (3-5)	Poor (1-2)		
Presentation	The presentation is well organized with clear structure, good logic and solid content	The presentation is completed with required content.	The presentation is completed with most required content.	The presentation is not well organized.	very poor presentation or no presentation is submitted.	10	
Criteria	Excellent (25-30)	Good (22-24)	Average (17-21)	Need Improvement (7-16)	Poor (1-6)		
Program Functionality	The program meets the listed specifications and does what it was designed to do with no issues.	The program meets the listed specifications and works most of the time with some issues.	The program meets the listed main specifications and works most of the time with some issues.	The program does not meet the listed specifications or works intermittently.	The program does not work at all	30	
Criteria	Excellent (13-15)	Good (11-12)	Average (8-10)	Need Improvement (4-7)	Poor (1-3)		
Code Quality	The code is well organized with perfect style (e.g., the code is non-repetitive, methods and variables are named appropriately, control and logical structures are used appropriately, etc.).	The code is well organized and has minor style issue.	The code is completely organized and has minor style issue.	The code is poorly organized or has major style issue	The code is a total mess.	15	
Level of Effort	The program is very challenging and ambitious and TensorFlow programming is used.	The program is moderately ambitious and takes some effort.	The program is a sense of ambitious and takes some effort.	The program is simple but is well designed.	The program is too simple and is poorly designed	15	

