Stochastic Financial Models 7

Michael Tehranchi

20 October 2023

1 Proof of the convergence of indifference to marginal utility price

Fix Y and let

$$\pi_t = \frac{\pi(tY)}{t}$$

and $p = \sup_{t>0} \pi_t$. Example sheet: $t \mapsto \pi_t$ decreasing. [Hint: use $\pi(0) = 0$ and concavity] Hence $\pi_t \uparrow p$ as $t \downarrow 0$. We must show $p = \pi_0(Y)$.

From last time $\pi_t \leq \pi_0(Y)$ for all t > 0 so $p \leq \pi_0(Y)$. It remains to show the reverse inequality.

Now by definition of $X^* \in \mathcal{X}$ as maximiser of $\mathbb{E}[U(X)]$ we have

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \frac{1}{t} [V(tY - (1+r)t\pi_t) - V(0)] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{U(X^* + tY - (1+r)t\pi_t) - U(X^*)}{t} \right] \text{ as } \mathbf{V} = \max_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{E} \left[\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{X}) \right] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{U(X^* + tY - (1+r)tp) - U(X^*)}{t} \right] \text{ since } p \geq \pi_t \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{E} \{ U'(X^*)[Y - (1+r)p] \} \end{split}$$

(by the dominated convergence theorem from Probability & Measure) Rearranging yields $p \geq \pi_0(Y)$.
QS $\pi_0 - \frac{\text{FCU'(X)Y]}}{(1+\epsilon) \text{ FCU'(X)}}$

2 Risk neutral measures

- Given an probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$
- Let Z be a positive random variable such that $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}(Z) = 1$.
- ullet We can define a probability new measure $\mathbb Q$ by the formula

$$\mathbb{Q}(A) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}(Z\mathbb{1}_A)$$

for any event A.

- By measure theory, $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}(ZX)$ for any \mathbb{Q} -integrable random variable X.
- Notation $Z = \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}$
- $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}$ is called the *density* or *likelihood ratio* of \mathbb{Q} with respect to \mathbb{P} .
- Important point: $\mathbb{Q}(A) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$ by the pigeon-hole principle.

Definition. Let \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} be probability measures defined on the same measurable space $A_s(\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{Z}_A)) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F})$. The measures are said to be *equivalent* if they have the property that $\mathbb{Q}(A) = 0$ if $\mathbb{Q}(A) = 0$ and only if $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$.

Theorem (Radon–Nikodym theorem). Let \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} be probability measures defined on the same measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . There exists a \mathbb{P} -a.s. positive random variable Z such that

$$\mathbb{Q}(A) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}(Z\mathbb{1}_A)$$

for any event A if and only if \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} are equivalent.

Remark. We don't need this theorem, but is only stated for mathematical context.

Example

- Let $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots\}$
- $\mathbb{P}\{\omega_i\} = p_i > 0 \text{ for all } i$
- $\mathbb{Q}\{\omega_i\} = q_i > 0$ for all i
- $Z(\omega_i) = q_i/p_i$ for all i.
- Then $Z = \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}$.

Example

- Let X be defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, and μ, λ positive constants.
- $X \sim \exp(\lambda)$ under \mathbb{P} .
- Let $Z = \frac{\mu}{\lambda} e^{(\lambda \mu)X}$. Note Z is positive and

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}(Z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mu}{\lambda} e^{(\lambda - \mu)x} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx = \int_0^\infty \mu e^{-\mu x} dx = 1.$$

• Let \mathbb{Q} have density Z with respect to \mathbb{P} . Then for any bounded function f we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[f(X)] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[Zf(X)]$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu}{\lambda} e^{(\lambda - \mu)x} f(x) \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) \mu e^{-\mu x} dx$$

• That is, the distribution of X under \mathbb{Q} is $\exp(\mu)$

Now consider the one-period model set-up defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

- \bullet interest rate r
- d risky assets with time t price vector S_t .

Definition. A risk-neutral measure is any probability measure \mathbb{Q} , equivalent to \mathbb{P} , such that

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{1+r} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_1)$$

The probability measure \mathbb{P} is called the *objective* or *statistical* measure.

Theorem (Marginal utility pricing 2). Consider the problem of maximising $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[U(X)]$ over

$$X \in \mathcal{X} = \{(1+r)X_0 + \theta^{\top}(S_1 - (1+r)S_0) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$$

where U is strictly increasing, and assume there exists a maximiser $X^* \in \mathcal{X}$. Define the equivalent probability measure \mathbb{Q} with density $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \propto U'(X^*)$. Then \mathbb{Q} is risk-neutral.

Proof. Let

$$Z = \frac{U'(X^*)}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[U'(X^*)]}$$

Note that Z > 0 and $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}(Z) = 1$. By assumption $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = Z$. But we already know from the first marginal utility pricing theorem (Lecture 4) that

$$\frac{1}{1+r}\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}(S_1) = \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[U'(X^*)S_1]}{(1+r)\mathbb{E}[U'(X^*)]} = S_0.$$