## Stochastic Financial Models 8

## Michael Tehranchi

### 23 October 2023

#### 1 Arbitrage

Recall the set-up

- $\bullet$  one risk-free asset with interest rate r
- d risky assets with time-t price  $S_t$  for  $t \in \{0, 1\}$

**Definition.** An arbitrage is a portfolio  $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\varphi^{\top}[S_1 - (1+r)S_0] \ge 0$$
 almost surely

and

$$\mathbb{P}(\varphi^{\top}[S_1 - (1+r)S_0] > 0) > 0.$$

Depends on Ponly through events of

## Arbitrage and utility maximisation

Fix initial wealth  $X_0$  and strictly increasing utility function U, consider the problem

maximise 
$$\mathbb{E}[U(X)]$$
 over  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ 

where

$$\mathcal{X} = \{ (1+r)X_0 + \theta^{\top} [S_1 - (1+r)S_0] : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d \}$$

- Suppose  $\varphi$  is an arbitrage.
- Given  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  consider

$$X^* = X + \varphi^{\top} [S_1 - (1+r)S_0]$$

• Note  $X^* \in \mathcal{X}$  also, but

$$U(X^*) \ge U(X)$$
 almost surely

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(U(X^*) > U(X)) > 0$$

• Hence

$$\mathbb{E}[U(X^*)] > \mathbb{E}[U(X)]$$

• Since  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  was arbitrary, there cannot be a maximiser!

### Why arbitrages are bad for theory

- Suppose  $\varphi$  is an arbitrage.
- From above, an investor would prefer the portfolio  $(n+1)\varphi$  to  $n\varphi$  for any n.
- As n gets large, the assumption that an agent can trade with no price impact becomes more and more unrealistic.

#### Comments

- The definition of arbitrage does not depend on the agent's initial wealth  $X_0$  or utility function U.
- However, it does depend on the agent's *beliefs* through the probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$ .
- Agents with equivalent beliefs will agree on the set of arbitrage portfolios.

# 2 Fundamental theorem of asset pricing

#### Things we know so far

- If there exists an optimal solution to a utility maximisation problem, then there exists risk-neutral measure.
- If there exists an optimal solution to a utility maximisation problem, then there exists no arbitrage.

**Theorem** (FTAP). A market model has no arbitrage if and only if there exists a risk-neutral measure.

Proof of the easy direction. Let  $\varphi$  be such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\varphi^{\top}[S_1 - (1+r)S_0] \ge 0) = 1.$$

Suppose there exists a risk-neutral measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ . By equivalence

$$\mathbb{Q}(\varphi^{\top}[S_1 - (1+r)S_0] \ge 0) = 1.$$

However

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\{\varphi^{\top}[S_1 - (1+r)S_0]\} = \varphi^{\top}\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[S_1 - (1+r)S_0]$$
$$= 0$$

by the definition of risk-neutrality.

By the pigeon-hole principle

$$\mathbb{Q}(\varphi^{\top}[S_1 - (1+r)S_0] > 0) = 0.$$

Again by equivalence

$$\mathbb{P}(\varphi^{\top}[S_1 - (1+r)S_0] > 0) = 0.$$

Hence  $\varphi$  is not an arbitrage.

Proof of the harder direction of the FTAP. Assume that there is no arbitrage. For easier notation, let  $\xi = S_1 - (1+r)S_0$ .

We also assume without loss that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\theta^{\top}\xi}] < \infty$$

for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . (Otherwise, we replace  $\mathbb{P}$  with the equivalent measure  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$  with density

$$\frac{d\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}} \propto e^{-\|\xi\|^2}$$

and note by equivalence there is no  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -arbitrage.)

Consider the problem of maximising  $\mathbb{E}[U(\theta^{\top}\xi)]$  and  $U(x) = -e^{-x}$ . We will show that the assumption of no arbitrage implies that there exists an optimal solution.

Let  $(\theta_n)_n$  be a sequence such that

$$\mathbb{E}[U(\theta_n^{\top}\xi)] \to \sup{\{\mathbb{E}[U(\theta^{\top}\xi)] : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d\}}$$

Case:  $(\theta_n)_n$  is bounded. Then by the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem, there exists a convergent subsequence. By passing to that subsequence, we assume  $\theta_n \to \theta_0$ .

By continuity

$$\mathbb{E}[U(\theta_n^{\top}\xi)] \to \mathbb{E}[U(\theta_0^{\top}\xi)]$$

Hence  $\theta_0$  is a maximiser. We are done since  $U'(\theta_0^{\top}\xi)$  is proportional to the density of a risk-neutral measure.

Case: every maximising sequence  $(\theta_n)_n$  is unbounded. (next time)