# L3S at the NTCIR-12 Temporal Information Access (Temporalia-2) Task

Tom A. Cruise Leibniz University Hannover toma@cruise.com Tom B. Cruise Leibniz University Hannover tomb@cruise.com Tom C. Cruise Leibniz University Hannover tomc@cruise.com

#### **ABSTRACT**

This paper describes our participation in the NTCIR-12 Temporalia-2 task including Temporal Intent Disambiguation (TID) and Temporally Diversified Retrieval (TDR). In the TID subtask we extract linguistic features from the query, time distance features and language modeling of the query n-grams which are then combined using a rule based voting method to estimate a probability distribution over the temporal intents. In the TDR subtask we perform temporal ranking based on two approaches, linear combination of textual and temporal relevance method and learning to rank method. Three classes of features comprising of linguistic, topical and temporal features were used to estimate document relevance in the learning to rank approach.

#### **Team Name**

L3S

#### **Subtasks**

Temporal Intent Disambiguation Task (English), Temporally Diversified Retrieval Task (English)

### **Keywords**

temporal information retrieval, learning to rank, temporal intent disambiguation, text classification

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The L3S team participated in the Climb the Dubai Tower (CDT) subtask of the NTCIR-12 Impossible Task [3]. This minority report describes our approach to solving the CDT problem and discusses the official results.

#### 2. TEMPORAL INTENT DISAMBIGUATION

This subtask was related to estimating the probability distribution across four temporal intent classes: past, recency, future and atemporal for a given query [3]. In this section we describe the approach of how the rule based voting method was used to build the required distribution across the intent classes.

#### 2.1 Features extracted for TID

• Time Distance Features: A temporal mention in a query is an ideal feature to indicate the distance between the intended time in the query and the query

submission date. For example, "French Open 2012" becomes a strong indicator of past intent given the query submission date is "May 1, 2013 GMT+0". We use the SUTime Library [2] available part of the Stanford CoreNLP pipeline to recognize and normalize temporal expressions in the queries. A particular date "December 2015" is normalized to "2015-12", a less explicit date such as "Winter 2013" is represented as a season "2013-WI". The distance of the normalized time expressions from the query hitting time is measured to provide an estimate of the intent. It can also identify relative time expressions, such as, "two weeks in the future" which is normalized to "offset P2W". It also recognizes simple temporal words such as "now" and "the future" indicating it as present or future reference respectively, but this leads to false indicators like in the case of "the power of now" or "future shop". In the dry run queries only 16 out of 100 contained time

in the dry run queries only 16 out of 100 contained time expressions which could be used to estimate the time distance, this reflects how rare it is to find explicit or implicit temporal expressions in a search query. Thus in order to obtain more candidate temporal expressions for the formal and dry run queries, we used the freely accessible GTE<sup>1</sup> web service detailed in [1]. Given a query, the GTE web service returns a set of candidate years extracted from the top k web snippets returned by the Bing Search Api.

- Linguistic Features: Verb tense is a strong temporal indicator for search queries. For example, the verb "was" in "When was the first Olympics held" is a strong indicator of "past" intent. We use the Stanford Part-Of-Speech Tagger (POS Tagger) library [6] that recognizes verbs along with the tenses in a search query using the Penn Treebank tag set [4]. Verb tenses included in the Penn Treebank set include past tense (VBD), past participle (VBN), present tense (VBP/VBZ), present participle (VBG) and base verb form (VB). Since the Penn Treebank set doesn't include any tags for future tense, we consider a verb (VB) that is preceded by a modal verb (MD) such as will/shall to be an indicator of future tense. A query can include multiple verbs with different tenses. Thus we do a syntactic parsing of the query using the Stanford Parser Library [5] to determine the main predicate by selecting the uppermost verb in the parse tree.
- Surface Features: As a set of baseline features, we

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://www.ccc.ipt.pt/ ricardo/software.html

extract the unigram and bigram terms of the queries from the training data, which is 73 dry run queries. We compute the per class maximum likelihood estimates for the ngrams by using the ngrams overall frequency (ngTotCount) and the per class ngram count (ngClass-Count). The per class ngram count is computed by counting the ngrams per class (like past) generated from those queries which had a past probability > 0.0 in the training data.

$$MLE(ng, class) = \frac{ngClassCount}{ngTotCount} \tag{1}$$

\*\*\* Formulation of obtaining the probability estimates for a formal run query \*\*\*

\*\*\* Rule Based Voting Method to be described \*\*\*\*

## 2.2 Experimental Setup

#### 3. TEMPORALLY DIVERSIFIED RETRIEVAL

## 3.1 Experimental Setup

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

### 5. REFERENCES

- R. Campos, G. Dias, A. Jorge, and C. Nunes. Gte: A distributional second-order co-occurrence approach to improve the identification of top relevant dates in web snippets. In Proceedings of the 21st ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM 2012), pages 2035–2039, 2012.
- [2] A. X. Chang and C. D. Manning. Sutime: A library for recognizing and normalizing time expressions. In Proceedings of 8th International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation, 2012.
- [3] H. Joho, A. Jatowt, R. Blanco, H. Yu, and S. Yamamoto. Overview of the NTCIR-12 Temporal Information Access (Temporalia-2) Task. In *Proceedings* of NTCIR-12, 2016.
- [4] M. P. Marcus, M. A. Marcinkiewicz, and B. Santorini. Building a large annotated corpus of english: The penn treebank. In *Computational linguistics*, pages 313–330, 1993.
- [5] R. Socher, J. Bauer, C. D. Manning, and A. Y. Ng. Parsing with compositional vector grammars. In Proceedings of ACL conference, 2013.
- [6] K. Toutanova, D. Klein, C. D. Manning, and Y. Singer. Feature-rich part-of-speech tagging with a cyclic dependency network. In *Proceedings of HLT-NAACL*, pages 252–259, 2003.