## Introduction to von Neumann Algebra

## Yihan Zhang

## February 2, 2016

History of von Neumann algebra: von Neumann, Murray, On rings of operators. I,II,III,IV; von Neumann, On rings of operators. Reduction theory. It comes from ergodic theory and group algebra.

$$\begin{array}{ll} I_n & M_n(\mathbb{C}) & \{1, \cdots, n\} \\ I_\infty & \mathcal{B}(H), \dim H < \infty & \{1, \cdots\} \\ II_1 & [0, 1] \\ II_\infty & II_\infty = II_1 \otimes I_\infty & \mathbb{R} \\ III & \{\infty\} \end{array}$$

G is a countable discrete group with identity e. Consider Hilbert space  $\ell^2(G) = \{\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g : \alpha_g \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{g \in G} |\alpha_g|^2 < \infty \}$  with inner product defined as  $\left(\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g, \sum_{g' \in G} \beta_{g'} g'\right) = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g \overline{\beta_g} g = 0$ . Observe that  $\{\delta_g = g : g \in G\}$  is a family of orthonormal basis of  $\ell^2(G)$ . Consider left regular representation of G on  $\ell^2(G)$ .  $\forall g \in G, L_g \delta_h = \delta_{gh}$ . Thus  $L_g$  can be extended linearly to be a unitary operator on  $\ell^2(G)$ , s.t.

1. 
$$q = 4, L_e = I;$$

2. 
$$L_{g_1g_2}\delta_h = \delta_{g_1g_2h} = L_{g_1}\delta_{g_2h} = L_{g_1}L_{g_2}\delta_h \Rightarrow L_{g_1g_2} = L_{g_1}L_{g_2};$$

3. 
$$(L_g)^* = L_{g^{-1}}$$
.

$$(\delta_h, (L_g)^* \delta_k) = (L_g \delta_h, \delta_k) = \begin{cases} 1, & gh = k \\ 0, & gh \neq k \end{cases};$$
$$(\delta_h, L_{g^{-1}} \delta_k) = (\delta_h, \delta_{g^{-1}k}) = \begin{cases} 1, & h = g^{-1}k \\ 0, & h \neq g^{-1}k \end{cases}.$$

Thus  $g \mapsto L_g$  is a unitary representation of G on  $\ell^2(G)$ , called a left regular representation of G.

**Definition 1.** Group von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is the minimal von Neumann algebra containing  $\{L_g : g \in G\}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(G))$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \overline{\operatorname{span}\{L_g : g \in G\}^{SOT}}$ . The superscirpt SOT means strong operator topology.

Remark:  $C_r^*(G) = \overline{\operatorname{span}\{L_g : g \in G\}^{\|\cdot\|}}$ .

We also have right regular representation,  $\forall g \in G, R_g \delta_h = \delta_{hq^{-1}}$ .

- 1.  $R_e = I;$
- 2.  $R_{g_1g_2} = R_{g_1}R_{g_2}$ ;
- 3.  $(R_q)^* = R_{q^{-1}}$ .

 $\mathcal{R}(G) = \overline{\operatorname{span}\{R_g : g \in G\}^{SOT}}.$ 

Observe  $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G, L_{g_1}R_{g_2}\delta_h = L_{g_1}\delta_{hg_2^{-1}} = \delta_{g_1hg_2^{-1}} = R_{g_2}\delta_{g_1h} = R_{g_2}L_{g_1}\delta_h \Rightarrow L_{g_1}R_{g_2} = R_{g_2}L_{g_1}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{L}(G) \subset \mathcal{R}(G)', \mathcal{R}(G) \subset \mathcal{L}(G)'.(M \subset \mathcal{B}(H), M' = \{S \in \mathcal{B}(H) : ST = TS, \forall T \in M\}$ . M' is called the commutator algebra of M).

But what does an element in  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  look like?  $\forall x = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in \ell^2(G), L_x \delta_h = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g \delta_{gh} \in \ell^2(G).$   $L_x$  is a densely defined unbounded operator.  $\mathcal{D}(L_x) \supset \mathbb{C}(G) = \{\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g \delta_g : \alpha_g \delta_g : \alpha_g \delta_g : \alpha_g \delta_g : \alpha_g \delta_g \in \ell^2(G).$ 

**Theorem 1.**  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \{L_x : x \in \ell^2(G), L_x \text{ can be continued to be a bounded operator on } \ell^2(G)\}$ 

Proof. 1.  $\forall T \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ , let  $x = T\delta_e \in \ell^2(G)$ .  $\forall h, k \in G$ ,

$$(T\delta_h, \delta_k)$$

$$= (TR_{h^{-1}}\delta_e, \delta_k)$$

$$= (R_{h^{-1}}T\delta_e, \delta_k)$$

$$= (R_{h^{-1}}x, \delta_k)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g gh, \delta_k\right)$$

$$= (L_x\delta_h, \delta_k).$$

Thus  $T\delta_h = L_x\delta_h$ ,  $\forall h \in G$ , that is to say,  $L_x$  can be continued to be a bounded operator and  $T = L_x$ .

2.  $\forall S \in \mathcal{L}(G)'$ ,

$$(L_x S \delta_h, \delta_k)$$

$$= (S \delta_h, L_{x^*} \delta_k)$$

$$= \left(S \delta_h, \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\alpha_g} \delta_{g^{-1}k}\right)$$

$$= \left(\delta_h, S^* \left(\sum_{g \in G} \overline{\alpha_g} \delta_{g^{-1}k}\right)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{g \in G} (\delta_h, \overline{\alpha_g} S^* \delta_{g^{-1}k})$$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{g \in G} (\delta_h, \overline{\alpha_g} S^* L_{g^{-1}} \delta_k) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} (\delta_h, \overline{\alpha_g} L_{g^{-1}} S^* \delta_k) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} (\alpha_g L_g \delta_h, S^* \delta_k) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} (S \alpha_g L_g \delta_h, \delta_k) \\ &= \left( S \left( \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g L_g \right) \delta_h, \delta_k \right) \\ &= (S L_x \delta_h, \delta_k). \end{split}$$

Thus  $L_xS = SL_x$ . According to von Neumann bicommutant theorem,  $L_x \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ .

**Theorem 2** (von Neumann bicommutant). If  $M \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$  is a \*-sublgebra with an identity, then  $M'' = \overline{M}^{\text{SOT}}$ .

Theorem 3.  $(\mathcal{L}(G))' = \mathcal{R}(G), (\mathcal{R}(G))' = \mathcal{L}(G).$ 

Proof. We only need to prove  $(\mathcal{R}(G))' \subset \mathcal{L}(G)$ .  $\forall T \in (\mathcal{R}(G))'$ , let  $x = T\delta_e = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in \ell^2(G)$ .  $T\delta_h = TR_{h^{-1}}\delta_e = R_{h^{-1}}T\delta_e = R_{h^{-1}}x = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g h = L_x \delta_h$ . Thus  $T = L_x \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ .

**Example 1.**  $G = \mathbb{Z} = \{g^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$   $e_n = \delta_{g^n}.$   $L_g e_n = L_g \delta_{g^n} = \delta_{g^{n+1}} = e_{n+1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$   $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z})$  is the von Neumann algebra generated by  $L_g.$   $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \ell^2(S^1, m)$ , where m is Haar measure and s.t.  $e_n \stackrel{U}{\mapsto} z^n.$   $UL_g U^* z^n = UL_g e_n = Ue_{n+1} = z^{n+1}.$   $UL_g U^* = M_z.$   $U\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Z})U^* = \{M_{f(z)} : f(z) \in L^{\infty}(S^1, m)\}.$  We can define a linear functional on  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  s.t.  $\forall T \in \mathcal{L}(G), \tau(T) = (T\delta_e, \delta_e).$ 

**Theorem 4.**  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is subject to the following,

- 1.  $\tau(I) = 1$ ;
- 2.  $\tau(ST) = \tau(TS), \forall S, T \in \mathcal{L}(G);$
- 3.  $\tau(T^*T) \ge 0 \text{ and } \tau(T^*T) = 0 \Rightarrow T = 0.$

Proof. Let  $x = T\delta_e = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in \ell^2(G), y = S\delta_e = \sum_{g \in G} \beta_g g \in \ell^2(G).$   $\tau(ST) = (ST\delta_e, \delta_e) = (T\delta_e, S^*\delta_e) = \left(\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g, \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\beta_g} g^{-1}\right) = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g \beta_{g^{-1}}.$   $\tau(TS) = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{g^{-1}}\beta_g.$   $\tau(T^*T) = 0 \Rightarrow (T\delta_e, T\delta_e) = 0.$  Let  $x = T\delta_e, (x, x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0.$   $T = L_x = 0.$ 

**Definition 2.** Let M to be a von Neumann algebra, then the center of M,  $Z(M) = \{S \in M : ST = TS, \forall T \in M\}$ .

Obviously,  $Z(M) \supset \{\lambda I : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}.$ 

**Definition 3.** M is called a factor if  $Z(M) = \mathbb{C}I$ .

**Definition 4.** M is called a  $II_1$  factor if M is a infinite dimensional factor and there is a bounded linear functional  $\tau$  on M s.t.

- 1.  $\tau(T^*T) \ge 0, \forall T \in M, \ \tau(T^*T) = 0 \Rightarrow T = 0;$
- 2.  $\tau(ST) = \tau(TS), \forall S, T \in M;$
- 3.  $\tau$  is continuous under strong operator topology.

**Definition 5.** A discrete group G is called an i.c.c. (infinite conjugacy class) group if  $\forall g \neq e, \{hgh^{-1} : h \in G\}$  is an infinite set.

**Theorem 5.**  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is called a  $II_1$  factor if G is an i.c.c. group.

Proof. 
$$\forall T \in Z(\mathcal{L}(G))$$
, let  $x = T\delta_e = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in \ell^2(G)$ . Notice that  $\forall h \in G, L_h T = TL_h$ .  $L_h T L_{h^{-1}} = T \Rightarrow L_h T L_{h^{-1}} \delta_e = T\delta_e = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g$ .  $L_h T \delta_{h^{-1}} = L_h \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g h^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g h g h^{-1} \frac{hgh^{-1}=g'}{2} \sum_{g' \in G} \alpha_{h^{-1}g'h} g' \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{h^{-1}gh} g$ .  $\alpha_{h^{-1}gh} = \alpha_g, \forall g, h \in G$ . If  $g \neq e$  and  $\{h^{-1}gh: h \in G\}$  is infinite, then  $\sum_{h \in G} |\alpha_{h^{-1}gh}|^2 \leq \sum_{k \in G} |\alpha_k|^2 < \infty$ . Thus  $\alpha_g = 0, \forall g \neq e$ .  $T\delta_e = \alpha_e e \Rightarrow T = L_{\alpha_e} e = \alpha_e I$ .

Example 2.  $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$  is i.c.c.

**Example 3.**  $\pi(\mathbb{Z}) = \{permutations \ of \ \mathbb{Z} \ that \ change \ at \ most \ finitely \ many \ positions \}.$ 

**Theorem 6** (Murray-von Neumann).  $\mathcal{L}(F_2) \ncong \mathcal{L}(\pi(\mathcal{Z}))$ .

**Definition 6.** Let  $(M, \tau)$  to be a  $II_1$  factor. M is said to have property  $\Gamma$  if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in M, \exists U \in M, \tau(U) = 0, \text{ and } \|x_i U - U x_i\|_2 < \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ where } \|x\|_2 = \tau(x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . (Notice that for any unitary operator v,  $\|vx\|_2 = \|xv\|_2 = \|x\|_2$ .)

**Theorem 7.**  $\mathcal{L}(\pi(\mathcal{Z}))$  has property  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 8.**  $\mathcal{L}(F_2)$  doesn't have property  $\Gamma$ .

 $F_2 = \langle a,b \rangle. \text{ For } L_a, L_b, \text{ take } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ small enough}(\frac{1}{24}), \exists U, \tau(U) = 0 \text{ and } \textcircled{1} \parallel L_a U - U L_a \parallel_2 < \varepsilon, \textcircled{2} \parallel L_b U - U L_b \parallel_2 < \varepsilon. \text{ Let } x = U \delta_e = \sum_{g \in F_2 \setminus \{e\}} \alpha_g g \in \ell^2(G)(\alpha_e = 0). \text{ As } U \text{ is a unitary operator, } \sum_{g \in F_2 \setminus \{e\}} |\alpha_g|^2 = 1(\|U\|_2 = 1). \textcircled{1} \Rightarrow \|L_a U L_{a^{-1}} - U\|_2^2 < \varepsilon^2 \Rightarrow \sum_{g \in F_2 \setminus \{e\}} |\alpha_{a^{-1}ga} - \alpha_g|^2 < \varepsilon^2 \Rightarrow \sum_{g \in S} |\alpha_g|^2 - \sum_{g \in S} |\alpha_{a^{-1}ga}|^2 < 2\varepsilon, \text{ and } \|L_{a^{-1}} U L_a - U\|_2^2 < \varepsilon^2 \Rightarrow \sum_{g \in F_2 \setminus \{e\}} |\alpha_{aga^{-1}} - \alpha_g|^2 < \varepsilon^2 \Rightarrow \sum_{g \in S} |\alpha_g|^2 - \sum_{g \in S} |\alpha_{aga^{-1}}|^2 < 2\varepsilon. \textcircled{2} \Rightarrow \|L_b U L_{b^{-1}} - U\|_2^2 < \varepsilon^2 \Rightarrow \sum_{g \in F_2 \setminus \{e\}} |\alpha_{b^{-1}gb} - \alpha_g|^2 < \varepsilon^2. \text{ Consider } S = \{reduced words starting with } b^{\pm 1} \} \subset F_2. \text{ Observe } aSa^{-1} \cup a^{-1}Sa \cap S \subset F_2 \setminus \{e\}, b^{-1}Sb \cup S \supset F_2 \setminus \{e\}, \text{ which is disjoint pairwise.}$   $\sum_{g \in S} |\alpha_g|^2 + \sum_{g \in S} |\alpha_{aga^{-1}}|^2 + \sum_{g \in S} |\alpha_{a^{-1}ga}|^2 \leq 1 \approx 3\sum_{g \in S} |\alpha_g|^2 + 4\varepsilon \leq 1, \sum_{g \in S} |\alpha_g|^2 + \sum_{g \in S} |\alpha_g|^2 - 2\varepsilon \geq 1. \text{ Thus } \frac{1}{2} \leq \sum_{g \in S} |\alpha_g|^2 \leq \frac{1}{3}, \text{ which is contradicted.}$ 

Question 1. Is it true that  $\mathcal{L}(F_2) \ncong \mathcal{L}(F_3)$ ?

Recent result is shown below.

**Theorem 9.** It is alternative that  $\mathcal{L}(F_n) \cong \mathcal{L}(F_m), \forall n, m \text{ or } \mathcal{L}(F_n) \ncong \mathcal{L}(F_m), \forall n, m.$