### **SPD Checklists**

### See full recipe page for details

```
(require spd/tags)
(require 2htdp/image)
(require 2htdp/universe)
;; My world program (make this more specific)
(@htdw WS)
;; Constants:
;; =========
;; Data definitions:
(@htdd WS)
;; WS is ... (give WS a better name)
;; Functions:
(@htdf main)
(@signature WS -> WS)
;; start the world with (main ...)
(@template htdw-main)
(define (main ws)
 (big-bang ws
                            ; WS
           (on-tick tock) ; WS -> WS
           (to-draw render); WS -> Image
           (stop-when ...) ; WS -> Boolean
           (on-mouse ...) ; WS Integer Integer MouseEvent -> WS
           (on-key ...))) ; WS KeyEvent -> WS
(@htdf tock)
(@signature WS -> WS)
;; produce the next ...
;; !!!
(define (tock ws) ws)
(@htdf render)
(@signature WS -> Image)
;; render ...
;; !!!
(define (render ws) empty-image)
```

#### **HtDW**

- I. Domain analysis (use a piece of paper!)
  - I. Sketch program scenarios
  - 2. Identify constant information
  - 3. Identify changing information
  - 4. Identify big-bang options
- 2. Build the actual program
  - I. Constants (based on I.2 above)
  - 2. Data definitions (based on 1.3 above)
  - 3. Functions
    - I. main first (based on I.4 and 2.2 above)
    - 2. wish list entries for big-bang handlers
  - 4. Work through wish list until done

on-tick to-draw on-key on-mouse stop-when

#### **HtDD**

First identify form of information, then write:

- I. A possible structure definition (not until compound data)
- 2. A type comment that defines type name and describes how to form data
- 3. An interpretation to describe correspondence between information and data.
- 4. One or more examples of the data.
- 5. A template for a 1 argument function operating on data of this type.

#### **HtDF**

- 1. Signature, purpose and stub.
- 2. Define examples, wrap each in check-expect.
- 3. Template and inventory.
- 4. Code the function body.
- 5. Test and debug until correct

### Test guidelines

- I. at least 2
- 2. different argument/field values
- 3. code coverage
- 4. points of variation in behavior
- 5. 2 long / 2 deep

# Choosing form of data definition

When the form of the information to be represented	Use a data definition of this kind	
is atomic	simple atomic data (String, Number)	
is numbers within a certain range	interval [] includes endpoints, () does not	
consists of a fixed number of distinct items	enumeration (one-of several strings)	
is comprised of 2 or more subclasses, at least one of which is not a distinct item	itemization (one-of several subclasses)	
consists of items that naturally belong together	compound data	
is arbitrary sized	well formed self-referential data definition (or mutually referential)	
is naturally composed of different parts	reference to another defined type	

## Metadata tags

@assignment @cwl
@problem
@htdw @htdd @htdf
@signature @dd-template-rules @template

## **Data Driven Template Rules**

Form of data	cond question (if any)	Body or cond answer
atomic non-distinct	<pre>predicate (string? x) (&lt;= 0 x 10) etc.</pre>	( x)
atomic distinct	<pre>equality predicate (string=? x "red") etc.</pre>	()
one of		cond w/ one Q&A pair per subclass be sure to guard in mixed data itemizations
compound	<pre>predicate   (firework? x)</pre>	all selectors ( (firework-x fw)
self-reference		form natural recursion (fn-for-los (rest los))
reference		call to other type's templates function (fn-for-drop (first lod))

for additional parameters with atomic type add parameter everywhere after ...