Solutions to *Applied Cryptography* (Version 0.6, Jan. 2023) by Boneh & Shoup

Edgard Lima <edgard.lima@gmail.com>

https://www.linkedin.com/in/edgardlima/

https://edgardlima.com

https://github.com/Zer0Leak/AppliedCryptographyBonehBookSolutions

2025

Contents

Chapter 3

Encyption

2.1 (multiplicative one-time pad). We may also define a "multiplication mod p" variation of the one-time pad. This is a cipher E = (E, D), defined over (K, M, C), where K := M := C := 1, . . . , p-1, where p is a prime. Encryption and decryption are defined as follows:

$$E(k, m) := k \cdot m \bmod p \qquad D(k, c) := k^{-1} \cdot c \bmod p$$

Here, k^{-1} denotes the multiplicative inverse of k modulo p. Verify the correctness property for this cipher and prove that it is perfectly secure.

Answer: Auxiliary

Notice that $k^{-1} \cdot k \bmod p = 1$ (multiplicative inverse of k modulo p)

Given p is prime, k^{-1} is unique. Let's prove.
Suppose there is x and y, such that $k \cdot x \bmod p = 1 = k \cdot y \bmod p$. Also, make sure x and y are reduced by $\bmod p$. $i.e.$ $0 < x, y < p$
Since p is prime and $0 < k < p$, we can divide both sides by k.
$x \bmod p = y \bmod p$
$x = y$

Answer: Correctess

Notice that $k^{-1} \cdot k \bmod p = 1$ (multiplicative inverse of k modulo p)

$c = Enc(k, m) := k \cdot m \bmod p$
$Dec(k, Enc(k, m)) = k^{-1} \cdot c \bmod p$
$Dec(k, Enc(k, m)) = k^{-1} \cdot (k \cdot m \bmod p) \bmod p$
$Dec(k, Enc(k, m)) = k^{-1} \cdot k \cdot m \bmod p \bmod p$
$Dec(k, Enc(k, m)) = k^{-1} \cdot k \cdot m \bmod p$
$Dec(k, Enc(k, m)) = 1 \cdot m \bmod p$
Since $1 \leqslant m \leqslant p - 1$, then
$Dec(k, Enc(k, m)) = m$

Answer: Perfectly Secure

$m^{-1} \cdot m \bmod p = 1$ (multiplicative inverse of m modulo p)

$k \cdot m \bmod p = c$
$k \cdot m \cdot m^{-1} \bmod p = c \cdot m^{-1} \bmod p$
$k \bmod p = c \cdot m^{-1} \bmod p$
Since m^{-1} is unique, for every $c \in \mathcal{C}$, and for all message $m \in \mathcal{M}$
$N_c = |\{k \in \mathcal{K} : E(k, m) = c\}| = 1$
This is perfectly secure according to **Theorem 2.1 (ii)**

2.2 (A good substitution cipher). Consider a variant of the substitution cipher $\mathcal{E} = (E, D)$ defined in Example 2.3 where every symbol of the message is encrypted using an independent permutation. That is, let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C} = \Sigma^L$ for some a finite alphabet of symbols Σ and some L. Let the key space be $\mathcal{K} = S^L$ where S is the set of all permutations on Σ. The encryption algorithm E(k, m) is defined as:

$$E(k, m) := k[0](m[0]), k[1](m[1]), ..., k[L-1](m[L-1])$$

Show that $\mathcal{E}$ is perfectly secure.

Answer

The encryption decryption of each symbol is independent. At each index there is an independent **substitution cipher**.

Therefore, we can reduce to prove that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C} = \Sigma$, and $\mathcal{K} = S$, *i.e.*, m and c has length 1, and $|\mathcal{K}| = |\Sigma|!$ is perfectly secure.

$P_r[Enc(k, m) = c] = P_r[k(m) = c] = 1/|\Sigma|$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and all $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and an uniform distribution of $\mathcal{K}$

Therefore it is perfectly secure directly from the **Definition 2.1 (perfect security)**

2.3 (A broken one-time pad). Consider a variant of the one time pad with message space $\{0,1\}^L$ where the key space $\mathcal{K}$ is restricted to all L-bit strings with an even number of 1's. Give an efficient adversary whose semantic security advantage is 1

Answer

The adversary, $\mathcal{A}$, choose $m_0 := 0^L$, and $m_1 := 0^{L-1}1$

If the cipher text c has an even parity it outputs $\hat{b} = 0$ (because it was exactly the parity of the key)

Otherwise, cipher text c has an odd parity, it outputs $\hat{b} = 1$. Because the number of 1's will be the number of 1's in the key, that is even, minus one if the key has a 1 at index $L-1$, or plus one, if the key has a 0 at index $L-1$.

Chapter 4

Stream ciphers

3.1 (Semantic security for random messages). One can define a notion of semantic security for random messages. Here, one modifies Attack Game 2.1 so that instead of the adversary choosing the messages m_0 , m_1 , the challenger generates m_0 , m_1 at random from the message space. Otherwise, the definition of advantage and security remains unchanged.

(a) Suppose $\mathcal{E} = (\mathsf{E}, \mathsf{D})$ is defined over $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C})$, where $\mathcal{M} = \{0, 1\}^L$. Assuming that $\mathcal{E}$ is semantically secure for random messages, show how construct a new cipher $\mathcal{E}'$ that is secure in the ordinary sense. You new cipher should be defined over $(\mathcal{K}', \mathcal{M}', \mathcal{C}')$, where $\mathcal{K}' = \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}$.

(b) Give an example of a cipher that is semantically secure for random messages but that is not semantically secure in the ordinary sense.

Answer: (a)

$E(k, m)$ is secure for a random m.
Make $k = k'$

Definition of E':
- Generate r from random $\{0, 1\}^L$
- $(r, E'(k', m')) := E(k, m' \oplus r) := E(k, m) = c'$

Notice that $m = m \oplus r$ is random, so E is secure for it.
Notice that, if the adversary knows r and c', it doesn't help to get m, because it is encrypted with k.

Definition of D':
- $m' := (r, D'(k', c')) := D(k, c') \oplus r := D(k, E(k, m)) \oplus r := m \oplus r$

Answer: (b)

Consider E such that $c \in \{0,1\}^{L+1}$. The function E extends the bit-string c by appending a single 0 bit at the end of c in the message is exactly 0^L. Otherwise it appends 1.
The chance of the adversary in this game is:
$1.0 \times 2^{L-1} + \frac{1}{2^L-1} \times \frac{2^L-1}{2^L} + negl(L) = 2^{-(L-1)} + negl(L)$
Notice that 2^{-L} is negligible so $2 \times 2^{L-1}$ is too, and therefore is $2^{-(L-1)} + negl(L)$.

Now the only thing the (ordinary sense) adversary needs to do is choose $m_0 := 0^L$ and $m_1 \neq m_0$. And now the chance becomes 1.0 because if c end with 0, m_0 was chosen, otherwise was m_1.

3.2 (Encryption chain. Let $\mathcal{E} = (E, D)$ be a cipher defined over $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C})$ where $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{E}' = (E', D')$ be a cipher where encryption is defined as . Show that if $\mathcal{E}$ is semantically secure then so is $\mathcal{E}'$.

Answer

3.6 (Another malleability example).

Let us give another example illustrating the malleability of stream ciphers. Suppose you are told that the stream cipher encryption of the message "attack at dawn" is `6c73d5240a948c86981bc294814d` (the plaintext letters are encoded as 8-bit ASCII and the given ciphertext is written in hex). What would be the stream cipher encryption of the message "attack at dusk" under the same key?

Answer

In a stream cipher the key string of the same length is the same for the same seed.
So, if m_0 and m_1 has the same length:
$c_0 = s \oplus m_0$ and $c_1 = s \oplus m_1$, thus
$c_0 \oplus m_0 = s = c_1 \oplus m_1$
$c_1 = c_0 \oplus m_0 \oplus m_1$
m_0 and m_1 are equal except for the last 3 letters, so we only need to compute these XORs.
$c_1[10] = c_0[10] \oplus m_0[10] \oplus m_1[10] = 94 \oplus `a` \oplus `u` = 94 \oplus 61 \oplus 75$
Do the same for the last 2 letters.
$c_1 =$ `6c73d5240a948c86981bc2808548`