

# Contents

1	Equational Unification	2
---	------------------------	---

# 1 Equational Unification

In the following let  $E$  be a set of identities of the form  $\{e_1 \approx f_1, \dots, e_n \approx f_n\}$ . Furthermore let  $\text{Sig}(E)$  denote the set of all function symbols occurring in  $E$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set of function symbols and a superset of  $\text{Sig}(E)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** An  $E$ -unification Problem over  $\Sigma$  is a finite set  $S$  of the form  $S = \left\{ s_1 \stackrel{?}{\approx}_E t_1, \dots, s_n \stackrel{?}{\approx}_E t_n \right\}$  with  $s_1, \dots, s_n, t_1, \dots, t_n \in T(\Sigma, V)$ ,  $V$  being a countable set of Variables.

A substitution  $\sigma$  is an  $E$ -unifier of  $S$  iff  $\sigma(s_i) \approx_E \sigma(t_i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The set of all  $E$ -unifiers of  $S$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{U}_E(S)$ .  $S$  is  $E$ -unifiable iff  $\mathcal{U}_E(S) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $S$  be an  $E$ -unification problem over  $\Sigma$ .

- $S$  is an **elementary**  $E$ -unification problem iff  $\text{Sig}(E) = \Sigma$ .
- $S$  is an  $E$ -unification problem **with constants** iff  $\Sigma - \text{Sig}(E) \subseteq \Sigma^{(0)}$  and  $\text{Sig}(E) \subset \Sigma$
- $S$  is an **general**  $E$ -unification problem iff  $\Sigma - \text{Sig}(E)$  contains an at least unary function symbol.

One *most general unifier* does not always suffice to represent  $\mathcal{U}_E(S)$ . In this case we need a *minimal complete set of unifiers* but to define this set we first need an order on substitutions.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $X$  be a set of variables. A substitution  $\sigma$  is **more general** modulo  $\approx_E$  than a substitution  $\sigma'$  on  $X$  iff there is a substitution  $\delta$  such that  $\delta(\sigma(x)) \approx_E \sigma'(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . We denote this by  $\sigma \lesssim_E^X \sigma'$ .

$\lesssim_E^X$  is a quasi order since it obviously is reflexive and transitive. But why do we only demand equality modulo  $\approx_E$  on  $X$  and not on all Variables like we did in syntactic unification? Note that by the restriction to Variables in  $X$  more substitutions are comparable with respect to  $\lesssim_E^X$  since we do not demand equality modulo  $\approx_E$  on all Variables. Lets denote the Variables occurring in an  $E$ -unification problem  $S$  by  $\text{Var}(S)$ . It is easy to see that if  $X = \text{Var}(S)$ ,  $\sigma'$  is an  $E$ -unifier of  $S$  and  $\sigma \lesssim_E^X \sigma'$  then  $\sigma$  is also an  $E$ -unifier of  $S$ . This only shows that restriction to  $X$  does not do any damage but the reason it is useful is that there are  $E$ -unification problems  $S$  for which any *minimal complete set of E-unifiers* has to contain Variables not occurring in  $S$ . Lets consider a small example, let  $\sigma := \{x \mapsto f(y)\}$  be in  $\mathcal{M}$  a *minimal complete set of E-unifiers* of  $S$  with  $\text{Var}(S) = \{x\}$  and  $\{a \approx x\} \notin E$ . Clearly  $\sigma' := \{x \mapsto f(a)\}$  is also an  $E$ -unifier of  $S$  but  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are incomparable w.r.t.  $\lesssim_E^{\{x,y\}}$ . The substitution  $\delta := \{y \mapsto a\}$  does not work here since  $\delta(\sigma(y)) = a \not\approx_E y = \sigma'(y)$  which means there has to be another unifier  $\sigma''$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\sigma'' \lesssim_E^{\{x,y\}} \sigma$ . But if we restrict  $X$  to  $\{x\}$  we only need that  $\delta(\sigma(x)) = f(a) \approx_E f(a) = \sigma'(x)$  so  $\sigma \lesssim_E^{\{x\}} \sigma'$  holds. We see that *minimal complete sets of E-unifiers* can become unnecessary large if we consider all Variables. Since we have talked about these sets a lot lets define them formally.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $S$  be an  $E$ -unification problem over  $\Sigma$  and let  $X := \text{Var}(S)$ . A **complete set of  $E$ -unifiers** of  $S$  is a set of substitutions  $\mathcal{C}$  that satisfies the following properties.

- each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$  is an  $E$ -unifier of  $S$
- for all  $\theta \in \mathcal{U}_E(S)$  there exists a  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\sigma \lesssim_E^X \theta$

A **minimal complete set of  $E$ -unifiers** is a complete set of  $E$ -unifiers  $\mathcal{M}$  that satisfies the additional property

- for all  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\sigma \lesssim_E^X \sigma'$  implies  $\sigma = \sigma'$ .

The substitution  $\sigma$  is a **most general  $E$ -unifier** (mgu) of  $S$  iff  $\{\sigma\}$  is a minimal complete set of  $E$ -unifiers of  $S$ .