## 1 WFI or not?

```
take 0 xs
take n []
              = []
take n (x:xs) = [x]++(take (n-1) xs)
drop 0 xs
             = xs
drop n []
             = []
drop n (x:xs) = drop (n-1) xs
Claim 1.1. The following equation holds.
(take n xs)++(drop n xs) = xs
Proof. by induction over n.
BC: n=0:
       (take n xs)++(drop n xs)
     = (take 0 xs)++(drop 0 xs)
    = []++xs
     = xs
 IH: (take n' xs)++(drop n' xs) = xs
    holds for an arbitrary but fixed n' and all xs.
 IS: n=n'+1
         Case 1: xs=[]
               (take n xs)++(drop n xs)
             = (take (n'+1) [])++(drop (n'+1) [])
             = []++[]
             = []
             = xs
         Case 2: xs=(x:xs')
               (take n xs)++(drop n xs)
             = (take (n'+1) (x:xs'))++(drop (n'+1) (x:xs'))
             = [x]++(take n' xs')++(drop n' xs')
             = [x] + +xs'
             = xs
```

```
= []
= []
zip [] ys
zip xs []
zip (x:xs) (y:ys) = [(x,y)] + (zip xs ys)
length []
             = 0
length (x:xs) = 1+(length xs)
min x y
   |x>y = y
   |true = x
Claim 1.2. The following equation holds.
length (zip xs ys) = min (length xs) (length ys)
Proof. by structural induction over xs.
BC: xs=[]
       length (zip xs ys)
    = length (zip [] ys)
    = length []
    = 0
     = min 0 (length ys) --since (length ys) >= 0
    = min (length xs) (length ys)
 IH: length (zip xs' ys) = min (length xs') (length ys)
     holds for an arbitrary but fixed xs' and all ys.
 IS: xs=(x:xs')
        Case 1: ys=[]
               length (zip xs ys)
             = length (zip (x:xs') [])
             = length []
             = min (length (x:xs')) 0 --since (length (x:xs')) > 0
             = min (length xs) (length ys)
         Case 2: ys=(y:ys')
               length (zip xs ys)
             = length (zip (x:xs') (y:ys'))
             = length ([(x,y)]++(zip xs' ys'))
             = 1+length (zip xs' ys')
             = 1+(min (length xs') (length ys'))
             = min (1+(length xs')) (1+(length ys'))
             = min (length (x:xs')) (length (y:ys'))
             = min (length xs) (length ys)
```

But now just for the fun of it let us proof Claim 1.2 by well founded induction.

П

```
(xs, ys) \prec ((x:xs), (y:ys))
```

We denote the transitive closure of  $\prec$  by <. Obviously < is a well-founded order.

*Proof.* by well-founded induction with the predicate

```
P((xs,ys))=(length (zip xs ys) = min (length xs) (length ys))
    xs=[]: (Note that ([],ys) has no successors w.r.t. <.)
       length (zip xs ys)
     = length (zip [] ys)
     = length []
     = min 0 (length ys) --since (length ys) >= 0
    = min (length xs) (length ys)
    ys=[]: This case can be treated analogously to xs=[].
    xs=(x:xs'),ys=(y:ys)
       length (zip xs ys)
     = length (zip (x:xs') (y:ys'))
     = length ([(x,y)]++(zip xs' ys'))
     = 1+(length (zip xs' ys'))
     = 1+(min (length xs') (length ys')) \{-since (xs',ys')<(xs,ys)\}
                                                P((xs',ys')) holds-}
     = min (1+(length xs')) (1+(length ys'))
     = min (length (x:xs')) (length (y:ys'))
     = min (length xs) (length ys)
```