## Contents

1 Equational Unification

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

## 1 Equational Unification

In the following let E be a set of identities of the form  $\{e_1 \approx f_1, \dots, e_n \approx f_n\}$ . Furthermore let Sig(E) denote the set of all function symbols occurring in E. Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set of function symbols and a superset of Sig(E).

**Definition 1.1.** An *E*-unification Problem over  $\Sigma$  is a finite set *S* of the form  $S = \left\{ s_1 \stackrel{?}{\approx}_E t_1, \dots, s_n \stackrel{?}{\approx}_E t_n \right\}$  with  $s_1, \dots, s_n, t_1, \dots, t_n \in T(\Sigma, V), V$  being a countable set of Variables.

A substitution  $\sigma$  is an *E*-unifier of *S* iff  $\sigma(s_i) \approx_E \sigma(t_i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The set of all *E*-unifiers of *S* is denoted by  $\mathcal{U}_E(S)$ . *S* is *E*-unifiable iff  $\mathcal{U}_E(S) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let S be an E-unification problem over  $\Sigma$ .

- S is an elementary E-unification problem iff  $Sig(E) = \Sigma$ .
- S is an E-unification problem with constants iff  $\Sigma Sig(E) \subseteq \Sigma^{(0)}$  and  $Sig(E) \subset \Sigma$
- S is an **general** E-unification problem iff  $\Sigma Sig(E)$  contains an at least unary function symbol.

One most general unifier does not always suffice to represent  $\mathcal{U}_E(S)$ . In this case we need a minimal complete set of unifiers but to define this set we first need an order on substitutions.

**Definition 1.3.** Let X be a set of variables. A substitution  $\sigma$  is **more general** modulo  $\approx_E$  than a substitution  $\sigma'$  on X iff there is a substitution  $\delta$  such that  $\delta(\sigma(x)) \approx_E \sigma'(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . We denote this by  $\sigma \lesssim_E^X \sigma'$ .

 $\lesssim^X_E$  is a is a quasi order since it obviously is reflexive and transitive. But why do we only demand equality modulo  $\approx_E$  on X and not on all Variables like we did in syntactic unification? Note that by the restriction to Variables in Xmore substitutions are comparable with respect to  $\lesssim_E^X$  since we do not demand equality modulo  $\approx_E$  on all Variables. Lets denote the Variables occurring in an E-unification problem S by Var(S). It is easy to see that if X = Var(S),  $\sigma'$  is an E-unifier of S and  $\sigma \lesssim_E^X \sigma'$  then  $\sigma$  is also an E-unifier of S. This only shows that restriction to X does not do any damage but the reason it is useful is that there are E-unification problems S for which any minimal complete set of Eunifiers has to contain Variables not occurring in S. Lets consider a small example, let  $\sigma := \{x \mapsto f(y)\}\$  be in  $\mathcal{M}$  a minimal complete set of E-unifiers of S with  $\mathcal{V}ar(S) = \{x\}$  and  $\{a \approx x\} \notin E$ . Clearly  $\sigma' := \{x \mapsto f(a)\}$  is also an E-unifier of S but  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are incomparable w.r.t.  $\lesssim_E^{\{x,y\}}$ . The substitution  $\delta := \{y \mapsto a\}$  does not work here since  $\delta(\sigma(y)) = a \not\approx_E y = \sigma'(y)$  which means there has to be another unifier  $\sigma''$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\sigma'' \lesssim_E^{\{x,y\}} \sigma$ . But if we restrict Xto  $\{x\}$  we only need that  $\delta(\sigma(x)) = f(a) \approx_E f(a) = \sigma'(x)$  so  $\sigma \lesssim_E^{\{x\}} \sigma'$  holds. We see that minimal complete sets of E-unifiers can become unnecessary large if we consider all Variables. Since we have talked about these sets a lot lets define them formally.

**Definition 1.4.** Let S be an E-unification problem over  $\Sigma$  and let  $X := \mathcal{V}ar(S)$ . An E-complete set of S is a set of substitutions  $\mathcal{C}$  that satisfies the following properties.

- each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$  is an E-unifier of S
- for all  $\theta \in \mathcal{U}_E(S)$  there exists a  $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\sigma \lesssim_E^X \theta$

An E-minimal E-complete set is an E-complete set  $\mathcal{M}$  that satisfies the additional property

• for all  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\sigma \lesssim_E^X \sigma'$  implies  $\sigma = \sigma'$ .

The substitution  $\sigma$  is a **most general** E-unifier (mgu) of S iff  $\{\sigma\}$  is an E-minimal E-complete set of S.

Now let us consider an example in which an E-minimal E-complete set contains infinitely many elements. Let  $A:=\{x+(y+z)\approx (x+y)+z\}$  be a set of identities and  $S:=\{x+a\stackrel{?}{\approx}_A a+x\}$  an A-unification problem over  $\Sigma:=\{+,a\}$ . For n>0, we define substitutions  $\sigma_n$  inductively as follows:

$$\sigma_1 := \{x \mapsto a\}$$
  
$$\sigma_{n+1} := \{x \mapsto a + \sigma_n(x)\}$$

Since A axiomatizes associativity we can omit the brackets and give an explicit definition of  $\sigma_n$ .

$$\sigma_n := \{\underbrace{a + \dots + a}_{n \times a}\}$$

Now it is easy to see that all  $\sigma_n$  are A-unifiers of S. Lets consider an arbitrary A-unifier  $\theta$  of S.  $\theta(x)$  has the form  $\theta(x) := x_1 + \cdots + x_n$  where the  $x_i's$  are either a or a variable. Since  $\theta$  is an A-unifier of S we have that:

$$\theta(x) + a \approx_A a + \theta(x)$$

$$x_1 + \dots + x_n + a \approx_A a + x_1 + \dots + x_n$$

$$\implies x_1 = a, x_n = a \qquad a + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1} + a + a \approx_A a + a + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1} + a$$

$$\implies x_2 = a, x_{n-1} = a \qquad a + a + \dots + a + a + a \approx_A a + a + a + \dots + a + a$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$\implies \underbrace{a + \dots + a}_{n+1 \times a} \approx_A \underbrace{a + \dots + a}_{n+1 \times a}$$

So  $\theta(x) = \sigma_n(x)$  which implies  $\sigma \lesssim_A^{\{x\}} \theta$ . Since we picked  $\theta$  arbitrarily this yields A-completeness of the set  $\mathcal{M} := \bigcup_{n>0} \{\sigma_n\}$ . All  $\sigma_n$  are distinct and map x to ground terms. Hence they are pairwise incomparable with respect to  $\lesssim_A^{\{x\}}$ . This yields A-minimality of  $\mathcal{M}$ . We see that E-minimal E-complete sets do not need to have finite cardinality.

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  be E-minimal E-complete sets of S. Then there exists a bijective mapping  $B: \mathcal{M}_1 \mapsto \mathcal{M}_2$  such that  $\sigma \sim_E^X B(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}_1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{U}_E(S)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is E-complete

