Determinant Identity In Terms Of Trace Log Exponential

Zetong Xue

Consider a general square matrix A. We show that

$$det(exp(A)) = exp(tr(A)) \tag{1}$$

and for compelx invertible matrix B, we have

$$det(B) = exp(tr(\ln(B))) \tag{2}$$

Proof. By definition

$$exp(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^n}{n!}$$
 (3)

Consider matrix O such that take A in to A's cannonical Jordan form J:

$$O^{-1}AO = J (4)$$

so equation 1 starts with

$$det(exp(OJO^{-1})) (5)$$

Consider the following

$$exp(OJO^{-1}) = exp(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(OJO^{-1})^n}{n!})$$
 (6)

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have (easily verified.)

$$(OJO^{-1})^n = OJ^nO^{-1} (7)$$

so equation 5 becomes

$$det(exp(OJO^{-1})) = det(Oexp(J)O^{-1}) = det(exp(J))$$
(8)

For Jordan canonical form J, this is $\prod_i exp(J_i^i)$ and we know

$$\prod_{i} exp(J_{i}^{i}) = exp(\prod_{i} J_{i}^{i}) = exp(tr(J))$$
(9)

But we know that J and A are similar; they have the same trace, so we have exp(tr(J)) = exp(tr(A))

$$det(exp(A)) = exp(tr(A)) \tag{10}$$

So for identity 2, we have to ensure B can be written as exponential of some matrix. This is true iff B is invertible and complex by using holomorphic functional