

Problem Solving Group Meeting Notes

Guilherme Zeus Dantas e Moura
gdantasemo@haverford.edu

Haverford College — Fall 2021
Last updated: November 23, 2021

1 Meeting Notes from 2021-09-08

Problem 1.1 (Putnam 2018, B3)

Find all positive integers $n < 10^{100}$ for which simultaneously n divides 2^n , $n - 1$ divides $2^n - 1$, and $n - 2$ divides $2^n - 2$.

Solution A: Let's enumerate the conditions:

- (1) $n \mid 2^n$.
- (2) $n - 1 \mid 2^n - 1$.
- (3) $n - 2 \mid 2^n - 2$.

Condition (1) is equivalent to n being a power of 2. Let's write $n = 2^k$. Then, conditions (2) and (3) are equivalent to:

- (2) $2^k - 1 \mid 2^{2^k} - 1$.
- (3) $2^{k-1} - 1 \mid 2^{2^k-1} - 1$.

Lemma 1

Let m, i be positive integers. Then,

$$m \mid i \iff 2^m - 1 \mid 2^i - 1.$$

Proof. Since $2^m \equiv 1 \pmod{2^m - 1}$, we conclude that if $i \equiv j \pmod{m}$, then $2^i - 1 \equiv 2^j - 1 \pmod{2^m - 1}$. Furthermore, the integers $2^0 - 1, 2^1 - 1, \dots, 2^{m-1} - 1$ are distinct integers between 0 and $2^m - 2$, so they are in distinct residue classes modulo $2^m - 1$. Therefore,

$$i \equiv j \pmod{m} \iff 2^i - 1 \equiv 2^j - 1 \pmod{2^m - 1},$$

and in particular, the result follows from applying $j = 0$. □

Applying the Lemma, conditions (2) and (3) are equivalent to:

- (2) $k \mid 2^k$.
- (3) $k - 1 \mid 2^k - 1$.

These are the same conditions as (1) and (2) for n ! (2) implies that $k = 2^p$, and (3) implies that

- (3) $p \mid 2^p$,

thus p is a power of 2.

Now, we just need to use the “size” condition. $2^{2^p} = 2^k = n < 10^{100} < 2^{334} < 2^{2^9}$, thus $p < 9$, i.e., $p = 1, 2, 4, 8$ are the possible values of p . The possible values of n are $2^2, 2^{2^2}, 2^{2^4}, 2^{2^8}$.

Equivalently as proving the Lemma as stated, we could have argued that the order of 2 modulo $2^m - 1$ is m . In Algebra 1, one learns the definition of order for an element of any group, which is the smallest number of times you have to repeat the operation (in this case, multiplication) in a given element (in this case, 2) to get the identity. It is an important concept in Elementary Number Theory, and later in Algebra. You can find more about order in Number Theory in [Orders Modulo a Prime](#), by Evan Chen.

Problem 1.2 (Putnam 2010, A1)

Given a positive integer n , what is the largest k such that the numbers $1, 2, \dots, n$ can be put into k boxes so that the sum of the numbers in each box is the same?

Solution A: We claim that the answer is $n/2$, if n is even, and $(n+1)/2$, if n is odd.

Note that there cannot be two boxes with only one number, since all the numbers are distinct. Therefore, if a proper configuration uses k boxes, $k-1$ of those boxes have at least 2 elements. Thus,

$$n \leq 1 + 2(k-1),$$

or equivalently,

$$k \leq (n+1)/2.$$

If n is even, a proper configuration with $n/2$ boxes is

$$\{\{n, 1\}, \{n-1, 2\}, \dots, \{n/2+1, n/2\}\}$$

If n is odd, a proper configuration with $(n+1)/2$ boxes is

$$\{\{n\}, \{n-1, 1\}, \{n-2, 2\}, \dots, \{(n+1)/2, (n-1)/2\}\}$$

Problem 1.3 (Putnam 2013, A1)

Recall that a regular icosahedron is a convex polyhedron having 12 vertices and 20 faces; the faces are congruent equilateral triangles. On each face of a regular icosahedron is written a nonnegative integer such that the sum of all 20 integers is 39. Show that there are two faces that share a vertex and have the same integer written on them.

Solution A: Suppose, by contradiction, that no two faces that share a vertex have the same number.

Suppose 5 faces have the same number. Then, there are 15 pairs of face and vertex such that the vertex is in such face and the face has such number. Therefore, by the pigeonhole principle, since there are only 12 vertices, there exists a vertex with two faces with such

number, a contradiction. Therefore, each number appears at most 4 times.

Therefore, the four smallest numbers are ≤ 0 ; without those, the four new smallest numbers are ≤ 1 ; without those, the four new smallest numbers are ≤ 2 ; without those, the four new smallest numbers are ≤ 3 ; and the four remaining numbers are ≤ 4 . Thus,

$$39 = \text{total sum} \geq 4(0 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4) = 40,$$

a contradiction.

Problem 1.4 (Putnam 2013, B1)

For positive integers n , let the numbers $c(n)$ be determined by the rules $c(1) = 1$, $c(2n) = c(n)$, and $c(2n+1) = (-1)^n c(n)$. Find the value of

$$\sum_{n=1}^{2013} c(n)c(n+2).$$

Solution A:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{2013} c(n)c(n+2) &= \sum_{k=1}^{1006} c(2k)c(2k+2) + \sum_{k=0}^{1006} c(2k+1)c(2k+3) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{1006} c(k)c(k+1) + \left(c(1)c(3) + \sum_{k=1}^{1006} (-1)^k c(k)(-1)^{k+1} c(k+1) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{1006} c(k)c(k+1) + c(1)c(3) - \sum_{k=1}^{1006} c(k)c(k+1) \\ &= -c(1)c(3) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

2 Meeting Notes from 2021-09-15

Problem 2.1 (Putnam 1999, A1)

Find polynomials $f(x)$, $g(x)$, and $h(x)$, if they exist, such that for all x ,

$$|f(x)| - |g(x)| + h(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x < -1 \\ 3x + 2 & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\ -2x + 2 & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Solution A: The polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{2}((3x + 2) - (-1)) = \frac{3}{2}x + \frac{3}{2}, \\ g(x) &= \frac{1}{2}((-2x + 2) - (3x + 2)) = \frac{5}{2}x, \\ h(x) &= -x + \frac{3}{2} \end{aligned}$$

satisfy the requirement.

Problem 2.2 (Putnam 1999, B2)

Let $P(x)$ be a polynomial of degree n such that $P(x) = Q(x)P''(x)$, where $Q(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial and $P''(x)$ is the second derivative of $P(x)$. Show that if $P(x)$ has at least two distinct roots then it must have n distinct roots.

Solution A: If $n \leq 2$, then it always holds that, if $P(x)$ has at least two distinct roots, then it has at least n distinct roots. Suppose that $n > 3$.

We'll equivalently prove that, if $P(x)$ has a root of multiplicity at least 2, then it has a root with multiplicity n .

In other words, suppose $(x - \alpha)^2 \mid P(x)$. We will show that $(x - \alpha)^n \mid P(x)$.

Throughout the solution, we'll use the following theorems.

Lemma 1

If $(x - \alpha)^k \mid P(x)$, then $(x - \alpha)^{k-1} \mid P'(x)$.

Lemma 2

If $(x - \alpha)$ divides $P(x), P'(x), \dots, P^{(k-1)}(x)$, then $(x - \alpha)^k \mid P(x)$.

First, if we compare the leading coefficient in the expression

$$P(x) = Q(x)P''(x),$$

then we conclude the leading coefficient of $Q(x)$ is $\frac{1}{n(n-1)}$.

Suppose $Q(x) \neq \frac{1}{n(n-1)}(x - \alpha)^2$. Then, $(x - \alpha)^2 \mid P(x) = Q(x)P''(x) \implies (x - \alpha) \mid P''(x)$, since the two factors $x - \alpha$ cannot be both in $Q(x)$. By the first lemma, $(x - \alpha) \mid P'(x)$. By the second lemma, $(x - \alpha)^3 \mid P(x)$.

We'll prove, using induction, that $(x - \alpha)^k \mid P(x)$ for any positive integer k .

Suppose $(x - \alpha)^k \mid P(x) = Q(x)P''(x) \implies (x - \alpha)^{k-1} \mid P''(x)$, since the two factors $x - \alpha$ cannot be both in $Q(x)$. By the first lemma, $(x - \alpha)^{k-1} \mid P''(x) \implies (x - \alpha)^{k-2} \mid P^{(3)}(x) \implies \dots \implies (x - \alpha) \mid P^{(k)}(x)$. By the second lemma, $(x - \alpha)^{k+1} \mid P(x)$; which finishes the induction.

This implies that $P(x)$ has a root with multiplicity $n + 1$, which contradicts the fact that the degree of $P(x)$ is n . Therefore, $Q(x) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)}(x - \alpha)^2$.

Let's differentiate the original equation twice:

$$\begin{aligned} P'(x) &= Q(x)P^{(3)}(x) + Q'(x)P''(x) \\ P''(x) &= Q(x)P^{(4)}(x) + 2Q'(x)P^{(3)}(x) + Q''(x)P''(x) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $(x - \alpha)$ divides $Q(x)P^{(4)}(x) + 2Q'(x)P^{(3)}(x)$, therefore, it also must divide $P''(x)(1 - Q''(x)) = P''(x)\left(1 - \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}\right)$. Since $1 - \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \neq 0$, we conclude $(x - \alpha)$ divides $P''(x)$.

In general, using that $Q^{(3)}(x) = 0$, we have

$$P^{(k)} = Q(x)P^{(k+2)}(x) + kQ'(x)P^{(k+1)}(x) + \binom{k}{2}Q''(x)P^{(k)}(x).$$

So we similarly conclude $(x - \alpha)$ divides $\left(1 - \frac{\binom{k}{2}}{\binom{n}{2}}\right)P^{(k)}$, and, as long as $k \neq n$, we conclude that $(x - \alpha)$ divides $P^{(k)}(x)$. Thus, by the second lemma, $(x - \alpha)^n \mid P(x)$, as desired.

Problem 2.3 (Putnam 2014, A1)

Prove that every nonzero coefficient of the Taylor series of

$$(1 - x + x^2)e^x$$

about $x = 0$ is a rational number whose numerator (in lowest terms) is either 1 or a prime number.

Solution A: Since

$$e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - x - x^2)e^x &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n!} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n+2}}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(n-1)!} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(n-2)!} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} x^n \left(\frac{1}{n!} - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} + \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \right) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} x^n \frac{1 - n + n(n-1)}{n!} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} x^n \frac{(n-1)^2}{n!} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} x^n \frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)! \cdot n} \end{aligned}$$

If $n-1$ is prime, we're good. If $n-1 = 4$, then $\frac{4}{3! \cdot 5} = \frac{2}{15}$, so we're good. If $n-1 = p^2$, with $p > 2$, then $n-1 = p^2 \mid p \cdot (2p) \mid (n-2)!$, so the numerator of the fraction is 1. Otherwise, we can find $n-1 > a > b > 1$ such that $n-1 = ab$, therefore $n-1 = ab \mid (n-2)!$ so the numerator is 1.

3 Meeting Notes from 2021-09-22

Problem 3.1

Can three points with integer coordinates in the plane be vertices of an equilateral triangle? What about in three dimensions?

Solution A: Let ABC be an equilateral triangle in the plane so that $A = (a_x, a_y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $B = (b_x, b_y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, and $C = (c_x, c_y)$, with A , B , and C being distinct. Without loss of generality, suppose A , B , C are in counterclockwise order. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(C - A) &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\pi/3) & -\sin(\pi/3) \\ \sin(\pi/3) & \cos(\pi/3) \end{pmatrix} (B - A) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_x - a_x \\ b_y - a_y \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1/2(b_x - a_x) - \sqrt{3}/2(b_y - a_y) \\ \sqrt{3}/2(b_x - a_x) + 1/2(b_y - a_y) \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $A \neq B$, $b_x - a_x \neq 0$ or $b_y - a_y \neq 0$, which implies $C - A \notin \mathbb{Q}^2 \implies C \notin \mathbb{Q}^2 \implies C \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$. Therefore, there is no equilateral triangle in the plane with vertices with integer coordinates.

In three dimensions, the points $(1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0)$, and $(0, 0, 1)$ form an equilateral triangle.

Solution B (using areas): Let's use the same notation as above, and suppose $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. On one hand, using the same notation as above, the area of the triangle ABC is the absolute value of the determinant of

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_x & a_y & 1 \\ b_x & b_y & 1 \\ c_x & c_y & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is a rational number. On the other hand, the area of an equilateral triangle is

$$\frac{\ell^2 \sqrt{3}}{2},$$

where ℓ is the length of the side. Pythagoras' theorem implies that $\ell^2 = |AB|^2$ is an integer, so the area of ABC is an irrational number; a contradiction. Thus, no such triangle exists.

4 Meeting Notes from 2021-09-29

Problem 4.1 (IMO 1975, 4)

When 4444^{4444} is written in decimal notation, the sum of its digits is A . Let B be the sum of the digits of A . Find the sum of the digits of B .

Solution A: Let C be the sum of the digits of B .

First, we will investigate the size of the numbers. Since $0 < 4444^{4444} < 10000^{4444} = 10^{4 \cdot 4444}$, we conclude $0 < A \leq 9 \cdot 4 \cdot 4444$. Since $0 < A < 10^6$, $0 < B \leq 9 \cdot 6 = 36$. Finally, this implies $0 < C \leq 2 + 9 = 11$.

If we write any number n in its decimal representation, i.e., $n = a_0 + a_1 10 + a_2 10^2 + \dots + a_k 10^k$, then we conclude

$$n = a_0 + a_1 10 + a_2 10^2 + \dots + a_k 10^k \equiv a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k \pmod{9}.$$

Therefore, $7 \equiv 4444^{4444} \equiv A \equiv B \equiv C \pmod{9}$. Since $0 < C \leq 11$, C must be 7.

Problem 4.2 (Putnam 2003, B3)

Show that for each positive integer n ,

$$n! = \prod_{i=1}^n \text{lcm}\{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n/i \rfloor\}.$$

(Here lcm denotes the least common multiple, and $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer $\leq x$.)

Sketch A (UNFINISHED SOLUTION): Let $\nu_p(n)$ be the largest α so that $p^\alpha \mid n$.^a In order to show that LHS equals RHS, it suffices to show that $\nu_p(\text{LHS}) = \nu_p(\text{RHS})$ for all primes p .

We know that^b

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_p(n!) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^i} \right\rfloor \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^i} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

We also know that

$$\nu_p \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \text{lcm}\{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n/i \rfloor\} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_p(\text{lcm}\{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n/i \rfloor\}).$$

Finally, recall that $\nu_p(\text{lcm}\{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n/i \rfloor\})$ is the largest number α so that

$$p^\alpha \mid \text{lcm}\{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n/i \rfloor\},$$

which means that, if we set $\alpha = \nu_p(\text{lcm}\{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n/i \rfloor\})$, it holds that

$$p^\alpha \mid \text{lcm}\{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n/i \rfloor\}$$

and

$$p^{\alpha+1} \nmid \text{lcm}\{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n/i \rfloor\}.$$

By definition of least common multiple, we conclude $p^\alpha \mid k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor n/i \rfloor$ and $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor n/i \rfloor$.

By definition of floor, the statement above is equivalent to $p^\alpha \mid k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n/i$ and $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n/i$.

Again, the statement above implies that $1 \leq p^\alpha \leq n/i$, while $n/i < p^{\alpha+1}$, i.e., α is the unique number satisfying

$$\frac{n}{p} < ip^\alpha \leq n$$

^aSee [p-adic order on Wikipedia](#).

^bThis is known as [Legendre's formula](#).

5 Meeting Notes from 2021-10-06

Problem 5.1

Prove that

$$\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{11}\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{11}\right) \cdots \sin\left(\frac{10\pi}{11}\right) = \frac{11}{2^{10}},$$

or more generally, prove that

$$\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right) \cdots \sin\left(\frac{(n-1)\pi}{n}\right) = \frac{n}{2^{n-1}}.$$

Solution A: Recall the formula

$$\sin(\theta) = \frac{1}{2i}(e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{n}\right) &= \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{2i} (e^{ki\pi/n} - e^{-ki\pi/n}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2i)^{n-1}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{ki\pi/n} \right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - e^{-2ki\pi/n}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first product evaluates to $\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{ki\pi/n} = e^{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} ki\pi/n} = e^{(n-1)i\pi/2} = i^{n-1}$.

To calculate the second product, notice each of the $n-1$ distinct terms $e^{-2ki\pi/n}$ is a root of the polynomial $1 + z + z^2 + \cdots + z^{n-1} = \frac{z^n - 1}{z - 1}$. Therefore, we have that

$$\prod_{n=1}^{n-1} (z - e^{-2ki\pi/n}) = 1 + z + z^2 + \cdots + z^{n-1}.$$

By plugging $z \rightarrow 1$ above, we have that $\prod_{n=1}^{n-1} (1 - e^{-2ki\pi/n}) = n$.

Finally, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{n}\right) &= \frac{1}{(2i)^{n-1}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{ki\pi/n} \right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - e^{-2ki\pi/n}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2i)^{n-1}} i^{n-1} n \\ &= \frac{n}{2^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 5.2 (Putnam 2004, A3)

Define a sequence $(u_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by $u_0 = u_1 = u_2 = 1$ and thereafter by the condition that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} u_n & u_{n+1} \\ u_{n+2} & u_{n+3} \end{pmatrix} = n!$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Show that u_n is an integer for all n . (By convention, $0! = 1$.)

Sketch A: One can prove, using induction, that

$$u_{2k} = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1) = \frac{2k!}{2^k k!}$$

and

$$u_{2k+1} = 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdots 2k = 2^k k!$$

which solves the problem.

Problem 5.3 (Putnam 2005, A1)

Show that every positive integer is a sum of one or more numbers of the form $2^r 3^s$, where r and s are nonnegative integers and no summand divides another. (For example, $23 = 9 + 8 + 6$.)

Solution A: If $N = 0$, then we can write 0 as the empty sum.

Suppose $N \geq 1$, and for all $0 \leq n < N$, n can be written as asked.

If N is even, then $0 \leq \frac{N}{2} < N$, so we can write $\frac{N}{2}$ as

$$\frac{N}{2} = 2^{s_1} 3^{r_1} + \cdots + 2^{s_k} 3^{r_k}$$

where no summand divides another, and consequently,

$$N = 2^{s_1+1} 3^{r_1} + \cdots + 2^{s_k+1} 3^{r_k}$$

where no summand divides another.

If N is odd, let α be the largest integer so that $3^\alpha \leq N$. Therefore, $N < 3^{\alpha+1}$, and consequently, $0 \leq \frac{N-3^\alpha}{2} < 3^\alpha \leq N$. Thus, we can write $\frac{N-3^\alpha}{2}$ as

$$\frac{N-3^\alpha}{2} = 2^{s_1} 3^{r_1} + \cdots + 2^{s_k} 3^{r_k}$$

where no summand divides another. Since the number above is smaller than 3^α , all r_i are smaller than α . Consequently, we can write

$$N = 3^\alpha + 2^{s_1+1} 3^{r_1} + \cdots + 2^{s_k+1} 3^{r_k}$$

where no summand divides another (3^α does not divide $2^{s_i+1} 3^{r_i}$ since $r_i < \alpha$; and $2^{s_i+1} 3^{r_i}$ does not divide 3^α since 2 does not divide 3^α).

Therefore, by induction all numbers can be written in such form.

6 Meeting Notes from 2021-10-20

Problem 6.1

Can you show how to express any positive fraction as a sum of distinct positive reciprocal whole numbers? For example, $7/3 = 1/1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + 1/4 + 1/5 + 1/20$.

Solution A: Let's divide the solution into two lemmas.

Lemma

If $\frac{p}{q} < 1$, then there exists a finite subset $I \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ so that

$$\frac{p}{q} = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{i}.$$

Proof. Induction on p . If $p = 0$, set $I = \emptyset$. If $p = 1$, set $I = \{q\}$.

Suppose that $p > 1$, and that, for all rational numbers $\frac{p'}{q}$ with $p' < p$, the statement is true.

Let n be the smallest integer so that

$$np - q \geq 0.$$

By minimality of n , $(n - 1)p - q < 0$, thus $np - q < p$.

Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, there exists a finite subset $I' \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ so that

$$\frac{np - q}{qn} = \sum_{i \in I'} \frac{1}{i}.$$

Notice that, since $np - q < p < q$, $\frac{np - q}{qn} < \frac{1}{n}$, so $n \notin I'$. Therefore, define $I = I' \cup \{n\}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p}{q} &= \frac{pn - q}{qn} + \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \sum_{i \in I'} \frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{i}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Lemma

Any fraction $\frac{p}{q}$ can be written as a sum of distinct positive reciprocal of integers.

Proof. Let k be the largest integer so that

$$x \leq \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k}.$$

(Since the harmonic series tends to infinity, k is well-defined.)

Let $x' = x - \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{2} - \cdots - \frac{1}{k}$. By maximality of k , $x' < \frac{1}{k+1}$.

From the previous lemma, since $x' < \frac{1}{k+1} < 1$, we know that there exists a finite set $I' \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ so that

$$x' = \sum_{i \in I'} \frac{1}{i}.$$

Since $x' < \frac{1}{k+1}$, we conclude that $1, 2, \dots, k$ are not elements of I' . Define $I = \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \cup I'$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k} + x' \\ &= \sum_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}} \frac{1}{i} + \sum_{i \in I'} \frac{1}{i} \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{i}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

7 Meeting Notes from 2021-11-23

Problem 7.1

Find the 2000th digit in the square root of $N = 11 \dots 1$, where N contains 1998 digits, all of them 1's.

Solution A: The answer is 6. We can estimate

$$\sqrt{\frac{10^{1998} - 1}{9}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{10^{1998}}{9}} = \frac{10^{999}}{3}.$$

How good is this estimate?

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{10^{999}}{3} - \sqrt{\frac{10^{1998} - 1}{9}} \right) \left(\frac{10^{999}}{3} + \sqrt{\frac{10^{1998} - 1}{9}} \right) &= \frac{10^{1998}}{9} - \frac{10^{1998} - 1}{9} \\ &= \frac{1}{9}, \end{aligned}$$

thus, by using the estimative $\sqrt{\frac{10^{1998} - 1}{9}} \approx \frac{10^{999}}{3}$,

$$\frac{10^{999}}{3} - \sqrt{\frac{10^{1998} - 1}{9}} \approx \frac{1}{6 \cdot 10^{999}},$$

which sadly implies that our estimative is not good enough to evaluate the 2000th. However, now we have a new estimative:

$$\sqrt{\frac{10^{1998} - 1}{9}} \approx \frac{10^{999}}{3} - \frac{1}{6 \cdot 10^{999}}.$$

Again, how good is this estimative?

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sqrt{\frac{10^{1998} - 1}{9}} - \left(\frac{10^{999}}{3} - \frac{1}{6 \cdot 10^{999}} \right) \right) \left(\sqrt{\frac{10^{1998} - 1}{9}} + \left(\frac{10^{999}}{3} - \frac{1}{6 \cdot 10^{999}} \right) \right) &= \frac{10^{1998} - 1}{9} - \left(\frac{10^{999}}{3} - \frac{1}{6 \cdot 10^{999}} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{36 \cdot 10^{1998}}, \end{aligned}$$

so we know that

$$\sqrt{\frac{10^{1998} - 1}{9}} - \left(\frac{10^{999}}{3} - \frac{1}{6 \cdot 10^{999}} \right) < \frac{1}{10^{1010}},$$

which is good enough to imply that the 2000th digit of $\sqrt{\frac{10^{1998} - 1}{9}}$ is equal to the 2000th digit of $\frac{10^{999}}{3} - \frac{1}{6 \cdot 10^{999}}$, which is 6.