

# BOUNDS ON CODING THEORY FROM ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

GUILHERME ZEUS DANTAS E MOURA

## 1. CODING THEORY

WRITE INTRODUCTION WITH ALICE AND BOB.

**Definition 1.1** (Code). A code  $C$  over an alphabet  $A$  is a subset of  $A^n = A \times \cdots \times A$ . We define  $n$  as the *length* of  $C$ . A code  $C$  over a field  $A$  is a *linear code* if  $C$  is a vector subspace of  $A^n$ . An element of a code  $C$  is called a *code word*.

In this paper,  $A$  is a finite field unless otherwise stated.

**Definition 1.2** (Hamming distance). We define *Hamming distance* between  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in A^n$  as

$$(1.1) \quad \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \#(x_i \neq y_i \mid i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}),$$

in other words, the number of positions  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  differ.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Hamming distance is a metric over  $A^n$ , i.e., the following holds for any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in A^n$ :*

- $\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0 \iff \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ ;
- $\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \text{dist}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ ;
- $\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) + \text{dist}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y})$ .

**Definition 1.4** (Parameters of a code). If  $C$  is a linear code over  $A$ , we define *dimension* of  $C$  as  $k = \dim_A(C)$  and *minimum distance* of  $C$  as  $d = \min \{\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in C\}$ . (If  $C$  is a nonlinear code over an alphabet with size  $q$ , we can coherently define  $k = \log_q |C|$ .) The length  $n$ , dimension  $k$  and minimum distance  $d$  are the *parameters* of  $C$ .

Suppose Alice wants to send a message to Bob through a noisy channel. They previously agree on a choice of code  $C \subset A^n$ , with parameters  $n, k, d$ . Alice will choose one of the  $|A|^k$  code words and send it to Bob. Since the channel is not a perfect medium, some positions of the code may change; however, if less than  $\frac{d}{2}$  of such changes occur, Bob can take the closest code word to the receiving message using Hamming distance and restore the original message.

---

*Date:* last compiled April 6, 2021.

Thus, a good code has two properties: it has large  $d$  with respect to  $n$ , in order to correct as many errors as possible; but also has large  $k$  with respect to  $n$ , so that Alice has a wider variety of possible messages to send and send more information.

**Definition 1.5.** If  $C$  is a code, its code rate is  $R = k/n$  and its relative minimum distance is  $\delta = d/n$ . Note that  $R, \delta \in [0, 1]$ .

Therefore, a good code is one with large  $R$  — not much redundancy — and large  $\delta$  — corrects many errors.

## 2. SINGLETON BOUND AND A PROMISING EXAMPLE

**Theorem 2.1** (Singleton Bound). *If  $C$  is a code with parameters  $n, k, d$ , then*

$$(2.1) \quad k + d \leq n + 1,$$

*or equivalently,*

$$(2.2) \quad R + \delta \leq 1 + 1/n.$$

*Proof.* We will provide the proof for Theorem 2.1 when  $C$  is a linear code.  
WRITE PROOF.  $\square$

**Definition 2.2** (Reed–Solomon Codes). Let  $q$  be a power of a prime, and  $\mathbb{F}_q = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q\}$  the field with  $q$  elements. Let  $k$  be an integer, and  $L_k$  the set of all polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with degree smaller than  $k$ . Let  $k \leq n \leq q$  be an integer. The Reed–Solomon code  $RS_q(n, k)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is

$$(2.3) \quad RS_q(n, k) = \{(f(\alpha_1), f(\alpha_2), \dots, f(\alpha_n)) \mid f \in L_k\}.$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *The Reed–Solomon code  $RS_q(n, k)$  is a linear code with length  $n$ , dimension  $k$  and minimum distance  $n - k + 1$ . Thus, any Reed–Solomon code meets the inequality of the Singleton Bound.*

*Proof.*  $RS_q(n, k)$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , thus it has length  $n$ . Note that  $L_k$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Note that  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{k-1}\}$  is a choice of basis for this vector space, thus it has dimension  $k$ . Consider the map  $\phi : L_k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n$  given by

$$(2.4) \quad f \mapsto (f(\alpha_1), f(\alpha_2), \dots, f(\alpha_n)).$$

Note that the map  $\phi$  is a linear transformation. Thus, its image  $\text{Im } \phi = RS_q(n, k)$  is also a vector space. Additionally, if  $\phi(f) = \phi(g)$ , then  $f - g$  has at least  $n$  roots, but has degree less than  $n$ ; thus  $f - g$  is the zero polynomial, which implies  $f = g$ . Therefore,  $\phi$  is also injective. This implies that the dimension of the domain  $L_k$  is the same as the dimension of the image  $RS_q(n, k)$ , i.e.,  $\dim RS_q(n, k) = k$ .

Finally, consider distinct  $f, g \in L_k$  and define  $d = \text{dist}(\phi(f), \phi(g))$ ,  $f - g$  has at least  $n - d$  roots. Furthermore,  $f - g$  is a non-zero polynomial with

degree less than  $k$ , thus has at most  $k-1$  roots. Then,  $k-1 \geq \# \text{ roots} \geq n-d$ . If we choose  $f, g$  such that  $d$  is the minimal distance, we get  $k + d_{\min} \geq n+1$ , which together with [Singleton Bound](#) implies

$$(2.5) \quad k + d_{\min} = n + 1.$$

□

The Reed–Solomon codes are very good codes in the sense that they have the largest possible sum  $k + d$  for their length  $n$ . However, Reed–Solomon codes are limited because their length is at most the alphabet size. So, a question naturally arises: Given fixed  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , are there codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with arbitrarily large  $n$  and  $R + \delta = 1 + 1/n$ ? If not, how large can  $R$  and  $\delta$  be when  $n$  gets larger? The Gilbert–Varshamov bound shows that there are codes with

$$(2.6) \quad 1 - R \approx q(\delta), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

in which

$$(2.7) \quad q(x) = x \log_q(q-1) - x \log_q(x) - (1-x) \log_q(x).$$

Are there any better codes?

### 3. RATIONAL FUNCTIONS AND DIVISORS

**Definition 3.1** (Rational Function). A rational function  $f$  is a function which is the ratio  $g/h$  of two polynomials. It is homogeneous if  $g, h$  are homogeneous. After cancelling common roots of  $g, h$ , the roots of  $g$  are called *zeros* of  $f$  and the roots of  $h$  are called the *poles* of  $f$ .

We say  $f$  has order  $n$  in  $P$  if  $P$  is a zero of multiplicity  $n$ ; order  $-n$  if  $P$  is a pole with multiplicity  $n$ ; order 0, otherwise.

**Definition 3.2** (Divisor). Let  $F$  be the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $X$  be an irreducible nonsingular projective curve in  $N$ -dimensional projective space over  $F$ . A *divisor* on  $X$  is a formal finite sum of the form  $D = \sum a_P P$ , where  $P$  are points of  $X$ ,  $a_P$  are integers and  $a_P = 0$  for all but finitely many points  $P$ . The *degree* of  $D$  is  $\sum n_P$ . The *support*  $\text{Supp } D$  is the set  $\{P \in X : a_P \neq 0\}$

If  $D = \sum n_P P$ , then define the vector space  $\mathcal{L}(D)$  as the set of all homogeneous rational functions  $f$  such that the order of  $f$  at each point  $P$  of  $X$  is greater or equal to  $n_P$ . For our study, an important theorem is the following:

**Theorem 3.3** (Riemann–Roch Theorem, [3]). *Let  $X$  be a nonsingular projective curve of genus<sup>a</sup>  $g$  defined over the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and let  $D$  be a divisor on  $X$ . Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \dim \mathcal{L}(D) \geq \deg D + 1 - g,$$

*with equality holding if  $\deg D > 2g - 2$ .*

<sup>a</sup>For the reader that is not familiar with genus, it is enough to know that it is an integer that can be calculated for any given curve.

#### 4. GENERALIZED REED–SOLOMON CODES

Let  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$  denote the projective line over  $\mathbb{F}$ . We will write  $(a : b)$  to denote the projective point corresponding to the 1-dimensional vector space through  $(a, b)$ . The points on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$  are the points

$$(4.1) \quad P_i = (\alpha_i : 1), \quad 1 \leq i \leq q,$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad P_\infty = (1 : 0).$$

Following [1], let  $\mathcal{L}_k$  be the set of two-variable homogeneous rational functions which have a pole of order less than  $k$  in the point  $Q$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *The sets  $\mathcal{L}_k$  and  $L_k$  are mapped with a bijection  $\phi : f(x) \mapsto f(x/y)$ .*

*Proof.* WRITE PROOF. □

Then, we can rewrite the Reed–Solomon code from 2.2 as

$$(4.3) \quad RS_q(n, k) = \{f(P_1), f(P_2), \dots, f(P_n) \mid f \in \mathcal{L}_k\}.$$

We shall redefine the Reed–Solomon codes using language related to a projective line. There is a way to replace the “projective line” with a “projective plane curve” and create other codes, called *Generalized Reed–Solomon codes* or simply *algebraic geometric codes*. We want large  $R$  and  $\delta$ , and these codes yield

$$(4.4) \quad R + \delta \geq 1 + 1/n - g/n,$$

where  $n$  is the number of rational points of a curve  $X$ , with genus  $g$ .

#### 5. FINAL THOUGHTS

On equation (4.4), we observe that good algebraic geometric codes are generated by curves with a large ratio between  $n$  and  $g$ . On [2], the authors present a sequence of such curves, with  $n/g$  large enough to create a better bound than the Gilbert–Varshamov one.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. van Lint and T. A. Springer. “Generalized Reed-Solomon codes from algebraic geometry”. In: *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 33.3 (1987), pp. 305–309. DOI: [10.1109/TIT.1987.1057320](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.1987.1057320).
- [2] M. A. Tsfasman, S. G. Vlăduț, and Th. Zink. “Modular curves, Shimura curves, and Goppa codes, better than Varshamov-Gilbert bound”. In: *Math. Nachr.* 109.1 (1982), pp. 21–28. DOI: [10.1002/mana.19821090103](https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.19821090103).
- [3] Judy L. Walker. *Codes and curves*. Vol. 7. Student Mathematical Library. American Mathematical Society, 2000. DOI: [10.1090/stml/007](https://doi.org/10.1090/stml/007).