

TTIC 31230 Fundamentals of Deep Learning

AlphaZero Problems.

Background. A version of AlphaZero can be defined as follows.

To select a move in the game, first construct a search tree over possible moves to evaluate options.

The tree is grown by running “simulations”. Each simulation descends into the tree from the root selecting a move from each position until the selected move has not been explored before. When the simulation reaches an unexplored move it expands the tree by adding a node for that move. Each simulation returns the value $V_\Phi(s)$ for the newly added node s .

Each node in the search tree represents a board position s and stores the following information which can be initialized by running the value and policy networks on position s .

- $V_\Phi(s)$ — the value network value for the position s .
- For each legal move a from s , the policy network probability $\pi_\Phi(s, a)$.
- For each legal move a from s , the number $N(s, a)$ of simulations that have taken move a from s . This is initially zero.
- For each legal move a from s with $N(s, a) > 0$, the average $\hat{\mu}(s, a)$ of the values of the simulations that have taken move a from position s .

In descending into the tree, simulations select the move $\operatorname{argmax}_a U(s, a)$ where we have

$$U(s, a) = \begin{cases} \lambda \pi_\Phi(s, a) & \text{if } N(s, a) = 0 \\ \hat{\mu}(s, a) + \lambda \pi_\Phi(s, a) / N(s, a) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

When the search is completed, we must select a move from the root position. For this we use a post-search stochastic policy

$$\pi_{s_{\text{root}}}(a) \propto N(s_{\text{root}}, a)^\beta \quad (2)$$

where β is temperature hyperparameter.

For training we construct

$$\text{a replay buffer of triples } (s_{\text{root}}, \pi_{s_{\text{root}}}, z) \quad (3)$$

accumulated from self play where s_{root} is a root position from a search during a game, $\pi_{s_{\text{root}}}$ is the post-search policy constructed for s_{root} , and z is the outcome of that game.

Training is then done by SGD on the following objective function.

$$\Phi^* = \underset{\Phi}{\operatorname{argmin}} E_{(s,\pi,z) \sim \text{Replay}, a \sim \pi} \begin{pmatrix} (V_{\Phi}(s) - z)^2 \\ -\lambda_1 \log \pi_{\Phi}(a|s) \\ +\lambda_2 \|\Phi\|^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

Problem 1.

(a) Consider the case of $\lambda < 1$ vs. $\lambda > 1$ in (1). Which value of λ leads to more diversity in the choice of actions during different simulations? Explain your answer.

(b) Which of $\lambda < 1$ or $\lambda > 1$ in (1) is more consistent with viewing $U(s, a)$ as a form of “upper bound” in the upper confidence bound (UCB) bandit algorithm? Explain your answer.

Problem 2 We consider replacing the policy network π_{Φ} with a Q -value network Q_{Φ} so that each node s stores the Q -values $Q_{\Phi}(s, a)$ rather than the policy probabilities $\pi_{\Phi}(s, a)$. We then replace (1) with

$$U(s, a) = \begin{cases} \lambda Q_{\Phi}(s, a) & \text{if } N(s, a) = 0 \\ \hat{\mu}(s, a) + \lambda Q_{\Phi}(s, a)/N(s, a) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1')$$

and leave (2) and (3) unchanged. Rewrite (4) to train $Q_{\Phi}(s, a)$ by minimizing a squared “Bellman Error” between $Q_{\Phi}(s, a)$ and the outcome z over actions drawn from the replay buffer’s stored policy. Presumably this version does not work as well.

Problem 3. We consider replacing the policy network π_{Φ} with an advantage network A_{Φ} so that each node s stores the A -values $A_{\Phi}(s, a)$ rather than the policy probabilities $\pi_{\Phi}(s, a)$. We now have each node s also store $\hat{\mu}(s)$ which equals the average value of the simulations that go through state s . We then replace (1) with

$$U(s, a) = \begin{cases} \lambda A_{\Phi}(s, a) & \text{if } N(s, a) = 0 \\ \hat{\mu}(s, a) + \lambda A_{\Phi}(s, a)/N(s, a) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1')$$

and leave (2) and (3) unchanged. Rewrite (4) to train $A_{\Phi}(s, a)$ by minimizing a squared “Bellman Error” between $A_{\Phi}(s, a)$ and $\hat{A}(s, a)$ defined as follows

$$\hat{A}(s, a) = \begin{cases} A_{\Phi}(s, a) & \text{if } N(s, a) = 0 \\ \hat{\mu}(s, a) - \hat{\mu}(s) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

This presumably also does not work as well.

It is interesting to contemplate the magic of (1) through (4) as used in AlphaZero.