

TTIC 31230 Fundamentals of Deep Learning
Problems For Fundamental Equations.

Assume that probability distributions $P(y)$ are discrete with $\sum_y P(y) = 1$.

Problem 1: The problem of population density estimation is defined by the following equation.

$$\Phi^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Phi} H(\text{Pop}, P_{\Phi}) = E_{y \sim \text{Pop}} - \log P_{\Phi}(y)$$

This equation is used for language modeling — estimating the probability distribution over the population of English sentences that appear, say, in the New York Times. Show the following.

$$\Phi^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Phi} H(\text{Pop}, P_{\Phi}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Phi} KL(\text{Pop}, P_{\Phi})$$

Assuming that the model probability $P_{\Phi}(y)$ can be computed for any given y , but that we have no way of computing $\text{Pop}(y)$ for a given y , explain why gradient descent on the cross-entropy objective can be done while gradient descent on the KL-divergence form is problematic.

Problem 2: Consider the objective

$$P^* = \operatorname{argmin}_P H(P, Q) \tag{1}$$

Define y^* by

$$y^* = \operatorname{argmax}_y Q(y)$$

Let δ_y be the distribution such that $\delta_y(y) = 1$ and $\delta_y(y') = 0$ for $y' \neq y$. Show that δ_{y^*} minimizes (1).

Next consider

$$P^* = \operatorname{argmin}_P KL(P, Q) \tag{2}$$

Show that Q is the minimizer of (2).

Next consider a subset S of the possible values and let Q_S be the restriction of Q to the set S .

$$Q_S(y) = \frac{1}{Q(S)} \begin{cases} Q(y) & \text{for } y \in S \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Show that that $KL(Q_S, Q) = -\ln Q(S)$, which will be quite small if S covers much of the mass. Show that, in contrast, $KL(Q, Q_S)$ is infinite unless $Q_S = Q$.

When we optimize a model P_{Φ} under the objective $KL(P_{\Phi}, Q)$ we can get that P_{Φ} covers only one high probability region (a mode) of Q (a problem called mode collapse) while optimizing P_{Φ} under the objective $KL(Q, P_{\Phi})$ we will tend to

get that P_Φ covers all of Q . The two directions are very different even though both are minimized at $P = Q$.

Problem 3: Consider a joint distribution $P(x, y)$ on discrete random variables x and y . We define the marginal distributions $P(x)$ and $P(y)$ as follows.

$$P(x) = \sum_y P(x, y)$$

$$P(y) = \sum_x P(x, y)$$

Let $Q(x, y)$ be defined to be the product of marginals.

$$Q(x, y) = P(x)P(y).$$

We define mutual information by

$$I(x, y) = KL(P, Q)$$

which I will write as

$$I(x, y) = KL(P(x, y), Q(x, y))$$

We define conditional entropy $H(y|x)$ by

$$H(y|x) = E_{x,y} - \log P(y|x).$$

Show

$$I(x, y) = H(y) - H(y|x) = H(x) - H(x|y)$$

Problem 4: For three distributions P , Q and G show the following equality.

$$KL(P, Q) = \left(E_{y \sim P} \log \frac{G(y)}{Q(y)} \right) + KL(P, G)$$

Show that this implies

$$KL(P, Q) = \sup_G E_{y \sim P} \log \frac{G(y)}{Q(y)}$$

Next define

$$G(y) = \frac{1}{Z} Q(y) e^{s(y)}$$

$$Z = \sum_y Q(y) e^{s(y)}$$

Show that a distribution $G(y)$ which does not assign zero to any point can be represented by a score $s(y)$ and that under this change of variables we have

$$KL(P, Q) = \sup_s E_{y \sim P} s(y) - \log E_{y \sim Q} e^{s(y)}$$

This is the Donsker-Varadhan variational representation of KL-divergence. This can be used in cases where we can sample from P and Q but cannot compute $P(y)$ or $Q(y)$. Instead we can use a model score $s_\Phi(y)$ where $s_\Phi(y)$ can be computed.

Problem 5. Prove the data processing inequality that for any function f with $z = f(y)$ we have $H(z) \leq H(y)$.

Problem 6. This problem uses the definition of $H(x|y)$ and $I(x, y)$ from problem 3. For $z = f(y)$ prove $P(x|z) = E_{y \sim P(y|z)} P(x|y)$. Use this fact and Jensen's inequality to prove $H(x|z) \geq H(x|y)$. Using the results of problem 3, conclude that the mutual information $I(x, z) \leq I(x, y)$.