TTIC 31230 Fundamentals of Deep Learning

Problems for Rate Distortion Autoencoders.

Problem 1 The mutual information between two random variables x and y is defined by

$$I(x,y) = E_{x,y} \ln \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)p(y)} = KL(p(x,y), p(x)p(y))$$

Mutual information has an interpretation as a channel capacity.

- (a) Suppose that we draw a random bit $y \in \{0,1\}$ with P(0) = P(1) = 1/2 and send it across a noisy channel to a receiver who gets $y' = y + \epsilon$ where ϵ is random noise drawn from a Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma)$. Solve for the channel capacity I(y,y') as a function of σ . This channel capacity, when measured in bits, has units of bits received per bit sent.
- (b) Repeat (a) but for $y' = sy + \epsilon$. Here s can be interpreted as the signal strength and s/σ as a signal to noise ratio.

Problem 2. Consider a rate-distortion autoencoder.

$$\Phi^* = \underset{\Phi}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ I_{\Phi}(y, z) + \lambda E_{y \sim \operatorname{Pop}, \ z \sim p_{\Phi}(z|y)} \ \operatorname{Dist}(y, y_{\Phi}(z)).$$

Here $I_{\Phi}(y,z)$ is defined by the distribution where we draw y from Pop and z from $P_{\Phi}(z|y)$. The distribution $p_{\Phi}(z|y)$ is typically defined by $z=z_{\Phi}(y)+\epsilon$ for some form of random noise ϵ .

(a) Starting from the definition of $I_{\Phi}(y,z)$ given in problem 1, show

$$I_{\Phi}(y,z) = E_{y \sim \text{Pop}} KL(p_{\Phi}(z|y), p_{\Phi}(z))$$

where $p_{\Phi}(z) = \sum_{y} \text{Pop}(y) P_{\Phi}(z|y)$.

(b) Show the variational equation

$$I(y,z) = \inf_{q} E_{y \sim \text{Pop}} KL(p_{\Phi}(z|y), q(z)).$$

Hint: It suffices to show

$$I(y, z) \le E_{y \sim \text{Pop}} KL(p_{\Phi}(z|y), q(z))$$

and that there exists a q achieving equality.

Solution:

$$\begin{split} &I_{\Phi}(y,z)\\ &= E_{y\sim\operatorname{Pop}}\ KL(p_{\Phi}(z|y),p_{\Phi}(z))\\ &= E_{y,z\sim\operatorname{P}_{\Phi}(z|y)}\ \left(\ln\frac{p_{\Phi}(z|y)}{q(z)} + \ln\frac{q(z)}{p_{\Phi}(z)}\right)\\ &= E_{y\sim\operatorname{Pop}}\ KL(p_{\Phi}(z|y),q(z)) + \left(E_{y\sim\operatorname{Pop},\ z\sim\operatorname{p}_{\Phi}(z|y)}\ \ln\frac{q(z)}{p_{\Phi}(z)}\right)\\ &= E_{y}\ KL(p_{\Phi}(z|y),q(z)) + E_{z\sim\operatorname{p}_{\Phi}(z)}\ \ln\frac{q(z)}{p_{\Phi}(z)}\\ &= E_{y}\ KL(p_{\Phi}(z|y),q(z)) - KL(p_{\Phi}(z),q(z))\\ &\leq E_{y\sim\operatorname{Pop}}\ KL(p_{\Phi}(z|y),q(z)) \end{split}$$

From part (a) equality is achieved when $q(z) = p_{\Phi}(z)$.

Problem 3. Consider a rate-distortion autoencoder

$$\Phi^*, \Psi^* = \underset{\Phi, \Psi}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ E_{y \sim \operatorname{Pop}} KL(p_{\Phi}(z|y), p_{\Psi}(z)) + \lambda E_{y \sim \operatorname{Pop}, \ z \sim p(z|y)} \ \operatorname{Dist}(y, y_{\Phi}(z)).$$

Define $p_{\Phi}(z|y)$ by $z = z_{\Phi}(y) + \epsilon$ with $z_{\Phi}[y] \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and ϵ drawn uniformly from $[0,1]^d$. In other words, we add noise drawn uniformly from [0,1] to each component of $z_{\Phi}(y)$.

Define $p_{\Psi}(z)$ to be log-uniform in each dimension. More specifically $p_{\Psi}(z)$ is defined by drawing s[i] uniformly from the interval $[1, s_{\text{max}}]$ and then setting $z[i] = e^s$ so that $\ln z[i]$ is uniformly distributed over the interval $[0, s_{\text{max}}]$. This gives

$$dz = e^{s}ds = zds$$

$$dp = \frac{1}{s_{\text{max}}} ds$$

$$p_{\Psi}(z[i]) = \frac{dp}{dz} = \frac{1}{s_{\text{max}}z[i]}$$

Assume That we have that $z_{\Phi}(y) \in [0, e^{s_{\max}-1}]^d$ so that with probability 1 over the draw of $\epsilon P_{\Psi}(z_{\Phi}(y) + \epsilon) > 0$.

(a) For $z \in [z_{\Phi}(y), z_{\Phi}(y) + 1]$ what is $p_{\Phi}(z|y)$?

Solution: 1

(b) Solve for $KL(p_{\Phi}(z|y), p_{\Psi}(z))$ in terms of $z_{\Phi}(y)$ under the above specifications.

Solution:

$$\begin{split} &KL(p_{\Phi}(z|y),p_{\Psi}(z)) \\ &= E_{z \sim P_{\Phi}(z|y)} \, \ln \frac{p_{\Phi}(z_{\Phi}(y)}{p_{\Psi}(z)} \\ &= E_{z \sim P_{\Phi}(z|y)} \, \sum_{i} \ln \frac{1}{1/(s_{\max}z[i])} \\ &= \sum_{i} E_{z[i]} \, \ln(s_{\max}z[i]) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i} \int_{z_{\Phi}(y)[i]}^{z_{\Phi}(y)[i]+1} \ln z \, dz \right) + d \ln s_{\max} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i} [z \ln z]_{z_{\Phi}(y)[i]}^{z_{\Phi}(y)[i]+1} \right) + d \ln s_{\max} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i} \ln(z_{\Phi}(y)[i]+1) + z_{\Phi}(y)[i](\ln(z_{\Phi}(y)[i]+1) - \ln z_{\Phi}(y)[i]) \right) + d \ln s_{\max} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i} \ln(z_{\Phi}(y)[i]+1) + z_{\Phi}(y)[i] \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{z_{\Phi}(y)[i]} \right) \right) + d \ln s_{\max} \\ &\approx \left(\sum_{i} \ln z_{\Phi}(y)[i] \right) + d \ln s_{\max} \quad \text{for } z_{\Phi}(y)[i] >> 1 \end{split}$$

(b) Explain how these specifications model rounding down each number in $z_{\Phi}(y)$ to the nearest integer.