

Regularization of Cardinal B-Spline Curves

jerviedog

February 2, 2018

1 Introduction

This note is about the calculation of the regularization of cardinal b-spline curves involved in the curve fitting problems. It seems convenient to use the vector/matrix representation other than the summation representation. The vector/matrix representation is also more clear, especially when wrap-around operation is needed. Alas, I have made a mistake when using summation representation.

2 The regularization terms

The curve is represented by cardinal b-spline of order k :

$$\mathcal{P} = \sum_j Q_j(t) P_j.$$

where P_j are the control points, and Q_j^k are the cardinal spline basis of order k . The support of Q_j^k is $[j-1, j-1+k)$.

For the simplicity, I consider the 1-dimensional case so I can use vector/matrix representation in a more clear way, and the order superscript k is dropped (order k is assumed unless specified):

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{Q},$$

where $\mathbf{P} = [P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m]^\top$ are control point vector, and $\mathbf{Q} = [Q_1(t), Q_2(t), \dots, Q_m(t)]^\top$ are the cardinal basis vector. The vector/matrix expression for the curve, its first and second derivatives can be found in 3.4.

The regularization terms are F_1 and F_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int \|\mathcal{P}'(t)\|^2 dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \|\mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{M}_1 \mathbf{Q}^{k-1}\|^2 dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{M}_1 \left(\int \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^\top dt \right) \mathbf{M}_1^\top \mathbf{P}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int \|\mathcal{P}''(t)\|^2 dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \|\mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{M}_2 \mathbf{Q}^{k-2}\|^2 dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{M}_2 \left(\int \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^\top dt \right) \mathbf{M}_2^\top \mathbf{P}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the derivatives of F_1 and F_2 with respect to the control points \mathbf{P} can be obtained easily. The extension to 2-dimensional control points and further discussion of the usage of B-spline in image processing can be found in chapter 3 of Blake and Isard's book "Active Contours".

3 Appendix

Here are some relations that would be used in the text.

3.1 Cardinal splines up to order 4

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_1 &= \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \\
 Q_2 &= \begin{cases} x & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ -(x-1) + 1 & 1 \leq x < 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \\
 Q_3 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}x^2 & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ -(x-1)^2 + (x-1) + \frac{1}{2} & 1 \leq x < 2 \\ \frac{1}{2}(x-2)^2 - (x-2) + \frac{1}{2} & 2 \leq x < 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \\
 Q_4 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}x^3 & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2}(x-1)^3 + \frac{1}{2}(x-1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x-1) + \frac{1}{6} & 1 \leq x < 2 \\ \frac{1}{2}(x-2)^3 - (x-2)^2 + \frac{2}{3} & 2 \leq x < 3 \\ -\frac{1}{6}(x-3)^3 + \frac{1}{2}(x-3)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(x-3) + \frac{1}{6} & 3 \leq x < 4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.
 \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Differentiation of cardinal spline basis

$$Q'_{i,k} = Q_{i,k-1} - Q_{i+1,k-1}.$$

3.3 Hodograph

The general way to construct the hodograph of a curve is to use the general differentiation method of the b-spline, c.f., page 115 of de Boor's book. Note that the evaluation range of the hodograph is the same as the original curve, e.g., $[t_k, \dots, t_{m+1}]$, which is different from the range of the hodograph constructed by the method below. We can also make use of the properties of the cardinal splines: We compute the control points of the corresponding hodograph and construct the hodograph with cardinal spline of order $k-1$.

- open curve: Suppose that the curve is represented by k -order cardinal b-spline curve with m control points. The knot sequence is $1, \dots, m+k$. The evaluation span is $[k, m+1]$. The

curve is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} &= \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{Q}_m^k \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ \vdots \\ P_m \end{pmatrix} (Q_1^k, \dots, Q_m^k).\end{aligned}$$

The hodograph is a curve represented by cardinal splines of order $k - 1$:

$$\mathcal{P}' = \mathbf{P}'^\top \mathbf{Q}_{m+1}^{k-1},$$

where

$$\mathbf{P}' = [P_1 - 0, P_2 - P_1, \dots, P_m - P_{m-1}, -P_m]^\top$$

and

$$\mathbf{Q}_{m+1}^{k-1} = [Q_1^{k-1}, \dots, Q_{m+1}^{k-1}]^\top.$$

Or in a more succinct form:

$$\mathcal{P}' = \mathbf{P}^\top \left[(\mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{0}) - (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_m) \right] \mathbf{Q}_{m+1}^{k-1}.$$

The evaluation span is $[k - 1, m + 2]$.

- closed curve: The scenario is similar to that of open curve. Suppose that the curve is represented by k -order cardinal b-spline curve with m control points. Besides these m independent control points, there are $k - 1$ wrapped-around control points. As a result, there are $m + k - 1$ control points. The knot sequence is thus $1, \dots, (m + k - 1) + k$. The evaluation span is $[k, (m + k - 1) + 1]$. The curve is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} &= \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{Q}_{m+k-1}^k \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ \vdots \\ P_m \\ P_1 \\ \vdots \\ P_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} (Q_1^k, \dots, Q_{m+k-1}^k) \\ &= \mathbf{P}^\top (\mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{k-1}) \mathbf{Q}_{m+k-1}^k\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding hodograph is:

$$\mathcal{P}' = \mathbf{P}'^\top \mathbf{Q}_{m+k-1}^{k-1},$$

where

$$\mathbf{P}' = [P_1 - P_m, P_2 - P_1, \dots, P_m - P_{m-1}, P_1 - P_m, P_2 - P_1, \dots, P_{k-1} - P_{k-2}]^\top$$

and

$$\mathbf{Q}_{m+k-1}^{k-1} = [Q_1^{k-1}, \dots, Q_{m+k-1}^{k-1}]^\top.$$

Or in a more succinct form:

$$\mathcal{P}' = \mathbf{P}^\top \left[(\mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{k-1}) - (\mathbf{e}_m, \mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{k-2}) \right] \mathbf{Q}_{m+k-1}^{k-1}.$$

The evaluation span is $[k - 1, (m + k - 1) + 1]$.

3.4 Differentiation of cardinal spline curves

The above discussion can be put in this summary:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} P_1, \\ P_2, \\ \vdots, \\ P_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

The curve itself:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{open} &= \mathcal{P}_o = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{Q}_m^k, \\ \mathcal{P}_{closed} &= \mathcal{P}_c = \mathbf{P}^\top (\mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{k-1}) \mathbf{Q}_{m+k-1}^k \\ &= \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{M}_{0c} \mathbf{Q}_{m+k-1}^k. \end{aligned}$$

First derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}'_o &= \mathbf{P}^\top \left[(\mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{0}) - (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_m) \right] \mathbf{Q}_{m+1}^{k-1} \\ &= \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{M}_{1o} \mathbf{Q}_{m+1}^{k-1}, \\ \mathcal{P}'_c &= \mathbf{P}^\top \left[(\mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{k-1}) - (\mathbf{e}_m, \mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{k-2}) \right] \mathbf{Q}_{m+k-1}^{k-1} \\ &= \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{M}_{1c} \mathbf{Q}_{m+k-1}^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Second derivative :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}''_o &= \mathbf{P}^\top \left\{ \left[(\mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) - (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{0}) \right] - \left[(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{0}) - (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_m) \right] \right\} \mathbf{Q}_{m+2}^{k-2} \\ &= \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{M}_{2o} \mathbf{Q}_{m+2}^{k-2}, \\ \mathcal{P}''_c &= \mathbf{P}^\top \left\{ \left[(\mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{k-1}) - (\mathbf{e}_m, \mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{k-2}) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left[(\mathbf{e}_m, \mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{k-2}) - (\mathbf{e}_{m-1}, \mathbf{e}_m, \mathbf{I}_m, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{k-3}) \right] \right\} \mathbf{Q}_{m+k-1}^{k-2} \\ &= \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{M}_{2c} \mathbf{Q}_{m+k-1}^{k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

The evaluation range can be inferred by the superscript and subscript of \mathbf{Q}_m^k : $[k, m + k - k + 1]$, where m is the number of control points, $m + k$ is the number of knots in the knot sequence, and k is order.

3.5 Others

Reorganization of vector of this form $[P_1, \dots, P_m, 0]$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ \vdots \\ P_m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0, \dots, 0 \\ 0, 1, \dots, 0 \\ \vdots, \vdots, \ddots, \vdots \\ 0, 0, \dots, 1 \\ 0, 0, \dots, 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ \vdots \\ P_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{P},$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ \vdots \\ P_m \\ P_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0, \dots, 0 \\ 0, 1, \dots, 0 \\ \vdots, \vdots, \ddots, \vdots \\ 0, 0, \dots, 1 \\ 1, 0, \dots, 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ \vdots \\ P_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m \\ \mathbf{e}_1^\top \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}.$$