

1-

	diameter	Cost per wafer	Dies per wafer	Defects/cm ²
Wafer-X	16cm	15	64	0.02
Wafer-Y	20cm	24	100	0.03

6

A) Wafer Area = $\pi \times (\text{diameter} / 2)^2$

↳ for Wafer-X = $\pi \times (16/2)^2 = \pi \times 64 = 201.06 \text{ cm}^2$

↳ for Wafer-Y = $\pi \times (20/2)^2 = \pi \times 100 = 314.15 \text{ cm}^2$

Die Area = Wafer area / dies per wafer

↳ for Wafer-X = $201.06 / 64 = 3.14 \text{ cm}^2$

↳ for Wafer-Y = $314.15 / 100 = 3.14 \text{ cm}^2$

B) Yield = $\frac{1}{(1 + (\text{Defects per area} \times \text{Die area} / 2))^2}$

↳ for Wafer-X = $\frac{1}{(1 + (0.02 \times (3.14/2)))^2} = 0.940$

↳ for Wafer-Y = $\frac{1}{(1 + (0.03 \times (3.14/2)))^2} = 0.912$

Cost per die = $\frac{\text{Cost per wafer}}{\text{Dies per wafer} \times \text{yield}}$

↳ for Wafer-X = $\frac{15}{64 \times 0.940} = 0.24$

↳ for Wafer-Y = $\frac{24}{100 \times 0.912} = 0.26$

c) Wafer cost decreases by 20%

Dies per wafer increased by 10%

Defects per area unit increased by 15%

↳ For Wafer-X;

$$\text{Cost per wafer} = 15 - \frac{15 \cdot 20}{100} = 12$$

$$\text{Dies per wafer} = 64 + \frac{64 \cdot 10}{100} = 70,4$$

$$\text{Defects per area} = 0,02 + \frac{0,02 \times 15}{100} = 0,023$$

$$\text{Wafer area} = \pi \times (16/2)^2 = \pi \times 64 = 201,06 \text{ cm}^2$$

$$\text{Die area} = 201,06 / 70,4 = 2,85 \text{ cm}^2$$

$$\text{Yield} = \frac{1}{(1 + (0,023 \times (2,85/2)))^2} = 0,937$$

$$\text{Cost per die} = \frac{12}{70,4 \times 0,937} = 0,18$$

→ So, the wafer-X cost per die is decreases by 25% according to the before year.

↳ For Wafer-Y;

$$\text{Cost per wafer} = 24 - \frac{24 \cdot 20}{100} = 19,2$$

$$\text{Dies per wafer} = 100 + \frac{100 \cdot 10}{100} = 110$$

$$\text{Defects per area} = 0,03 + \frac{0,03 \times 15}{100} = 0,034$$

$$\text{Wafer area} = \pi \times (20/2)^2 = \pi \times 100 = 314,15 \text{ cm}^2$$

$$\text{Die area} = 314,15 / 110 = 2,85 \text{ cm}^2$$

$$\text{Yield} = \frac{1}{(1 + (0,034 \times (2,85/2)))^2} = 0,909$$

$$\text{Cost per die} = \frac{19,2}{110 \times 0,909} = 0,19$$

→ So, the wafer-Y cost per die is decreases by 26,9% according to the before year.

2- The clock rate of P1 is 3 GHz and P2 is 1.5 GHz.

Given a program that has one billion instructions divided into classes as follows: 30% R-type, 50% I-type, 20% J-type.

Required cycles	R type	I type	J type
P1	2	4	3
P2	3	3	3

6,

A) Clock cycles

$$\hookrightarrow \text{For } P1 = 2 \times \frac{30}{100} \times 10^9 + 4 \times \frac{50}{100} \times 10^9 + 3 \times \frac{20}{100} \times 10^9$$
$$= 6 \times 10^8 + 20 \times 10^8 + 6 \times 10^8 = 32 \times 10^8$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{For } P2 = 3 \times \frac{30}{100} \times 10^9 + 3 \times \frac{50}{100} \times 10^9 + 3 \times \frac{20}{100} \times 10^9$$
$$= 9 \times 10^8 + 15 \times 10^8 + 6 \times 10^8 = 30 \times 10^8$$

B) Average clock cycles per instruction (CPI)

$$CPI = \frac{\text{CPU clock cycles}}{\text{Instruction count}}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{For } P1 = \frac{32 \times 10^8}{10^9} = 3.2$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{For } P2 = \frac{30 \times 10^8}{10^9} = 3$$

$$c) \text{ Execution time} = \frac{\text{Instruction count} \times CPI}{\text{Clock rate}}, \quad 3 \text{ GHz} = 3 \times 10^9 \text{ Hz}$$
$$1.5 \text{ GHz} = 1.5 \times 10^9 \text{ Hz}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{For } P1 = \frac{10^9 \times 3.2}{3 \times 10^9} = 1.06$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{For } P2 = \frac{10^9 \times 3}{1.5 \times 10^9} = 2$$

$$D) \frac{2}{1.06} = 1.88$$

→ P1 is faster than P2 by 1.88 times.