

Appendix B

Coated Sphere

As might be expected, adding a coating to a homogeneous sphere leads to several new computational problems, not all of which we were able to completely solve. As a consequence, BHCOAT, though similar in many ways to BHMIE, does not have as wide a range of applicability, and it should be used with more caution. The reasons for this will be discussed in the following paragraphs.

The mathematical form of all the scattering functions for a coated sphere—efficiencies and matrix elements—have the same form as those for a homogeneous sphere. Only the scattering coefficients (8.2) are different; these may be written in a form more suitable for computations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_n &= \frac{\{\tilde{D}_n/m_2 + n/y\}\psi_n(y) - \psi_{n-1}(y)}{\{\tilde{D}_n/m_2 + n/y\}\xi_n(y) - \xi_{n-1}(y)}, \\
 b_n &= \frac{\{m_2\tilde{G}_n + n/y\}\psi_n(y) - \psi_{n-1}(y)}{\{m_2\tilde{G}_n + n/y\}\xi_n(y) - \xi_{n-1}(y)}, \\
 \tilde{D}_n &= \frac{D_n(m_2y) - A_n\chi'_n(m_2y)/\psi_n(m_2y)}{1 - A_n\chi_n(m_2y)/\psi_n(m_2y)}, \\
 \tilde{G}_n &= \frac{D_n(m_2y) - B_n\chi'_n(m_2y)/\psi_n(m_2y)}{1 - B_n\chi_n(m_2y)/\psi_n(m_2y)}, \\
 A_n &= \psi_n(m_2x) \frac{mD_n(m_1x) - D_n(m_2x)}{mD_n(m_1x)\chi_n(m_2x) - \chi'_n(m_2x)}, \\
 B_n &= \psi_n(m_2x) \frac{mD_n(m_2x) - D_n(m_1x)}{m\chi'_n(m_2x) - D_n(m_1x)\chi_n(m_2x)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

D_n is the logarithmic derivative ψ'_n/ψ_n and m is m_2/m_1 . Because of the relation

$$\frac{1}{\psi_n} = \chi_n D_n - \chi'_n,$$

which follows from the Wronskian (4.60), only three of the four functions ψ_n , χ_n , χ'_n , D_n are independent. We also have

$$\chi'_n(z) = \chi_{n-1}(z) - \frac{n\chi_n(z)}{z}.$$

A_n and B_n depend on the size parameter x of the *inner*, or core, sphere only; they are independent of the thickness of the coating. Moreover, they are similar in form to the scattering coefficients for a homogeneous sphere with size parameter x . Therefore, it is reasonable to expect that we need not compute A_n and B_n for orders n appreciably larger than x . Convergence of the series for efficiencies and matrix elements, however, is determined by the size parameter y of the *outer* sphere. Therefore, if y is much greater than x , A_n and B_n will be computed well beyond the range where they are needed unless special care is taken. If this were merely inefficient, it would be tolerable. But, as we pointed out in Appendix A, one cannot expect to reliably compute by upward recurrence Bessel functions of order much larger than their argument. We have included, therefore, four tests in BHCOAT: if all the inequalities

$$\text{DEL}|D_n(m_2 y)| > |A_n \chi'_n(m_2 y)/\psi_n(m_2 y)| \quad \text{and} \quad |B_n \chi'_n(m_2 y)/\psi_n(m_2 y)|$$

$$\text{DEL} > |A_n \chi_n(m_2 y)/\psi_n(m_2 y)| \quad \text{and} \quad |B_n \chi_n(m_2 y)/\psi_n(m_2 y)|$$

are satisfied for some index n , then \tilde{D}_n and \tilde{G}_n are set equal to $D_n(m_2 y)$ for all successive indices; note that when this occurs, the scattering coefficients are identical with those for a homogeneous sphere with size parameter y and relative refractive index m_2 . The inner sphere convergence criterion DEL is 10^{-8} in BHCOAT; it can, of course, be changed.

The fact that the contributions from the inner sphere to the scattering coefficients converge more rapidly than those associated with the outer sphere is merely a nuisance which is easily brushed aside. A more serious obstacle—one which we failed to hurdle—to writing an “explosion-proof” program for an *arbitrary* coated sphere is that the scattering coefficients cannot be put in a form that avoids computing excessively large numbers. The scattering coefficients for a homogeneous sphere can be written in such a way that functions of complex arguments are ratios—the logarithmic derivative—and the Riccati-Bessel functions have *real* arguments. This cannot be done with the coated sphere: we must, in general, compute functions $\psi_n(z)$ and $\chi_n(z)$ of the *complex* variable $z = z_r + iz_i$. That this can lead to difficulties is easily demonstrated. Consider the zero-order function with which upward recurrence is begun:

$$\chi_0(z) = \cos z = \frac{e^{z_i} + e^{-z_i}}{2} \cos z_r - i \frac{e^{z_i} - e^{-z_i}}{2} \sin z_r.$$

Because of the factor $\exp(z_i)$ it is always possible to generate numbers that exceed the limits of any computer if the particle is sufficiently large and absorbing. For a given computer it is difficult to set precise upper bounds on the imaginary parts of the arguments of the functions computed in BHCOAT: although the zero-order functions might not exceed the limits of the computer, successive higher-order functions computed by upward recurrence might. We recommend that BHCOAT not be used if any of the imaginary parts of m_1x , m_2x , or m_2y exceeds 30. This is only a rough guide, however; we strongly urge the user to do a bit of experimenting before accepting as correct any numbers produced by BHCOAT.

The convergence criterion in BHCOAT is the same as that in BHMIE: series are terminated after $y + 4y^{1/3} + 2$ terms. Unlike BHMIE, however, all functions, including logarithmic derivatives, are computed by upward recurrence: it seemed pointless to compute these derivatives by downward recurrence when they are not the major obstacle to writing a program valid for an arbitrary coated sphere.

Tests of BHCOAT We subjected BHCOAT to the same tests as BHMIE. In addition, BHCOAT agrees with BHMIE when $m_1 = m_2$. We found that the asymptotic limit (4.83) for the backscattering efficiency was also a sensitive indicator of the health of the coated sphere program. The composition and size of the core is irrelevant if almost all the incident light is absorbed in the coating. On *physical* grounds, therefore, we expect Q_b for large y to be approximately equal to the reflectance of a slab with refractive index m_2 if the coating is sufficiently thick and absorbing; this was verified by computations.

As a further test we compared efficiencies computed by BHCOAT with those given by Fenn and Oser (1965); there was good agreement in all instances.

```

1      PROGRAM COAT (INPUT=TTY,OUTPUT=TTY,TAPE5=TTY)
2 C      *****
3 C      COAT IS THE CALLING PROGRAM FOR BHCOAT, THE SUBROUTINE
4 C      THAT CALCULATES EFFICIENCIES FOR A COATED SPHERE.
5 C      FOR GIVEN RADII AND REFRACTIVE INDICES OF INNER AND
6 C      OUTER SPHERES, REFRACTIVE INDEX OF SURROUNDING
7 C      MEDIUM, AND FREE SPACE WAVELENGTH, COAT CALCULATES SIZE
8 C      PARAMETERS AND RELATIVE REFRACTIVE INDICES
9 C      *****
10 C
11 C      *****CAUTION*****
12 C
13 C      BHCOAT SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR LARGE, HIGHLY ABSORBING
14 C      COATED SPHERES
15 C      X*REFIM1, X*REFIM2, AND Y*REFIM2 SHOULD BE LESS THAN ABOUT 30
16 C
17 C      *****CAUTION*****
18 C
19 C
20      COMPLEX RFREL1,RFREL2
21      WRITE (5,11)
22 C      *****
23 C      REFMED = (REAL) REFRACTIVE INDEX OF SURROUNDING MEDIUM
24 C      *****
25      REFMED=1.0
26 C      *****
27 C      REFRACTIVE INDEX OF CORE = REFRE1 + I*REFIM1
28 C      REFRACTIVE INDEX OF COAT = REFRE2 + I*REFIM2
29 C      *****
30      REFRE1=1.59
31      REFIM1=.66
32      REFRE2=1.409
33      REFIM2=.1747
34 C      *****
35 C      RADCOR = RADIUS OF CORE
36 C      RADCOT = RADIUS OF COAT
37 C      RADCOR, RADCOT, WAVEL SAME UNITS
38 C      *****
39      RADCOR=.171
40      RADCOT=6.265
41      WAVEL=3.
42      WRITE (5,12) REFMED,REFRE1,REFIM1,REFRE2,REFIM2
43      WRITE (5,13) RADCOR,RADCOT,WAVEL
44      RFREL1=CMPLX(REFRE1,REFIM1)/REFMED
45      RFREL2=CMPLX(REFRE2,REFIM2)/REFMED
46      PI=3.14159265
47      X=2.*PI*RADCOR*REFMED/WAVEL
48      Y=2.*PI*RADCOT*REFMED/WAVEL
49      WRITE (5,14) X,Y
50      CALL BHCOAT (X,Y,RFREL1,RFREL2,QEXT,QSCA,QBACK)
51      WRITE (5,67) QSCA,QEXT,QBACK
52      11 FORMAT ("COATED SPHERE SCATTERING PROGRAM"//)
53      12 FORMAT (/5X,"REFMED = ",F8.4/5X,"REFRE1 =",E14.6,
54      13X,"REFIM1 =",E14.6/5X,"REFRE2 =",E14.6,3X,"REFIM2 =",E14.6)
55      13 FORMAT (5X,"CORE RADIUS =",F7.3,3X,"COAT RADIUS =",F7.3/
56      15X,"WAVELENGTH =",F7.4)
57      14 FORMAT (5X,"CORE SIZE PARAMETER = ",F8.3,3X,"COAT SIZE"
58      1" PARAMETER =",F8.3)
59      67 FORMAT (/1X,"QSCA =",E13.6,3X,"QEXT =",E13.6,3X,
60      1"QBACK =",E13.6//)

```

```

61      STOP
62      END
63      SUBROUTINE BHCOAT (X,Y,RFREL1,RFREL2,QEXT,QSCA,QBACK)
64 C      *****
65 C      SUBROUTINE BHCOAT CALCULATES EFFICIENCIES FOR
66 C      EXTINCTION, TOTAL SCATTERING, AND BACKSCATTERING
67 C      FOR GIVEN SIZE PARAMETERS OF CORE AND COAT AND
68 C      RELATIVE REFRACTIVE INDICES
69 C      ALL BESSEL FUNCTIONS COMPUTED BY UPWARD RECURRENCE
70 C      *****
71      COMPLEX RFREL1,RFREL2,X1,X2,Y2,REFREL
72      COMPLEX D1X1,D0X1,D1X2,D0X2,D1Y2,D0Y2
73      COMPLEX X10Y,X11Y,X1Y,CHI0Y2,CHI1Y2,CHIY2,CHI0X2,CHI1X2,CHIX2
74      COMPLEX CHIPX2,CHIPY2,ANCAP,BNCAP,DNBAR,GNBAR,AN,BN,CRACK,BRACK
75      COMPLEX XBACK,AMESS1,AMESS2,AMESS3,AMESS4
76      DEL=1.0E-8
77 C      *****
78 C      DEL IS THE INNER SPHERE CONVERGENCE CRITERION
79 C      *****
80      X1=RFREL1*X
81      X2=RFREL2*X
82      Y2=RFREL2*Y
83      YSTOP = Y + 4.*Y**.3333 + 2.
84      REFREL=RFREL2/RFREL1
85      NSTOP=YSTOP
86 C      *****
87 C      SERIES TERMINATED AFTER NSTOP TERMS
88 C      *****
89      D0X1=CCOS(X1)/CSIN(X1)
90      D0X2=CCOS(X2)/CSIN(X2)
91      D0Y2=CCOS(Y2)/CSIN(Y2)
92      PS10Y=COS(Y)
93      PS11Y=SIN(Y)
94      CHI0Y=-SIN(Y)
95      CHI1Y=COS(Y)
96      X10Y=CMPLX(PS10Y,-CHI0Y)
97      X11Y=CMPLX(PS11Y,-CHI1Y)
98      CHI0Y2=-CSIN(Y2)
99      CHI1Y2=CCOS(Y2)
100     CHI0X2=-CSIN(X2)
101     CHI1X2=CCOS(X2)
102     QSCA=0.0
103     QEXT=0.0
104     XBACK=CMPLX(0.0,0.0)
105     N=1
106     IFLAG=0
107     200 RN=N
108     PS1Y=(2.*RN-1.)*PS11Y/Y-PS10Y
109     CHIY=(2.*RN-1.)*CHI1Y/Y-CHI0Y
110     X1Y=CMPLX(PS1Y,-CHIY)
111     D1Y2=1./(RN/Y2-D0Y2)-RN/Y2
112     IF (IFLAG.EQ.1) GO TO 999
113     D1X1=1./(RN/X1-D0X1)-RN/X1
114     D1X2=1./(RN/X2-D0X2)-RN/X2
115     CHIX2=(2.*RN-1.)*CHI1X2/X2-CHI0X2
116     CHIY2=(2.*RN-1.)*CHI1Y2/Y2-CHI0Y2
117     CHIPX2=CHI1X2-RN*CHIX2/X2
118     CHIPY2=CHI1Y2-RN*CHIY2/Y2
119     ANCAP=REFREL*D1X1-D1X2
120     ANCAP=ANCAP/(REFREL*D1X1*CHIX2-CHIPX2)

```

```

121     ANCAP=ANCAP/(CHIX2**D1X2-CHIPX2)
122     BRACK=ANCAP*(CHIY2**D1Y2-CHIPY2)
123     BNCAP=REFREL*D1X2-D1X1
124     BNCAP=BNCAP/(REFREL*CHIPX2-D1X1*CHIX2)
125     BNCAP=BNCAP/(CHIX2**D1X2-CHIPX2)
126     CRACK=BNCAP*(CHIY2**D1Y2-CHIPY2)
127     AMESS1=BRACK*CHIPY2
128     AMESS2=BRACK*CHIY2
129     AMESS3=CRACK*CHIPY2
130     AMESS4=CRACK*CHIY2
131     IF(CABS(AMESS1).GT.DEL*CABS(D1Y2)) GO TO 999
132     IF(CABS(AMESS2).GT.DEL) GO TO 999
133     IF(CABS(AMESS3).GT.DEL*CABS(D1Y2)) GO TO 999
134     IF(CABS(AMESS4).GT.DEL) GO TO 999
135     BRACK=CMPLX(0.0,0.0)
136     CRACK=CMPLX(0.0,0.0)
137     IFLAG=1
138 999  DNBAR=D1Y2-BRACK*CHIPY2
139     DNBAR=DNBAR/(1.-BRACK*CHIY2)
140     GNBAR=D1Y2-CRACK*CHIPY2
141     GNBAR=GNBAR/(1.-CRACK*CHIY2)
142     AN=(DNBAR/RFREL+RN/Y)*PSIY-PSI1Y
143     AN=AN/((DNBAR/RFREL2+RN/Y)*XIY-XI1Y)
144     BN=(RFREL2*GNBAR+RN/Y)*PSIY-PSI1Y
145     BN=BN/((RFREL2*GNBAR+RN/Y)*XIY-XI1Y)
146     QSCA=QSCA+(2.*RN+1.)*(CABS(AN)*CABS(AN)+CABS(BN)*CABS(BN))
147     XBACK=XBACK+(2.*RN+1.)*(-1.)*N*(AN-BN)
148     QEXT=QEXT+(2.*RN+1.)*(REAL(AN)+REAL(BN))
149     PSI0Y=PSI1Y
150     PSI1Y=PSIY
151     CHI0Y=CHI1Y
152     CHI1Y=CHIY
153     XI1Y=CMPLX(PSI1Y,-CHI1Y)
154     CHI0X2=CHI1X2
155     CHI1X2=CHIX2
156     CHI0Y2=CHI1Y2
157     CHI1Y2=CHIY2
158     D0X1=D1X1
159     D0X2=D1X2
160     D0Y2=D1Y2
161     N=N+1
162     IF(N-1-NSTOP) 200,300,300
163 300  QSCA=(2./(Y**Y))*QSCA
164     QEXT=(2./(Y**Y))*QEXT
165     QBACK=XBACK*CONJG(XBACK)
166     QBACK=(1./(Y**Y))*QBACK
167     RETURN
168     END

```

SHELL

COATED SPHERE SCATTERING PROGRAM

```
REFMED = 1.0000
REFRE1 = .159000E+01  REFIM1 = .660000E+00
REFRE2 = .140900E+01  REFIM2 = .174700E+00
CORE RADIUS = .171  COAT RADIUS = 6.265
WAVELENGTH = 3.0000
CORE SIZE PARAMETER = .358  COAT SIZE PARAMETER = 13.121
QSCA = .114341E+01  QEXT = .232803E+01  QBACK = .285099E-01
```