

作业1

Prove Carathéodory's Theorem (extension theorem)

Assume that \mathcal{E} is a semiring on Ω : $\mu(\cdot): \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an additive function, in other words:

$$(1) \mu(\emptyset) = 0; \quad (2) \forall A_n \in \mathcal{E}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{E}, \mu\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$$

Then: (1) μ has an extension measure $\bar{\mu}$ on $(\Omega, \sigma(\Omega))$ s.t. $\bar{\mu}|_{\mathcal{E}} = \mu$

(2) If μ is a σ -finite function: $\Omega = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n, A_n \in \mathcal{E}, \mu(A_n) < \infty$

$\forall n \geq 1$. Then $\bar{\mu}$ is an unique extension

Proof:

Lemma 1: If $\mu^*(A) \triangleq \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) : A_n \in \mathcal{E}, A \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right\} \quad \forall A \in \Omega$

Then (i) $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$

(ii) $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \Rightarrow \mu^*(A_1) \leq \mu^*(A_2)$

(iii) $\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_n), \quad \forall A_n \subseteq \Omega$

(iv) $\mu^*|_{\mathcal{E}} = \mu$

(i) (ii) trivial, omitted

(iii) Let $\epsilon > 0$, for each j , there exists a covering $\{B_{jk}\}$ of A_j

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_k \mu(B_{jk}) \leq \mu^*(A_j) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

The double sequence $\{B_{jk}\}$ is a covering of $\bigcup_j A_j$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_j \sum_k u(B_{jk}) \leq \sum_j u^*(A_j) + \delta$$

Hence for any $\delta > 0$

$$u^*\left(\bigcup_j A_j\right) \leq \sum_j u^*(A_j) + \delta$$

that establishes (iii) for u^* , since δ is arbitrary small

(iv) By (iii) we know u^* is an outer measure, so a class of sets \mathcal{F}^* is associated as follows:

A set $A \subseteq \Omega$ belongs to \mathcal{F}^* iff for every $Z \subseteq \Omega$ we have

$$u^*(Z) = u^*(A \cap Z) + u^*(A^c \cap Z)$$

If in (iii) we change "=" into " \leq " the resulting inequality holds

by Lemma 1. Hence (iii) is equivalent to the reverse inequality

when "=" is changed to " \geq "

Lemma 2: Define $\mathcal{F}^* \triangleq \{A \subseteq \Omega : u^*(B) = u^*(A \cap B) + u^*(A^c \cap B) \forall B \subseteq \Omega\}$

Then: (i) \mathcal{F}^* is a σ -algebra on Ω

$$(ii) E \subseteq \mathcal{F}^* \Rightarrow \sigma(E) \subseteq \mathcal{F}^*$$

$$(iii) u^* \text{ is a measure on } \mathcal{F}^*$$

Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_0$. For any $Z \subseteq \Omega$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a covering

$\{B_j\}$ of Z such that

$$\sum_j \mu(B_j) \leq \mu^*(Z) + \varepsilon$$

Since $A \cap B_j \in \mathcal{F}_0$, $\{A \cap B_j\}$ is a covering of AZ . $\{A^c B_j\}$ is a covering of $A^c Z$

Hence

$$\mu^*(AZ) \leq \sum_j \mu(A \cap B_j) \quad \mu^*(A^c Z) \leq \sum_j \mu(A^c B_j)$$

Since μ is a measure on \mathcal{F}_0 , we have for each j :

$$\mu(A \cap B_j) + \mu(A^c B_j) = \mu(B_j)$$

It follows from

$$\mu^*(AZ) + \mu^*(A^c Z) \leq \mu^*(Z) + \varepsilon$$

Letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ establishes the criterion (iii) in it's " \geq " form

Thus $A \in \mathcal{F}^*$ and we have proved that $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}^*$

To prove that \mathcal{F}^* is a Borel Field, it is trivial that it is closed under complementation because the criterion is unaltered when A is changed into A^c .

Next, to show that \mathcal{F}^* is closed under union, let $A \in \mathcal{F}^*$

and $B \in \mathcal{F}^*$. Then for any $Z \subseteq \Omega$, we have by (iii) with A

replaced by B and replaced by ZA or ZA^c :

$$\mu^*(ZA) = \mu^*(ZAB) + \mu^*(ZAB^c)$$

$$\mu^*(ZA^c) = \mu^*(ZA^cB) + \mu^*(ZA^cB^c)$$

Hence by (iii) again can be written:

$$\mu^*(Z) = \mu^*(ZAB) + \mu^*(ZAB^c) + \mu^*(ZA^cB) + \mu^*(ZA^cB^c)$$

Applying (iii) with Z replaced by $Z(A \cup B)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(Z(A \cup B)) &= \mu^*(Z(A \cup B)A) + \mu^*(Z(A \cup B)A^c) \\ &= \mu^*(ZA) + \mu^*(ZA^cB) \\ &= \mu^*(ZAB) + \mu^*(ZAB^c) + \mu^*(ZA^cB) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the two preceding equations we see that

$$\mu^*(Z) = \mu^*(Z(A \cup B)) + \mu^*(Z(A \cup B)^c)$$

Hence $A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}^*$, and we have proved that \mathcal{F}^* is a field

Now let $\{A_j\}$ be an infinite sequence of sets in \mathcal{F}^* , put

$$B_1 = A_1, \quad B_j = A_j \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} A_i \right) \text{ for } j \geq 2$$

Then $\{B_j\}$ is a sequence of disjoint sets in \mathcal{F}^* (because \mathcal{F}^* is a field)

and has the same union as $\{A_j\}$. For any $Z \in \Sigma$, we have for each

$n \geq 1$:

$$\mu^*\left(Z \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_j\right) = \mu^*\left(Z \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n B_j\right) B_n\right) + \mu^*\left(Z \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n B_j\right) B_n^c\right)$$

$$= \mu^*(ZB_n) + \mu^*\left(Z \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} B_j\right)$$

Because $B_n \in \mathcal{F}^*$. It follows by induction on n that

$$\mu^*\left(Z \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu^*(ZB_j) \quad (a)$$

Since $\bigcup_{j=1}^n B_j \in \mathcal{F}^*$, we have the monotonicity of μ^* :

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(Z) &= \mu^*\left(Z \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_j\right) + \mu^*\left(Z \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n B_j\right)^c\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^n \mu^*(ZB_j) + \mu^*\left(Z \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j\right)^c\right) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \uparrow \infty$ and using property (iii) of μ^* we obtain:

$$\mu^*(Z) \geq \mu^*\left(Z \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j\right) + \mu^*\left(Z \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j\right)^c\right)$$

That establishes $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \in \mathcal{F}^*$. Thus \mathcal{F}^* is a Borel Field.

Finally, let $\{B_j\}$ be a sequence of disjoint sets in \mathcal{F}^* . By the property (ii)

of μ^* and (a) with $Z = \Omega$, we have

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j\right) \geq \limsup_n \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n B_j\right) = \lim_n \sum_{j=1}^n \mu^*(B_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(B_j)$$

Combined with the property (iii) of μ^* , we obtain the countable

additivity of μ^* on \mathcal{F}^* , namely the property (d) for a measure

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(B_j)$$

The proof of theorem 2 is complete.

Lemma 3: If μ_1 and μ_2 are two finite measure on $G(\mathcal{E})$,

\mathcal{E} is a π -system, $\mu_1|_{\mathcal{E}} = \mu_2|_{\mathcal{E}}$, then $\mu_1 = \mu_2$

Using lemma 2 (ii) $G(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}^*$

Define $\bar{\mu} = \mu^*|_{G(\mathcal{E})}$. Then based on lemma 2. (iii), $\bar{\mu}$ is a measure on $(\Omega, G(\mathcal{E}))$, using lemma 1 (iv), $\bar{\mu}|_{\mathcal{E}} = \mu$.

Thus $\bar{\mu}$ is an extension measure on $(\Omega, G(\mathcal{E}))$ of μ .

Uniqueness Assume that $\mu_1|_{\mathcal{E}} = \mu_2|_{\mathcal{E}} = \mu$

Define $G = \{A \in G(\mathcal{E}) : \mu_1(A \cap A_n) = \mu_2(A \cap A_n) \forall n \geq 1\}$

Based on lemma 3. $\mu_1|_{A_n \cap G(\mathcal{E})} = \mu_2|_{A_n \cap G(\mathcal{E})}$

As $\Omega = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, we have $\mu_1 = \mu_2$