

Problem 1

first, assume n is evenly divisible by P ,

we have P cores in general.

∴ the pseudo code is :

$quo = n // P;$

$remain = n \% P;$

if ($my_ind < remain$)

{ $my_first_i = my_ind * (quo + 1);$
}

else

{ $my_first_i = my_ind * (quo) + remainder;$
}

$my_last_i = my_first_i + quo.$

Problem 2.

from the question, we know each element follows the arithmetic series. Thus, one way of assigning is pairing the first element and the last into the core and then one by one assigned.

the pseudo code is :

$q = n // P;$

$r = n \% P;$

$my_core_i = arr[i]$ for $(i \text{ in range } (0, r))$

// Assign the remainder to r cores

iteratively e.g: if $r = 2$, assign 0, 1 elements to $core_0, core_1$.

```

arr = arr[r::] // decrease the size of array.
if (q % 2 == 0): // q is even
    i = 0
    while (len(arr) != 0): // iterative assign elements to cores.
        my_core - i <- arr[0: q/2] first  $\frac{q}{2}$  and last  $\frac{q}{2}$ .
        my_core - i <- arr[len(arr) - q/2, len(arr)]
        arr = arr[q/2: len(arr) - q/2]
        i += 1.

```

```

else : // when q is odd
    i = 0 // iterative assign first  $q/2$  and last  $q/2$ 
    while (len(arr) != 0): to every core and assign the middle element
        my_core - i <- arr[0: q/2] to cores.
        my_core - i <- arr[len(arr) - q/2, len(arr)]
        my_core - i <- arr[len(arr)/2]
        arr = arr[q/2: len(arr) - q/2]
        arr = arr.pop(len(arr)/2)
        i += 1.

```

this pseudo code uses the property that the array has the visiting time of arithmetic series. Thus, if we pick the first $q/2$ and last $q/2$ elements iteratively for different cores, all of the cores will have an averaged time of instruction.

Thus, every core will have the tasks assigned almost evenly.

Problem 3.

Based on the hints given from the problem, we can have the pseudo code below like this:

divisor = 2.

core-difference = 1

total = my-value

while (divisor \leq P)

```
{
    if ( my-ind % divisor == 0 )
    {
        partner = my-ind + core-difference
        (receive from partner)
        total += received-value
    }
    else
    {
        partner = my-ind - core-difference
        (send to partner).
    }
    divisor *= 2
    core-difference *= 2
}
```

Problem 4.

- (a). \therefore it has P cores
 \therefore it has $P-1$ receives and $P-1$ additions.
- (b). \therefore it is the tree-structured global sum
 \therefore # add = $\log_2 P$ where P is the number of cores.
 \therefore it will have $\log_2 P$ receives and $\log_2 P$ additions.

Problem 5.

- (1). \therefore there are 10^{12} instructions and a single processor can solve the problem in 10^6 seconds, which means 10^6 instruction per second
- Also, we now have P processors and each executes $10^{12}/P$ instruction
- $\therefore T_{\text{ins-one}} = \frac{10^{12}/P}{10^6} = \frac{10^6}{P}$
- which is the instruction execution time for one processor
- \therefore each processor must send $10^9(P-1)$ messages.
- $\therefore T_{\text{send}} = 10^9(P-1) \times t_s$
- (where t_s is the time for send one message)
- $\therefore T_{\text{run}} = T_{\text{ins-one}} + T_{\text{send}}$
 $= \frac{10^6}{P} + 10^9(P-1) \cdot t_s$
- Here, $P=1000$ and $t_s=10^{-9}$
- $\therefore T_{\text{run}} = \frac{10^6}{10^3} + 10^9(10^3-1) \cdot 10^{-9}$
 $= 10^3 + 10^3-1 = 2 \cdot 10^3 - 1 = 1999 \text{ s}$

$$(2). \quad \therefore T_{run} = T_{ins} + T_{send}$$

$$= \frac{10^6}{P} + 10^9 (P-1) t_s$$

$$\text{and } P = 10^3, \quad t_s = 10^{-3}$$

$$\therefore T_{run} = \frac{10^6}{10^3} + 10^9 (10^3 - 1) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$= 10^3 + 10^6 (10^3 - 1)$$

$$= 10^3 + 10^9 - 10^6$$

$$= 10^9 - 10^6 + 10^3 \text{ s.}$$

$$\approx 9.99001 \times 10^8 \text{ s.}$$

Problem 6.

(A). \therefore there are P processors.

for a ring structure: 

$$\# \text{ links} = \boxed{P}$$

$$\text{Max_dis} = \boxed{\left\lfloor \frac{P}{2} \right\rfloor}$$

(B) for a 2-D Torus, assume we have P processors

and we assume there are n rows and m columns

where $n^2 = P$. Then the structure is like this:



Since for each row, $\# \text{ links} = \sqrt{P}$

$$\therefore \# \text{ row-link} = n^2 = P$$

Also, for each col, $\# \text{ links} = \sqrt{P}$

$$\therefore \# \text{ col-link} = n^2 = P$$

$$\therefore \# \text{ total_link} = \# \text{ row_link} + \# \text{ col_link} = \boxed{2P}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, max_dis} &= \text{row_max_dis} + \text{col_max_dis} \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{\sqrt{P}}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{\sqrt{P}}{2} \right\rfloor = \boxed{2 \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{\sqrt{P}}{2} \right\rfloor} \end{aligned}$$

(C). Then, for a hypercube, if we have total processors of P ,

we can have relation ship:

dimension	processors	links
1	2	1
2	4	4
3	8	12
4	16	32

Based on the table, we can find the formula of edges based on the dimension and the processors:

$$\# \text{ links} = \frac{P}{2} \cdot \log_2 P = \boxed{\frac{P \log P}{2}}$$

Then, we can find the max distance is the dimension:

$$\text{max_dis} = \boxed{\log P}$$

(D). Since it is the fully connected Network,

each processor will have links to $P-1$ processors.

$$\therefore \# \text{ links} = P \cdot (P-1)/2 = \boxed{\frac{P^2 - P}{2}}$$

Since all the processors can have direct connection with any other processor,

$$\therefore \text{max_dis} = \boxed{1}$$

Problem 7.

(a). Since it is a planar mesh with P processors,
the bisection width is only half of the toroidal mesh,
 \therefore $\text{bisection-width} = \sqrt{P} = P^{\frac{1}{2}}$

(b). \therefore it is a three-dimensional mesh with P processors
 \therefore the bisection width is the area of its bisection plane,
 \therefore $\text{bisection-width} = (\sqrt[3]{P})^2 = P^{\frac{2}{3}}$

Problem 8.

$$\therefore T_{\text{serial}} = n \quad \text{and} \quad T_{\text{parallel}} = \frac{n}{P} + \log_2(P)$$

$$\therefore \text{Eff} = \frac{\text{Speedup}}{P} = \frac{T_{\text{serial}}}{P \cdot T_{\text{parallel}}} = \frac{n}{P \cdot (\frac{n}{P} + \log_2(P))} = \frac{n}{n + P \log_2 P}$$

\therefore now we increase P by a factor of k .

\therefore Assume we increase n by a factor of a to maintain constant efficiency.

$$\therefore \text{Eff}^* = \text{Eff}$$

$$\therefore \frac{an}{an + kP \cdot \log_2(kP)} = \frac{n}{n + P \log_2 P}$$

$$\therefore a n (n + P \log_2 P) = [an + kP \cdot \log_2(kP)] \cdot n$$

$$\therefore \cancel{an} + aP \log_2 P = \cancel{an} + kP \log_2(kP)$$

$$aP \log_2 P = kP \log_2(kP)$$

$$\therefore a \log_2(P) = k \log_2(kP) = k [\log_2(k) + \log_2(P)]$$

$$a = k \left[\frac{\log_2(k)}{\log_2(P)} + 1 \right]$$

\therefore We need to increase n by a factor of $k \cdot \left[\frac{\log_2(k)}{\log_2(P)} + 1 \right]$.

Thus, Since we doubled the number of P from 8 to 16

$$\therefore k = 2 \text{ and } p = 8$$

$$\therefore a = 2 \left[\frac{\log_2(2)}{\log_2(8)} + 1 \right] = 2 \left[\frac{1}{3} + 1 \right] = \frac{8}{3}$$

\therefore we need to increase n by a factor of $\frac{8}{3}$.

Thus, the parallel program is scalable