

## Subproblems

Define  $T(i, t_1, t_2, t_3)$  be the **minimum additional waiting time** spent by customer  $i, i+1, \dots, n$ , given that server 1 have accumulated service time  $t_1$ . Same goes for server 2 and 3. The output we want is  $T(1, 0, 0, 0)$ , that is, the minimum additional waiting time spent by customer from 1 to  $n$  (i.e. all waiting time), given that all server have no accumulated time (i.e. all server free from start).

## Recursive Formula

When all customer are assigned, there is no additional waiting time. So:

$$T(n+1, t_1, t_2, t_3) = 0 \quad \forall t_1, t_2, t_3$$

and if customer from  $i$  to  $n$  total waiting time is the waiting time for customer  $i$  plus the waiting time for customer from  $i+1$  to  $n$ , picking the minimum of customer  $i$  choosing different server:

$$T(i, t_1, t_2, t_3) = \min \begin{cases} t_1 + T(i+1, t_1 + \ell_i, t_2, t_3) \\ t_2 + T(i+1, t_1, t_2 + \ell_i, t_3) \\ t_3 + T(i+1, t_1, t_2, t_3 + \ell_i) \end{cases}$$

Suppose the customer comes in order from 1 to  $n$ , then it should be obvious that waiting time for customer  $i$  is  $t_j$  if assigned to server  $j$ , and the corresponding server  $j$  will have its service time increased by  $\ell_i$ .

## Evaluation Order

Since  $T(i, t_1, t_2, t_3)$  depends on bigger  $i$ , we need to evaluate  $i$  from  $n$  to 1, in **decreasing order**. For a given  $i$ , we need consider all triples  $(t_1, t_2, t_3)$  such that  $t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \ell_j$  as all service time in server equals to the time required by all customers that arrived so far.

## Algorithms

### Optimal value

Declare 4D array  $T[n+1, nL, nL, nL]$  to be all 0. (as the service time for a server could be  $nL$  given that  $L$  is maximum possible service time for single customer).

For  $i : n \rightarrow 1$

- For  $(t_1, t_2, t_3)$  such that  $t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \ell_j$
- Set  $T[i, t_1, t_2, t_3] = \min \begin{cases} t_1 + T[i+1, t_1 + \ell_i, t_2, t_3] \\ t_2 + T[i+1, t_1, t_2 + \ell_i, t_3] \\ t_3 + T[i+1, t_1, t_2, t_3 + \ell_i] \end{cases}$

Return  $T[1, 0, 0, 0]$

### Optimal solution

Define  $\text{Reconstruct}(i, t_1, t_2, t_3)$

- If  $i > n$ , return.
- If  $T[i, t_1, t_2, t_3] = t_1 + T[i + 1, t_1 + \ell_i, t_2, t_3]$ 
  - Output  $(i, 1)$
  - $\text{Reconstruct}(i + 1, t_1 + \ell_i, t_2, t_3)$
- Else if  $T[i, t_1, t_2, t_3] = t_2 + T[i + 1, t_1, t_2 + \ell_i, t_3]$ 
  - Output  $(i, 2)$
  - $\text{Reconstruct}(i + 1, t_1, t_2 + \ell_i, t_3)$
- Else
  - Output  $(i, 3)$
  - $\text{Reconstruct}(i + 1, t_1, t_2, t_3 + \ell_i)$

Call  $\text{Reconstruct}(1, 0, 0, 0)$

### Complexity

Notice that although we have three parameters for  $t_1, t_2, t_3$ . The constraint  $t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \ell_j \leq nL$  makes the there are only  $O(n^2L^2)$  possible configuration to choose. Given that we also iteration through  $i$ , the total time complexity is  $O(n^3L^2)$ . For space complexity, if we only consider the optimal value, then we only need to save previous layer, so to have a space complexity of  $O(n^2L^2)$ , if we care about optimal solution, then we could still use Hirschberg divide-and-conquer algorithm to reduce the complexity to  $O(n^2L^2)$ .