

## Problem 1

We use dynamic programming. We pass the string  $w$  as an array  $W[1..n]$  where  $n$  is the string's length so we could taking the slice of the string as  $W[i..j]$  (it means the substring from  $i$  to  $j$  (inclusive)).

We first store an 2-d array called  $IsStringInLArray[1..n, 1..n]$  where  $IsStringInLArray[i, j]$  is a boolean that indicates whether  $W[i..j]$  (a substring of  $w$  from  $i$  position to  $j$  position, inclusive) is in the language  $L$ .

We then define an 1-d array called  $MinCostArray[1..n+1]$  for memoization. The  $MinCostArray[i]$  means the minimum splitting cost (that is, the splitting cost defined in the problem) the substring  $W[i..n]$ . Few things to notice:

- $MinCostArray[n+1]$  is a special case, in this case we want the minimum cost of splitting the substring  $W[n+1..n]$ , this could be better thought as the minimum cost of splitting the string  $\epsilon$ , which is just 0.
- If  $W[i..n]$  is not in  $L^*$ , the  $MinCostArray[i]$  will be  $NaN$ . This indicates there is no valid splitting.
- Since the  $MinCostArray[i]$  depends on all  $MinCostArray[s+1]$  where  $i \leq s \leq n$ . That means we need to calculate the  $MinCostArray[i]$  in the order where  $i$  goes from  $n$  down to 1.
- We define that taking minimum between a valid number  $a$  and a  $NaN$  is that number  $a$ , that is,  $\min(a, NaN) = \min(NaN, a) = a$

We then return  $MinCostArray[1]$ , this is just what we want, the minimum splitting cost of  $W[1..n] = W$ . If it's  $NaN$ , that means  $w \notin L^*$ .

```
MinCost(W[1..n]):
    if W = ε:
        return cost(0)

    declare IsStringInLArray[1..n, 1..n]

    for i ← 1 to n:
        for j ← i to n:
            IsStringInLArray[i, j] = IsStringInL(W[i..j])

    declare MinCostArray[1..n + 1]

    MinCostArray[n + 1] = 0

    for i ← n to 1:
        MinCostArray[i] ← NaN
        for s ← i to n:
            if IsStringInLArray[i, s]:
                if MinCostArray[s + 1] is not NaN:
                    MinCostArray[i] ← min(
```

```

        MinCostArray[i],
        cost(s - i + 1) + MinCostArray[s + 1]
    )

    return MinCostArray[1]

```

The time complexity of the algorithm is  $O(n^3)$ . The first part when we calculate the value in the `IsStringInLArray`, we have two `for` loop, and the operation `IsStringInL` in worst case takes  $O(n)$  time, therefore we take  $n^2 \cdot O(n) = O(n^3)$  for the first part. For the second part when we calculate the value in the `MinCostArray`, we have two `for` loops, and in each loop, all the array access and `min` function takes  $O(1)$ , so the time complexity for the second part is just  $n^2 \cdot O(1) = O(n^2)$  and therefore the total runtime for entire algorithm is  $O(n^3)$ .

## Problem 2

For clarify of the pseudocode, we define a function `split(w)` that take any string:

$$\text{split}(w) = \{(u, v) \mid uv = w\}$$

It's a function that returns all possible split of the string  $w$ . To memoize calculations done previously by our `IsStringInRegExp(w, r)`, we have a two dimensional hash map `IsStringInRegExpMemory` where `IsStringInRegExpMemory[w, r]` is the stored calculation of `IsStringInRegExp(w, r)`. If there is nothing stored in the `IsStringInRegExpMemory[w, r]`, then it's `undefined`. Just to make the code neater, we define a function `Store(value)` that write the value into `IsStringInRegExpMemory` and then return it input `value`. Just to clarify, the `Store(value)` function is defined inside the function `IsStringInRegExp`, so the `w` and `r` used in the `Store` is just the `w` and `r` in the outer function `IsStringInRegExp` (That is basically what a closure is). If you don't understand, just think `Store` as a function that will (1) store the value it got to the corresponding place in `IsStringInRegExpMemory` (2) then just return the what it received.

Then, the pseudocode is below: (notice the code like `w = ε` is a boolean expression but not a assignment.

```

declare IsStringInRegExpMemory[w, r]

IsStringInRegExp(w, r):

    Store(value):
        IsStringInRegExpMemory[w, r] ← value
        return value

    if IsStringInRegExpMemory[w, r] is not undefined:
        return IsStringInRegExpMemory[w, r]
    if r = ε: return Store(w = ε)
    if r = a: return Store(w = a)
    if r = φ: return Store(false)
    if r = s + t:
        return Store(IsStringInRegExp(w, s) or IsStringInRegExp(w, t))
    if r = st:

```

```

foreach (ws, wt) ← split(w):
    if IsStringInRegExp(ws, s) and IsStringInRegExp(wt, t):
        return Store(true)
    return Store(false)
if r = s*:
    if w = ε: return Store(true)
    else:
        foreach (ws, wr) ← split(w):
            if IsStringInRegExp(ws, s) and IsStringInRegExp(wr, r):
                return Store(true)
            return Store(false)
return IsStringInRegExpMap[w, r]

```

The complexity analysis is not required, this is just my notes (not very rigorous) below to help you understand that it's indeed polynomial:

Since for each recursive call, we are at least making the  $|r|$  smaller for the subproblem. (we don't necessarily make  $|w|$  smaller since it's possible for it to split into  $\epsilon$  and itself). So, the recursive call will get to a base case eventually.

For the upper bound of the complexity, since for the parameter  $w'$  and  $r'$  for the recursive call will satisfy that  $w'$  is a substring of  $w$  and  $r'$  is a substring of  $r$ . All the possible parameter that  $w', r'$  that the `IsStringInRegExp` going to receive will all be the substring of initial  $w$  and  $r$ . For  $w$ , it has  $O(|w|^2)$  possible substrings, same for  $r$ . Then, there are thus only  $O(|w|^2|r|^2)$  possible parameter combinations that could be passed into the function `IsStringInRegExp`.

In the function, as we could see, the worst case scenario there will be  $O(|w|)$  ways to split the string  $w$ , then the function body itself only take  $O(|w|)$  (think the recursive calls are memoized and are  $O(1)$  operations since their time cost will all be considered in the end).

Then, since we need to calculate all the possible  $O(|w|^2|r|^2)$  input parameter combination and each case take  $O(|w|)$ . The total time complexity will be  $O(|w|^3|r|^2)$ , which is polynomial.