

# PHR Augmented Lagrangian Method

## PHR-ALM for Conic Constrained Optimization

Zirui Zhang

Cheng Kar-Shun Robotics Institute  
The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

October 7, 2025



- ① Introduction
- ② Equality Constraint
- ③ Inequality Constraint
- ④ Symmetric Cone Constraint

# Penalty Method

Consider the constrained optimization problem:

$$\min_x f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad h(x) = 0$$

Penalty method solves a series of unconstrained problems:

$$Q_\rho(x) = f(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|h(x)\|^2$$

## Challenge:

- Requires  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$  for exact solution, causing ill-conditioned Hessian.
- Finite  $\rho$  leads to constraint violation  $h(x) \neq 0$ .

# Lagrangian Relaxation

The Lagrangian is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = f(x) + \lambda^\top h(x)$$

At the optimal solution  $x^*$ , there exists  $\lambda^*$  such that  $\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x^*, \lambda^*) = 0$ .

Uzawa's method iteratively updates  $x$  and  $\lambda$ :

$$\begin{cases} x^{k+1} = \arg\min_x \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda^k) \\ \lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^k + \alpha_k h(x^{k+1}) \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha_k > 0$  is a step size.

However, when  $\lambda$  is fixed and one attempts to minimize  $\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda)$ :

- $\min_x \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda)$  can be non-smooth even for smooth  $f$  and  $h$ .
- $\min_x \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda)$  may be unbounded or have no finite solution.

# PHR Augmented Lagrangian Method

Consider the optimization problem with a penalty on the deviation from a prior  $\bar{\lambda}$ :

$$\min_x \max_{\lambda} f(x) + \lambda^{\top} h(x) - \frac{1}{2\rho} \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|^2$$

The inner problem:

$$\nabla_{\lambda} = h(x) - \frac{1}{\rho} (\lambda - \bar{\lambda}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda^*(\bar{\lambda}) = \bar{\lambda} + \rho h(x)$$

# PHR Augmented Lagrangian Method (cont.)

The outer problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_x \max_{\lambda} f(x) + \lambda^\top h(x) - \frac{1}{2\rho} \|\lambda - \bar{\lambda}\|^2 \\ &= \min_x f(x) + [\lambda^*(\bar{\lambda})]^\top h(x) - \frac{1}{2\rho} \|\lambda^*(\bar{\lambda}) - \bar{\lambda}\|^2 \\ &= \min_x f(x) + [\bar{\lambda} + \rho h(x)]^\top h(x) - \frac{\rho}{2} \|h(x)\|^2 \\ &= \min_x f(x) + \bar{\lambda}^\top h(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|h(x)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

# PHR Augmented Lagrangian Method (cont.)

To increase precision:

- Reduce the penalty weight  $1/\rho$
- Update the prior multiplier  $\bar{\lambda} \leftarrow \lambda^*(\bar{\lambda})$

Uzawa's method for the augmented Lagrangian function is:

- ①  $x \leftarrow \arg\min_x f(x) + \bar{\lambda}^\top h(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|h(x)\|^2$
- ②  $\bar{\lambda} \leftarrow \bar{\lambda} + \rho h(x)$

# Penalty Method Perspective

The corresponding primal problem of the augmented Lagrangian Function is obviously:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x & f(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|h(x)\|^2 \\ \text{s.t. } & h(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

## Advantages:

- Even without  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ , the constraints can be exactly satisfied in the limit through multiplier updates.
- For large  $\rho$ , the penalty term  $\frac{\rho}{2} \|h(x)\|^2$  dominates, ensuring  $\min_x \mathcal{L}_\rho(x, \lambda)$  has a local solution.
- The augmented dual function  $q_\rho(\lambda)$  is smooth in proper conditions, with  $\nabla q_\rho(\lambda) \approx h(x(\lambda))$ .



# Practical PHR-ALM

In practice, we use its equivalent form:

$$\mathcal{L}_\rho(x, \lambda) = f(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| h(x) + \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \right\|^2 - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\rho} \|\lambda\|^2}_{x\text{-independent}}$$

The KKT solution can be solved via:

$$\begin{cases} x^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_x \mathcal{L}_{\rho^k}(x, \lambda^k) \\ \lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^k + \rho^k h(x^{k+1}) \\ \rho^{k+1} = \min[(1 + \gamma)\rho^k, \rho_{\max}] \end{cases}$$

where  $\rho^k$  can be any nondecreasing positive sequence.

# Slack Variables Relaxation

Consider the optimization problem with inequality constraints:

$$\min_x f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g(x) \leq 0$$

We use the equivalent formulation using slack variables:

$$\min_{x,s} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g(x) + [s]^2 = 0$$

where  $[\cdot]^2$  means element-wise squaring. We can directly form Lagrangian like equality-constrained case:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{x,s} \left\{ f(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| g(x) + [s]^2 + \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \right\|^2 \right\} \\ &= \min_x f(x) + \min_x \min_s \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| g(x) + [s]^2 + \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \right\|^2 \\ &= \min_x f(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| \max \left[ g(x) + \frac{\lambda}{\rho}, 0 \right] \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

# Simplified Form

Summing over all components gives the final form:

$$\mathcal{L}_\rho(x, \mu) = f(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| \max \left[ g(x) + \frac{\mu}{\rho}, 0 \right] \right\|^2 - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\rho} \|\mu\|^2}_{x\text{-independent}}$$

For the dual update, from the optimality condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{k+1} &= \mu^k + \rho \left( g(x^{k+1}) + [s^{k+1}]^2 \right) \\ &= \max \left[ \mu^k + \rho g(x^{k+1}), 0 \right] \end{aligned}$$

# Summary

PHR Augmented Lagrangian Method for General Nonconvex cases:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x & f(x) \\ \text{s.t. } & h(x) = 0, g(x) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Its PHR Augmented Lagrangian is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_\rho = f(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| h(x) + \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \right\|^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| \max \left[ g(x) + \frac{\mu}{\rho}, 0 \right] \right\|^2 - \frac{1}{2\rho} \{ \|\lambda\|^2 + \|\mu\|^2 \}$$

The PHR-ALM is simply repeating the primal descent and dual ascent iterations:

$$\begin{cases} x^{k+1} = \arg \min_x \mathcal{L}_{\rho^k}(x, \lambda^k, \mu^k) \\ \lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^k + \rho^k h(x^{k+1}) \\ \mu^{k+1} = \max[\mu^k + \rho^k g(x^{k+1}), 0] \\ \rho^{k+1} = \min[(1 + \gamma)\rho^k, \rho_{\max}] \end{cases}$$

Courtesy: Z. Wang

# Generalized Inequality Constraint

Consider the symmetric cone constrained optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x & f(x) \\ \text{s.t. } & h(x) = 0, g(x) \in \mathcal{K} \end{aligned}$$

For the symmetric cone constraint  $x \in \mathcal{K}$ , we can equivalently express it as:

$$g(x) = -x \preceq_{\mathcal{K}} 0$$

The standard inequality constraint  $g(x) \leq 0$  corresponds to the **nonnegative orthant cone**:

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}_+^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

Its projection operator is exactly element-wise max function:

$$\Pi_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}(v) = \max[v, 0], \quad (\mathbb{R}_+^n)^* = \mathbb{R}_+^n$$

# Slack Variables Relaxation

Consider the optimization problem with inequality constraints:

$$\min_x f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g(x) \in \mathcal{K}$$

By Euclidean Jordan algebra, the conic program is equivalent to:

$$\min_{x,s} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g(x) = s \circ s$$

We can directly form Lagrangian like equality-constrained case:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{x,s} \left\{ f(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| g(x) - s \circ s + \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \right\|^2 \right\} \\ &= \min_x f(x) + \min_x \min_s \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| g(x) - s \circ s + \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \right\|^2 \\ &= \min_x f(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| \Pi_{\mathcal{K}} \left( -g(x) - \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \right) \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

# Simplified Form

Let  $\mu = -\lambda$ , we get the final form:

$$\mathcal{L}_\rho(x, \mu) = f(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| \Pi_{\mathcal{K}^*} \left( -g(x) + \frac{\mu}{\rho} \right) \right\|^2 - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\rho} \|\mu\|^2}_{x\text{-independent}}$$

For the dual update, from the optimality condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{k+1} &= \mu^k + \rho \left[ g(x^{k+1}) - s^{k+1} \circ s^{k+1} \right] \\ &= \Pi_{\mathcal{K}^*} [\mu^k - \rho \cdot g(x^{k+1})] \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{K}^*$  is the dual cone of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

# Summary

PHR Augmented Lagrangian Method for General Nonconvex cases:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x & f(x) \\ \text{s.t. } & h(x) = 0, g(x) \in \mathcal{K} \end{aligned}$$

Its PHR Augmented Lagrangian is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_\rho = f(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| h(x) + \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \right\|^2 + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| \Pi_{\mathcal{K}} \left( -g(x) + \frac{\mu}{\rho} \right) \right\|^2 - \frac{1}{2\rho} \{ \|\lambda\|^2 + \|\mu\|^2 \}$$

The PHR-ALM is simply repeating the primal descent and dual ascent iterations:

$$\begin{cases} x^{k+1} = \arg \min_x \mathcal{L}_{\rho^k}(x, \lambda^k, \mu^k) \\ \lambda^{k+1} = \lambda^k + \rho^k h(x^{k+1}) \\ \mu^{k+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{K}^*}(\mu^k - \rho^k x^{k+1}) \\ \rho^{k+1} = \min[(1 + \gamma)\rho^k, \rho_{\max}] \end{cases}$$



Thank you for listening !

Zirui Zhang