

## Coursework (3) for *Introductory Lectures on Optimization*

Zhao Hongjue  
3190104515

Nov. 17, 2022

**Exercise 1.** Prove the following results. Define

$$\psi_Q(\mathbf{g}) = \sup\{\langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \mid \mathbf{x} \in Q\}.$$

Let  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  be two closed convex sets.

1. If for any  $\mathbf{g} \in \text{dom } \psi_{Q_2}$  we have  $\psi_{Q_1}(\mathbf{g}) \leq \psi_{Q_2}(\mathbf{g})$ , then  $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ .
2. Let  $\text{dom } \psi_{Q_1} = \text{dom } \psi_{Q_2}$  and for any  $\mathbf{g} \in \text{dom } \psi_{Q_1}$ , we have  $\psi_{Q_1}(\mathbf{g}) = \psi_{Q_2}(\mathbf{g})$ . Then  $Q_1 \equiv Q_2$ .

**Proof of Exercise 1:**

1. Assume that there exists  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in Q_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_0 \notin Q_2$ . Since  $Q_2$  is a closed convex set,  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is strongly separable from  $Q_2$ , which means

$$\langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x} \rangle < \gamma < \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x}_0 \rangle, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in Q_2, \text{ and } \mathbf{x} \in Q_1.$$

Obviously it is contradict with  $\psi_{Q_1}(\mathbf{g}) \leq \psi_{Q_2}(\mathbf{g})$ . Thus, in this case we have  $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ .

2. Based on the first statement:

$$(a) \quad \forall \mathbf{g} \in \text{dom } \psi_{Q_1}, \quad \psi_{Q_1}(\mathbf{g}) = \psi_{Q_2}(\mathbf{g}) \implies Q_1 \subseteq Q_2;$$

$$(b) \quad \forall \mathbf{g} \in \text{dom } \psi_{Q_2}, \quad \psi_{Q_2}(\mathbf{g}) = \psi_{Q_1}(\mathbf{g}) \implies Q_2 \subseteq Q_1.$$

Above all, we can get  $Q_1 \equiv Q_2$ .

□

**Exercise 2.** Prove the following result. Let  $f$  be a closed convex function. For any  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \text{int}(\text{dom } f)$  and  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$f'(\mathbf{x}_0; \mathbf{p}) = \max\{\langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \mid \mathbf{g} \in \partial f(\mathbf{x}_0)\}.$$

**Proof of Exercise 2:** According to related definitions:

$$f'(\mathbf{x}_0; \mathbf{p}) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} [f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha \mathbf{p}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0)].$$

and

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_0 \rangle, \quad \forall \mathbf{g} \in \partial f(\mathbf{x}_0).$$

Obviously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\mathbf{x}_0; \mathbf{p}) &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} [f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha \mathbf{p}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0)] \\ &\geq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} \langle \mathbf{g}, \alpha \mathbf{p} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{p} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\mathbf{g}$  is from  $\partial f(\mathbf{x}_0)$ . Therefore, the subdifferential of the function  $f'(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p})$  at  $\mathbf{p} = 0$  is not empty and  $\partial f(\mathbf{x}_0) \subseteq \partial_2 f'(\mathbf{x}_0; 0)$ .

Since  $f'(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p})$  is convex in  $\mathbf{p}$ , we have

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}_0) + f'(\mathbf{x}_0; \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_0) \geq f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_0 \rangle.$$

where  $\mathbf{g} \in \partial_2 f'(\mathbf{x}_0; 0) \subseteq \partial f(\mathbf{x}_0)$  and we can get  $\partial f(\mathbf{x}_0) = \partial_2 f'(\mathbf{x}_0; 0)$ .

Consider  $\mathbf{g} \in \partial_2 f'(\mathbf{x}_0; 0)$ . Thus for  $\tau > 0$

$$\tau f'(\mathbf{x}_0; \mathbf{v}) = f'(\mathbf{x}; \tau \mathbf{v}) \geq f'(\mathbf{x}_0; \mathbf{p}) + \langle \mathbf{g}, \tau \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{p} \rangle.$$

Considering  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $f'(\mathbf{x}_0; \mathbf{p}) - \langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \leq 0$ . Thus we conclude that  $\langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{p} \rangle = f'(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p})$ .  $\square$

**Excercise 3.** Let  $f$  be closed and convex. Assume that it is differentiable on its domain. Then  $\partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \{\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\}$  for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{int}(\text{dom } f)$ .

**Proof of Excercise 3:** For any direction  $\mathbf{p}$ , we have

$$f'(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p}) = \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{p} \rangle.$$

Since  $f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}) + f'(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{p} \rangle$ , we can get  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \in \partial f(\mathbf{x})$ . In the meanwhile

$$f'(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{p}) = \max\{\langle \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \mid \mathbf{g} \in \partial f(\mathbf{x}_0)\} = \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{p} \rangle$$

Similarly, according to the statement in Excercise. 1, we can get  $\partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \{\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\}$ .  $\square$

**Excercise 4.** Let  $\Delta$  be a set and  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sup\{\phi(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{y} \in \Delta\}$ . Suppose that for any fixed  $\mathbf{y} \in \Delta$  the function  $\phi(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$  is closed and convex in  $\mathbf{x}$ . Then  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is closed convex.

Moreover, for any  $\mathbf{x}$  from

$$\text{dom } f = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists \gamma : \phi(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \leq \gamma, \forall \mathbf{y} \in \Delta\}$$

we have

$$\partial f(\mathbf{x}) \supseteq \text{Conv}\{\partial \phi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{y} \in I(\mathbf{x})\},$$

where  $I(\mathbf{x}) = \{\mathbf{y} \mid \phi(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})\}$ .

**Proof of Excercise 4:** Here we define

$$\hat{Q} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in Q \mid \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in \Delta} \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) < +\infty \right\}.$$

According to last equation, it is without any doubt that  $f(\mathbf{x}) < +\infty \forall \mathbf{x} \in \hat{Q}$  and we can conclude that  $Q \in \text{dom } f$ . In addition, it is obvious that  $\mathbf{x}, t \in \text{epi}_Q(f)$  if and only if

$$\mathbf{x} \in Q, \quad t \geq \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad \forall \mathbf{y} \in \Delta.$$

This means that

$$\text{epi}_Q(f) = \bigcap_{\mathbf{y} \in \Delta} \text{epi}_Q(\phi(\cdot, \mathbf{y})).$$

Since each set  $\text{epi}_Q(\phi(\cdot, \mathbf{y}))$  is closed and convex,  $\text{epi}_Q(f)$  is also closed and convex. Thus,  $f$  is closed and convex on  $\hat{Q}$ .

In the meanwhile, for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \hat{Q}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_0 \in I(\mathbf{x}_0)$ , and  $\mathbf{g}_0 \in \partial_{Q, \mathbf{x}} \phi(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0)$ , we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \geq \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_0) \geq \phi(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0) + \langle \mathbf{g}_0, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0 \rangle = f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \langle \mathbf{g}_0, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0 \rangle$$

Therefore, we can prove the second statement.  $\square$

**Exercise 5.** Calculate the subdifferentials of the following functions.

1.  $f(\mathbf{x}) = |\mathbf{x}|, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^1$ .
2.  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle - \mathbf{b}_i|$ .
3.  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ .
4.  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|$ .
5.  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathbf{x}^{(i)}|$ .

**Solution of Exercise 4:**

1.  $f(\mathbf{x}) = |\mathbf{x}| = \max\{-\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\} \Rightarrow \partial f(\mathbf{x}) = [-1, 1]$ .
2. Here we define

$$\begin{aligned} I_+(\mathbf{x}) &= \{i \mid \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - \mathbf{b}_i > 0\}, \\ I_-(\mathbf{x}) &= \{i \mid \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - \mathbf{b}_i < 0\}, \\ I_0(\mathbf{x}) &= \{i \mid \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - \mathbf{b}_i = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i \in I_+(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{a}_i - \sum_{i \in I_-(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{a}_i + \sum_{i \in I_0(\mathbf{x})} [-\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_i].$$

3. Here we define  $I(\mathbf{x}) = \{i \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)} = f(\mathbf{x})\}$ . Then

$$\partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \text{Conv}\{\mathbf{e}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}, & \mathbf{x} = 0, \\ \text{Conv}\{\mathbf{e}_i \mid i \in I(\mathbf{x})\}, & \mathbf{x} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

- 4.

$$\partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} B_2(0, 1) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1\}, & \mathbf{x} = 0, \\ \{\mathbf{x}/\|\mathbf{x}\|\}, & \mathbf{x} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

5. Here we define

$$\begin{aligned} I_+(\mathbf{x}) &= \{i \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)} > 0\}, \\ I_-(\mathbf{x}) &= \{i \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)} < 0\}, \\ I_0(\mathbf{x}) &= \{i \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\partial f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} B_\infty(0, 1) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\mathbf{x}^{(i)}| \leq 1\}, & \mathbf{x} = 0, \\ \sum_{i \in I_+(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{e}_i - \sum_{i \in I_-(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{e}_i + \sum_{i \in I_0(\mathbf{x})} [-\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_i], & \mathbf{x} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

□