DSA5105 Principles of Machine Learning

Supervised Learning

Empirical Risk Minimization (ERM) The learning process aims to find a function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ that minimizes the empirical risk, $R_{\text{emp}}(f) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L(y_i, f(x_i))$, where $y_i = f^*(x_i)$.

Common Loss Function Mean squared error (MSE) for regression tasks: $L(y, \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(y - \hat{y})^2$, cross-entropy loss for classification task: $L(y,p) = -\sum_i y_i \log(p_i)$, and huber loss for robust regression: $L_{\delta}(y,\hat{y}) = -\sum_i y_i \log(p_i)$ $\int \frac{1}{2} (y - \hat{y})^2$ $\begin{cases} \hat{\delta}|y - \hat{y}| - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Softmax Function For a multi-class classification problem with K classes, the softmax function is defined as:softmax(z_i) = $\frac{\exp(z_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} : \exp(z_i)}$

General Ordinary Least Squares Formula Consider $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and the new hypothesis space \mathcal{H}_M $\left\{f: f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} w_j \varphi_j(x)\right\} \quad \text{Each } \varphi_j: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is called a basis function or feature map.}$

We can rewrite the ERM $\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^M} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} w_j \varphi_j(x_i) - y_i \right)^2$ into $\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^M} \frac{1}{2N} \|\Phi w - y\|^2$. Solved ving by setting $\nabla R_{\text{emp}}(\hat{w}) = 0$, we have $\hat{w} = (\Phi^{\top} \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^{\top} y$, given invertible $\Phi^{T} \Phi$.

For cases where $\Phi^T \bar{\Phi}$ is not invertible, the formula using the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse is: $\hat{w}(u) = \Phi^{\dagger} y +$

Regularization: To prevent overfitting, regularization techniques add a penalty to the loss function: $\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}M} \frac{1}{2N} \|\Phi w - y\|^2 + \lambda C(w) \frac{1}{2N} \|\Phi w - y\|^2 + \lambda C(w). \text{ Minimizing the ERM we get } \hat{w} = \left(\Phi^\top \Phi + \lambda I_M\right)^{-1} \Phi^\top y$ which is always invertible for positive λ .

Common regularization terms:L₂ (Ridge) regularization: $\lambda \sum_{j=1}^p w_j^2$, L₁ (Lasso) regularization: $\lambda \sum_{j=1}^p |w_j|$

Reformulation of Ridge Regression We rewrite the regularized least squares solution in another way:

$$\hat{w} = \left(\Phi^{\top} \Phi + \lambda I_{M}\right)^{-1} \Phi^{\top} y = \Phi^{\top} \left(\Phi \Phi^{\top} + \lambda I_{N}\right)^{-1} y$$

Reformulation of Ridge Regression

 $\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \varphi(x_i)^{\top} \varphi(x) \stackrel{\alpha}{=} (G + \lambda I_N)^{-1} y \quad \text{where} \quad G_{ij} = \varphi(x_i)^{\top} \varphi(x_j) \text{ is the gram matrix}$

Mercer's Theorem and SPD Kernels Suppose k is a SPD kernel. Then, there exists a feature space \mathcal{H} and a feature map $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{H}$ such that $k(x, x') = \varphi(x)^\top \varphi(x')$

SPD kernels properties: K(x,x') = K(x',x) (Symmetry) For any n and $\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$, the Gram matrix $G_{ij} = k(x_i, x_j)$ is positive semi-definite. (Recall: a matrix G is positive semi-definite if $c^T G c \ge 0$ for any vector c) (Positive Semi-definiteness)

Examples of SPD Kernels: Linear Kernel: $K(x,x')=x^{\top}x'$ Polynomial Kernel: $K(x,x')=(1+x^{\top}x')^d$ Gaussian RBF Kernel: $K(x, x') = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - x'\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$

Constructing kernels Given valid kernels $k_1(x, x')$ and $k_2(x, x')$, the following new kernels will also be valid: $ck_1(x,x') = f(x)k_1(x,x')f(x') = q(k_1(x,x')) = \exp(k_1(x,x')) = k_1(x,x') + k_2(x,x') = k_1(x,x')k_2(x,x')$ $k_3(\varphi(x),\varphi(x')) \quad x^\top A x' \quad k_a(x_a,x_a') + k_b(x_b,x_b') \quad k_a(x_a,x_a')k_b(x_b,x_b')$

SVM Max Margin Formulation $\max_{\mathbf{w},b} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{w}\|} \min_{i=1,...,N} |\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + b|$ subject to $y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + b) > 0 \quad \forall i$

 $\textbf{Optimization Problem } \min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 \text{ subject to: } y_i(w^\top x_i + b) \geq 1 \quad \forall i. \text{ Introducing Lagrange multipliers } \alpha_i \geq 0,$ the Lagrangian is: $\mathcal{L}(w, b, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i [y_i(w^\top x_i + b) - 1]$

- KKT conditions for SVM 1. From Stationarity $\hat{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\mu}_i y_i x_i$, $0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\mu}_i y_i$ 2. From Dual Feasibility $\hat{\mu}_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$
- 3. From Complementary Slackness $\hat{\mu}_i = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad y_i(\hat{w}^T x_i + \hat{b}) = 1$
- 4. The multipliers $\hat{\mu}$ can be found by the dual problem $\max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \mu_i \mu_j y_i y_j (x_i^T x_j)$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Dual formulation of SVM} \\ \hat{\mu} = \arg\max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \mu_i \mu_j y_i y_j (x_i^T x_j) \text{ Subject to: } \hat{\mu} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mu}_i y_i = 0 \end{array}$

Decision function: $\hat{f}(x) = \text{sgn}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\mu}_{i} y_{i} x_{i}^{T} x + \hat{b}\right)$ Complementary slackness: $\hat{\mu}_{i} = 0$ or $1 = y_{i}(\hat{w}^{T} x_{i} + \hat{b})$

 $\hat{\mu} = \arg\max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \mu_i \mu_j y_i y_j k(x_i,x_j) \text{ Subject to: } \hat{\mu} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mu}_i y_i = 0$

Decision function: $\hat{f}(x) = \operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\mu}_i y_i k(x_i, x) + \hat{b}\right)$

Only support vectors satisfying $1 = y_i(\hat{w}^T \varphi_i(x) + \hat{b})$ matter for predictions. This is a sparse kernel method

Classification and Regression Trees Suppose that the input space is \mathcal{X} . A partition of \mathcal{X} is a collection of subsets $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{R}_J$ such that $\mathcal{R}_i \cap \mathcal{R}_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^J \mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{X}$

The general decision tree hypothesis space is: $\mathcal{H} = \left\{ f: f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{J} a_i \mathbb{I}_{x \in \mathcal{R}_i}, \{\mathcal{R}_j\} \text{ is a partition of } \mathcal{X}, a_j \in \mathcal{Y} \right\}$ where $\mathbb{I}_{x \in \mathcal{R}_{j}}$ is an indicator variable returning 1 if x is in \mathcal{R}_{j} .

A decision tree model $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^J a_j \mathbb{I}_{x \in \mathcal{R}_j}$ depends on both a_j and \mathcal{R}_j . For regression we take the **average** label

 $\text{values } a_j = y_j = \frac{\sum_i y_i \mathbb{I}_{x \in \mathcal{R}_j}}{\sum_i \mathbb{I}_{x \in \mathcal{R}_j}}; \text{ For classification we take the } \mathbf{mode} \text{ label values } a_j = \text{mode}\{y_i : x_i \in \mathcal{R}_j\}$

Loss function for Decision Trees Classification Entropy: $-\sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^J p_{jk} \log p_{jk}$

Gini Impurity: $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{J} p_{jk} (1-p_{jk})$ where p_{jk} is the proportion of samples in \mathcal{R}_{j} belonging to class k.

Bagging reduces the variance by aggregating predictions from multiple models trained on different random subsamples of the data: Regression $\bar{f}(x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(x)$ Classification $\bar{f}(x) = \text{Mode}\{f_i(x) : j = 1, \dots, m\}$

Boosting works by training weak learners sequentially, where each learner focuses on correcting the mistakes of the previous ones. The combined model is a weighted sum of the weak learners: $f(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t f_t(x)$ where α_t are coefficients based on each learner's performance. Boosting helps reduce bias.

- 1. Initialize with uniform weight across all training samples
- 2. Train a classifier/regressor f_1
- 3. Identify the samples that f_1 got wrong (classification) or has large errors (regression)
- 4. Weight these samples more heavily and train f_2 on this reweighted dataset
- 5. Repeat steps 3-5

AdaBoost Implementation

Data: $\mathcal{D} = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$, Initialize $w_i^{(1)} = \frac{1}{N}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$; For $j = 1, \ldots, m$ do

- 1. Obtain f_j from: $f_j = \arg\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^{(j)} \mathbb{I}_{y_i \neq f(x_i)}$
- 2. Compute combination coefficients: $\delta_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^{(j)} \mathbb{I}_{y_i \neq f_j}(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^{(j)}} \ \alpha_j = \log\left(\frac{1-\delta_j}{\delta_j}\right)$
- 3. Update weights: $w_i^{(j+1)} = w_i^{(j)} \exp\left(\alpha_j \mathbb{I}_{y_i \neq f_i(x_i)}\right)$

Return:
$$\bar{f}(x) = \operatorname{Sign}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_j f_j(x)\right)$$

Cross-Validation In k-fold cross-validation, the data is split into k subsets. The model is trained on k-1 subsets and validated on the remaining one. This process is repeated k-times.

Lecture σ Activation Functions Sigmoid: $\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$, [0, 1] ReLU $\sigma(z) = \max(0, z)$, $[0, \inf]$, leaky ReLU: δz if z < 0 instead of 0

Gradient Descent
$$w^{(t+1)} = w^{(t)} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_0}$$

Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD): $\theta_{k+1} = \theta_k - \eta \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i \in I_B} \nabla \Phi_i(\theta_k)$, less likely to be locally optimal.

Deep Neural Networks (DNNs)Deep neural networks are an extension of shallow networks. The idea is to stack n hidden layers together and forward pass the x sequentially.

Back-propagation Algorithm

For
$$t=0,1,\ldots,T$$
: $x_{t+1}=g_t(x_t,W_t)=\sigma(W_tx_t)$
Set $p_{t+1}=\nabla_x L(x_{t+1},y)$.

For
$$t = T, T - 1, ..., 1$$
:

$$- \nabla W_t \Phi = p_{t+1}^T \nabla_W g_t(x_t, W_t)$$
$$- p_t = [\nabla_X g_t(x_t, W_t)]^T p_{t+1}$$

Return
$$\{\nabla_{W_t}\Phi: t=0,\ldots,T\}.$$

Neural Network Architecture Example

The architecture of the network consists of: $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ Input layer: $x_0 = x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ 1st hidden layer with 2 neurons. 2nd hidden layer with 3 neurons. Output: Scalar. 1st Hidden Layer $x_1 = W_0 x_0 + b_0 \quad W_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}, \quad b_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1} \quad x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1} \quad x_1 = \operatorname{ReLU}(x_1)$

1st Hidden Layer
$$x_1 = W_0 x_0 + b_0$$
 $W_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1}$ $x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} \\ x_{12} \end{bmatrix}$, $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1}$ $x_1 = \text{ReLU}(x_1)$

2nd Hidden Layer $x_2 = W_1x_1 + b_1$ $W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 2}$, $b_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 1}$ $x_2 = \text{ReLU}(x_2)$, $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 1}$

2nd Hidden Layer $x_2 = w_1x_1 + v_1$ $w_1 \in \mathbb{R}^-$, $v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^ x_2 = w_1x_2 + v_3 = w_1x_2 + v_3 = w_1x_2 + v_3 = w_1x_3 + v_3 = w_1x$

Forward pass: $x_1 = w_0 x x_2 = v w_1 x_1 L = (x_2 - y)^2$

Backward pass:

$$p_2 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 2(x_2 - y) \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} \cdot \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial v} = 2(x_2 - y)w_1x_1 \qquad \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_1} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} \cdot \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial w_1} = 2(x_2 - y)vx_1$$

$$p_1 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} \cdot \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial x_1} = 2(x_2 - y)vw_1 \qquad \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_0} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} \cdot \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial w_0} = 2(x_2 - y)vw_1x$$

PCA Algorithm Simplified Flow Center the data \rightarrow compute sample covariance matrix $S = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i x_i^T \rightarrow$ compute top k eigenvalues and retrieve their corresponding eigenvectors. In feature space we replace all x with ϕ , the feature maps, and compute design matrix as $\Phi_{ij} \leftarrow \Phi_{ij} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Phi_{ij}$, where $\Phi_{ij} = \phi_j(x_i)$ is the raw design matrix. **PCA Whitening Transform** Principal component scores are given by Z = XU where X is the original features and U is

the matrix of eigenvectors. The transformation $X' = XU\Lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, where Λ is the matrix of eigenvalues makes cov(X') = I.

Neural Network AutoEncoders Choose encoder T_{enc} and decoder T_{dec} as:

 $T_{enc}(x;\theta) = A\sigma(Wx + b)$ $T_{dec}(x;\phi) = B\sigma(Vx + c)$

$$\theta = (A, W, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times q} \times \mathbb{R}^{q \times d} \times \mathbb{R}^{q} \qquad \phi = (B, V, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times q'} \times \mathbb{R}^{q' \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^{q'}$$

Given a dataset $\mathcal{D} = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$, we solve the empirical risk minimization to minimize the distance between x_i and x_i' : $\min_{\theta,\phi} \tfrac{1}{2N} \sum_i \|x_i - T_{\operatorname{dec}}(T_{\operatorname{enc}}(x_i;\theta);\phi)\|^2, \text{ where the input is used as labels}.$

Unsupervised Learning

Clustering partition a dataset \mathcal{D} into disjoint groups $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{D}_K$, such that data grouped together are similar and dissimilar if they are in different groups.

K-means Algorithm To minimize the distortion $\min_{Z,R} J(R,Z) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} r_{ik} \|x_i - z_k\|^2$:

Data: $\mathcal{D}=\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N, x_i\in\mathbb{R}^d \text{ for all } i$ Hyperparameters: K (number of clusters); stopping criterion (convergence of loss function j for instance) Initialize $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times d}$, that is, starting with some centroid set (which could determine algo performance)

While stopping criterion not reached do Assign point to the nearest centroid: $r_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = \arg\min_{j} \left\| x_i - z_j \right\|^2, \ i = 1, \dots, N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Recompute centroid by taking average of members of cluster:
$$z_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{ik} x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{ik}}, \ k = 1, \dots, K$$

Return: centroids Z, assignment matrix R

Depending on the initial condition, we can end up with global/local optimum or saddle point.

Maximum Likelihood Estimation Given dataset x_i , model them as i.i.d. samples from $p(x|\theta)$, θ are parameters to be determined: $\theta_{\text{MLE}} = \arg\max_{\phi} \log\left(\prod_i p(x_i|\phi)\right) = \sum_i \log(p(x_i|\phi))$

Gaussian Mixture Models (GMMs) Soft probabilistic labeling as compared to K-means (hard deterministic labeling as compared to K-means) ling): Model the data as samples from a linear convex combination of K Gaussian:

ing): Model the data as samples from a linear convex combination of
$$K$$
 Gaussian:
$$p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \, p_g(x; z_k, \Sigma_k), \text{ where } p_g(x_i|z, \Sigma) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-z)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x-z)\right\},$$
 $\pi_k \geq 0, \quad \sum_k \pi_k = 1 \quad \text{(Mixture weights)}$ Sampling from GMM

- Sample r (one-hot) according to π_k find a member Gaussian distribution Identify the "hot" coordinate of r Go to that distribution
- Sample x from $p_g(x; z_\ell, \Sigma_\ell)$ sampling by its mean and covariance matrix

MLE for GMM

Data: $\mathcal{D} = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N, x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ for all } i$ Hyperparameters: K (num_clusters); stopping criterion Initialize: $\pi_k = 1/K, z_k \in \mathbb{R}^d, \Sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ for $k = 1, \dots, K$ While stopping criterion not reached do

Update
$$\gamma_{ik}$$
, the responsibility term: $r_{ik} = \frac{\pi_k p_g(x; z_k, \Sigma_k)}{\sum_{\ell=1}^K \pi_\ell p_g(x; z_\ell, \Sigma_\ell)}$, $i = 1, \dots, N, k = 1, \dots, K$

Compute $\{N_k = \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_{ik}\}$ and Update $\{\pi_k, z_k, \Sigma_k\}$

$$z_k = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_{ik} x_i, \quad \Sigma_k = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_{ik} (x_i - z_k) (x_i - z_k)^\top, \quad \pi_k = \frac{N_k}{N} \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, K$$
Return: cluster centers, covariances, and mixture coefficients $\{z_k, \Sigma_k, \pi_k\}$, cluster responsibilities or soft

assignments $\{\gamma_{ik}\}$ Relationship between K-means and GMM Set $\Sigma_k=\epsilon I_d$, then the responsibility term becomes $\gamma_{ik}=\epsilon I_d$

 $\frac{-\kappa \cdots r \left(-2\epsilon^{\parallel x_1-z_k\parallel}\right)}{\sum_{\ell} \pi_{\ell} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|x_1-z_{\ell}\|^2\right)}, \text{ and when we take } \epsilon \to 0 \text{ we will get the hard label assignment as K-means.}$

Reinforcement Learning

Key Elements of RL Action (action space, policy/chain of actions leading to optimal reward), State (match with action to form transition function, terminal / goal state), Reward (reward function)

Markov Property The future does not depend on the past, i.e. for transition probability $\mathbb{P}(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s, S_{t-1} = s')$ $s_{t-1}, \ldots, S_0 = s_0$), it is simply $\mathbb{P}(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s)$.

Transition Matrix In time homogeneous Markov Chain, The transition probability is independent of time: $\mathbb{P}(S_{t+1} =$ $s'|S_t=s)=P_{ss'}=p(s'|s)$ and $\{P_{ss'}\}$ is the transition matrix.

Markov Decision Process (MDP) $p(s', r|s, a) = \mathbb{P}[S_{t+1} = s', R_{t+1} = r|S_t = s, A_t = a]$, which integrate reward R and action a to the transition probability. It is finite if the action space is discrete finite for each state.

Policy $\pi(a|s) = \mathbb{P}[A_t = a | S_t = s]$, and deterministic policy is a constant mapping from the state space to action space

(Discounted) Return $G_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1}$, $\gamma \in (0,1]$, where γ is the discount factor. The goal is typically to maximize the expected return, and in the context of time homogeneous case, $\mathbb{E}_{\pi} [G_t | S_t = s] = 0$ $\mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{t+k+1} \right| S_t = s \right|$

Dynamic Programming Memoization / caching the result of overlapping optimal substructures. Trade space for cost of recomputation for naive implementations and space usage can be optimized through iterative approach. Bellman's Equation $v_{\pi}(s) = \sum_{a} \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a) [r + \gamma v_{\pi}(s')]$

This can be derived using DP to formulate a recursive solver for value function $v_{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\left[G_t | S_t = s\right]$ and action value function $q_{\pi}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} [G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a]$. and substitute the action value function to the value function. Bellman's Equation for Finite MDP $v_{\pi} = \gamma P(\pi)v_{\pi} + b(\pi)$, where:

- $P(\pi)_{s,s'} = \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_r p(s',r|s,a)$ is the state transition matrix for the policy π (N * N matrix with N being number of states, so we only sum over r)
- $b(\pi)(s) = \sum_{a} \pi(a|s) \sum_{s'=r} p(s',r|s,a) \cdot r$ is the expected immediate reward for the policy π (N*1 matrix)

The unique solution would thus be $v_{\pi} = (I - \gamma P(\pi))^{-1} b(\pi)$.

Optimal Policy $\pi_* \geq \pi \quad \forall \pi \neq \pi_*$ Always exist but may not be unique. Policy Improvement For any two policies π , π' , if $\sum_a \pi'(a|s)q_\pi(s,a) \geq \sum_a \pi(a|s)q_\pi(s,a) \forall s$ then $v_{\pi'}(s) \geq v_\pi(s) \forall s$,

and we assert π' is better than π .

Bellman's Optimality Equations Given an optimal policy $\pi_*(s) \in \arg \max_a q_*(s,a)$, we can recursively find optimal value and action value functions:

 $v_*(s) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a) [r + \gamma v_*(s')] \qquad q_*(s,a) = \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a) \left[r + \gamma \max_{a' \in \mathcal{A}} q_*(s',a')\right]$

 $v_*(s) = \max_a q_*(s, a)$ Optimal Policy: $\pi_*(s) \in \arg \max_a q_*(s, a)$

Lecture 9

Model-Based vs Model-Free Model-based assumes that the transition function is known: Value iteration, policy iteration. Model-free improves through sampling/ Monte Carlo simulations: Temporal Difference (TD), Q-learning. Value Iteration Algorithm Start with some value function, update utility estimate iteratively and keep track of corresponding current optimal actions

Model Parameters: MDP transition probability p(s', r|s, a), discount rate $\gamma < 1$

Input: Policy π , Stopping criterion

Initialize $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$

While stopping criterion not reached do Update value function: $v \leftarrow F(v) = \max_{\pi} \{ \gamma P(\pi) v + b(\pi) \}$ (Make use of P, model-based)

Return v

Contraction Mapping Theorem Fix point iteration will lead to a unique $v_* \in V$ such that $v_* = F(v_*)$, and v_* can be estimated by starting at arbitrary v_0 and iterate for many times (towards infinity). Value Iteration Convergence Rate Given error ϵ being the difference between v_K and v_∞ , and number of iterations

k, to achieve $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ we need $k \sim \mathcal{O}(\log(1/\epsilon))$

Policy Iteration Algorithm Start with some policy, plug into value function and derive a better policy. Repeat until

Model Parameters: MDP transition probability p(s', r|s, a), discount rate $\gamma < 1$

Initialize: Deterministic policy $\pi \leftarrow \pi_0$

While $\pi \neq \pi'$ do $\pi' \leftarrow \pi$ (Set the next policy for evaluation)

 $v_{\pi} \leftarrow (I - \gamma P(\pi))^{-1} b(\pi)$ (Evaluate policy π using P, model-based)

 $\pi' \leftarrow \arg\max_{\pi} \{b(\pi) + \gamma P(\pi) v_{\pi}\}$ (Retrieve subsequent best policy)

Its convergence can be shown by $v_{\pi_k} \leq v_{\pi_{k+1}} \leq v_*$ from the algorithm (argmax, minimally equivalent values) and $\pi_{k+1} \neq \pi_k$ if and only if $v_{\pi_{k+1}} > v_{\pi_k}$ (otherwise the while loop ends)

Monte Carlo Method IID sampling and compute expectation: $\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mu} f(x) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(X_i)$ where $X_i \sim \mu$ is IID Model-free Policy Iteration Instead of relaying on the transition matrix P we sample episodes according current π to get samples of state and reward sequence (S and R), and get the value by averaging discounted rewards. Concretely, update estimation for action-value function by: $q(s,a) \leftarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k R_{k+1}^{(n)} \middle| S_0^{(n)} = s, A_0^{(n)} = a \right]$ and

perform policy improvement based on this q(s,a). Temporal Difference (TD) Algorithm

Input: State-reward simulator, initial state sampler, Stopping criterion, Policy π

Initialize: Initial value v_0 , Episode length T, Start with $v \leftarrow v_0$;

While stopping criterion not reached do

 $s \leftarrow \text{InitialStateSampler}()(\text{The start of the new episode})$ For t=0 to T-1 do

 $a \leftarrow a \sim \pi(\cdot|s)$

 $s', r \leftarrow \text{StateRewardSimulator}(s, a)$

 $v(s) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)v(s) + \alpha \left(r + \gamma v(s')\right)$

Return v

Q-learning Algorithm

Input: State-reward simulator, initial state sampler, Stopping criterion, Policy π

Initialize: Initial value q_0 , Episode length T, Start with $q \leftarrow q_0$

While stopping criterion not reached do

 $s \leftarrow InitialStateSampler()$ For t = 0 to T - 1 do

 $s', r \leftarrow \text{StateRewardSimulator}(s, a)$ $q(s, a) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)q(s, a) + \alpha \left[r + \gamma \max_{a'} q(s', a')\right]$

Return a

Graph-Based Methods

 $\textbf{Page Rank} \text{ Let } L_k \, \subset \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \text{ denote the set of pages with a link to page } k; \text{ that is, } L_k \text{ is the set of page } k's$ backlinks. For each k we require $x_k = \sum_{j \in L_k} \frac{x_j}{n_j}$ where n_j is the number of outgoing links from page j.

The resultant matrix A representing pairwise values of x_k is a column-stochastic matrix with an eigenvalue equal to

1, and 1 is also its largest eigenvalue. For instance: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Power Iteration Let the eigenvalues of A be $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_n$ and the associated eigenvectors be v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n . Then any vector x_0 can be written as $x_0 = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \cdots + c_nv_n$. Then

$$A^{k}x_{0} = c_{1}\lambda_{1}^{k}v_{1} + c_{2}\lambda_{2}^{k}v_{2} + \dots + c_{n}\lambda_{n}^{k}v_{n} = c_{1}\lambda_{1}^{k}\left(v_{1} + \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{k}v_{2} + \dots + \frac{c_{n}}{c_{1}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{k}v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{1}\lambda_{1}^{k}v_{1}$$

Graph Laplacian $L_G := D - W = \sum_{i < j} w_{ij} (e_i - e_j) (e_i - e_j)^{\top}$, the diagnol entries are the degree of each node and other entires are $-w_{ij}$ Spectral Clustering for multi-clusters

Given a graph G = (V, E, W), let v_2, \ldots, v_k be the eigenvectors corresponding to the second through (k-1)th

eigenvalues of the normalized Laplacian $\mathcal{L}_G = D^{-\frac{1}{2}} L_G D^{-\frac{1}{2}}$;

Let $\phi_m = D^{-\frac{1}{2}} v_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$; Consider the map $\phi: V \to \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ defined as $\phi(v_i) = [\phi_2(i) \quad \cdots \quad \phi_k(i)]^T$, where D is the degree matrix with $D_{ii} = \deg(i) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij}$;

Cluster the n points in k-1 dimensions into k clusters using k-means.

For example, given k=2, we can compute $\phi_2=D^{-\frac{1}{2}}v_2$, choose a threshold τ (try and error or k-means for k=2), and set $S = \{i \in V : \phi_2(i) < \tau\}.$