# Introduction to the rstpm2 package

#### Mark Clements

Karolinska Institutet

#### Abstract

This vignette outlines the methods and provides some examples for link-based survival models as implemented in the R rstpm2 package.

Keywords: survival, splines.

# 1. Background and theory

Link-based survival models provide a flexible and general approach to modelling survival or time-to-event data. The survival function S(t|x) to time t for covariates x is defined in terms of a link function G and a linear prediction  $\eta(t,x)$ , such that

$$S(t|x) = G(\eta(t,x))$$

where  $\eta$  is a function of both time t and covariates x. The linear predictor can be constructed in a flexible manner. Royston and Parmar (2003) focused on time being modelled using natural splines for log-time, including left truncation and relative survival. We have implemented the Royston-Parmar model class and extended it in several ways, allowing for: (i) general parametric models for  $\eta(t,x)$ , including B-splines and natural splines for different transformations of time; (ii) general semi-parametric models for  $\eta(t,x)$  including penalised smoothers together with unpenalised parametric functions; (iii) interval censoring; and (iv) frailties using Gamma and log-Normal distributions. Fully parametric models are estimated using maximum penalised likelihood, while the semi-parametric models are estimated using maximum penalised likelihood with smoothing parameters selected using A more detailed theoretical development is available from the paper by Liu, Pawitan and Clements (available on request). Why would you want to use these models?

### 2. Mean survival

This has a useful interpretation for causal inference.

$$E_Z(S(t|Z, X = 1)) - E_Z(S(t|Z, X = 0))$$

```
fit <- stpm(...)
predict(fit,type="meansurv",newdata=data)</pre>
```

### 3. Cure models

For cure, we use the melanoma dataset used by Andersson and colleagues for cure models with Stata's stpm2 (see http://www.pauldickman.com/survival/).

Initially, we merge the patient data with the all cause mortality rates.

```
> popmort2 <- transform(rstpm2::popmort,exitage=age,exityear=year,age=NULL,year=NULL)
> colon2 <- within(rstpm2::colon, {</pre>
    status <- ifelse(surv_mm>120.5,1,status)
    tm <- pmin(surv_mm, 120.5)/12</pre>
    exit <- dx+tm*365.25
   sex <- as.numeric(sex)</pre>
  exitage <- pmin(floor(age+tm),99)</pre>
    exityear <- floor(yydx+tm)</pre>
    ##year8594 <- (year8594=="Diagnosed 85-94")
+ })
> colon2 <- merge(colon2,popmort2)</pre>
For comparisons, we fit the relative survival model without and with cure.
> fit0 <- stpm2(Surv(tm, status %in% 2:3)~I(year8594=="Diagnosed 85-94"),
                 data=colon2,
+
                 bhazard=colon2$rate, df=5)
> summary(fit <- stpm2(Surv(tm, status %in% 2:3)~I(year8594=="Diagnosed 85-94"),
                        data=colon2,
+
                        bhazard=colon2$rate,
                        df=5, cure=TRUE))
Maximum likelihood estimation
mle2(minuslog1 = negl1, start = coef, eval.only = TRUE, vecpar = TRUE,
    gr = function (beta)
    {
        localargs <- args
        localargs$init <- beta</pre>
        localargs$return_type <- "gradient"</pre>
        return(.Call("model_output", localargs, package = "rstpm2"))
    \}, control = list(parscale = c(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), maxit = 300),
    lower = -Inf, upper = Inf)
Coefficients:
                                        Estimate Std. Error z value
(Intercept)
                                       -3.977323 0.054778 -72.6082 < 2.2e-16
I(year8594 == "Diagnosed 85-94")TRUE -0.155612 0.025088 -6.2027 5.551e-10
nsx(log(tm), df = 5, cure = TRUE)1 3.323191 0.053165 62.5066 < 2.2e-16
```

```
nsx(log(tm), df = 5, cure = TRUE)2
                                      3.628630
                                                 0.053159 68.2594 < 2.2e-16
nsx(log(tm), df = 5, cure = TRUE)3
                                                 0.022465 72.7743 < 2.2e-16
                                      1.634847
nsx(log(tm), df = 5, cure = TRUE)4
                                      6.592021
                                                 0.111504 59.1194 < 2.2e-16
nsx(log(tm), df = 5, cure = TRUE)5
                                      3.371809
                                                 0.042788 78.8027 < 2.2e-16
(Intercept)
I(year8594 == "Diagnosed 85-94")TRUE ***
nsx(log(tm), df = 5, cure = TRUE)1
nsx(log(tm), df = 5, cure = TRUE)2
nsx(log(tm), df = 5, cure = TRUE)3
nsx(log(tm), df = 5, cure = TRUE)4
                                     ***
nsx(log(tm), df = 5, cure = TRUE)5
                                     ***
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
-2 log L: 42190.77
> predict(fit,head(colon2),se.fit=TRUE)
   Estimate
                lower
                          upper
1 0.8610828 0.8542898 0.8675842
2 0.7934651 0.7850103 0.8016309
3 0.6967400 0.6863191 0.7068926
4 0.8610828 0.8542898 0.8675842
5 0.8221243 0.8143226 0.8296334
6 0.8610828 0.8542898 0.8675842
```


The estimate for the year parameter from the model without cure is within three significant figures with that in Stata. For the predictions, the Stata model gives:

	+-			+
	1	surv	surv_lci	surv_uci
	-			
1.	-	.86108264	.8542898	.8675839
2.	-	.79346526	.7850106	.8016309
3.	-	.69674037	.6863196	.7068927
4.	-	.86108264	.8542898	.8675839
5.	-	.82212425	.8143227	.8296332
	-			
6.	-	.86108264	.8542898	.8675839
	+-			+


We can estimate the proportion of failures prior to the last event time:

```
> newdata.eof <- data.frame(year8594 = unique(colon2$year8594),
+ tm=10)
> 1-predict(fit0, newdata.eof, type="surv", se.fit=TRUE)
```

```
Estimate
                lower
                          upper
1 0.6060933 0.6208798 0.5913474
2 0.5512425 0.5658371 0.5367647
> 1-predict(fit, newdata.eof, type="surv", se.fit=TRUE)
   Estimate
                lower
                          upper
1 0.5913317 0.6055024 0.5772183
2 0.5350824 0.5485383 0.5217445
> predict(fit, newdata.eof, type="haz", se.fit=TRUE)
      Estimate
                      lower
                                    upper
1 1.254130e-06 1.093036e-06 1.438966e-06
2 1.073398e-06 9.335145e-07 1.234243e-06
We can plot the predicted survival estimates:
> tms=seq(0,10,length=301)[-1]
```



And the hazard curves:



## Affiliation:

Mark Clements Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics Karolinska Institutet

Email: mark.clements@ki.se