

AMORTIZED ANALYSIS

INSTRUCTOR: VALERIE KING
SCRIBE: VALERIE KING

Data structures for disjoint sets
chapter 22

$X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$ set of n elements
 $S = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k\}$ set of subsets of X
Each set is identified by a representative member of the set

`makeset(x)`
`union(x,y)` let S_x be set containing x , S_y set containing y ,
destroy S_x and S_y and replace with their union
`findset(x)` returns pointer to representative of set containing x .

Note: no more than $n-1$ unions, n makesets, any number of findsets
How to implement this:

Attempt 1:

represent each set by linked list, pointer from each element to
to first element=representative.

to union, append S_x to S_y :

Cost of `makeset` $O(1)$
cost of `findset` $O(1)$
cost of union $|S_x|$

could be high
for example: $\theta(n^2)$ for unions

Attempt #2:

A weighted union:

Always add smaller list to back of longer list:

Total cost of unions then is $O(n \log n)$

$O(m)$ for m other operations.

Weighted union takes $O(n \log n)$ over course of algorithm:

proof:

let x be any element. How many times can x 's pointer be updated?

Each time x is updated, size of list containing x increases by at least 2.

So can only update x $\log n$ times.

Attempt #3

Disjoint forest

represent each set by a rooted tree, parent pointers

node contains one element

root is representative of set and points to itself

findset: need to trace up parent pointers to root

union: make one tree child of root of other tree

makeset

Could get very long trees

2 ideas:

union by rank

path compression

union by rank

Idea: make root of tree with fewer nodes point to tree with more nodes

use rank of tree as upper bound on its height.

path compression

when you do findset, set each node you pass to point to root

pseudocode:

makeset(x) :

$p(x) \leftarrow x$

$rank(x) \leftarrow 0$

union(x, y)

link(findset(x), findset(y))

```

link(x,y)
  if rank[x] > rank[y]
    then p[y] <- x
    else p[x] <- y
    if rank[x]=rank[y] then rank[y] <-rank[y]+1

```

```

findset(x)
if x ~p(x)
  then p[x]<-findset(p[x])
return p[x]

```

(sets all parent pointers on path to root, to the root)

Let m be the number of operations and n the number of elements
 total cost is $O(m \alpha(m,n))$ where $\alpha(m,n)$ is the inverse
 Ackermann's function

Ackermann's function
 $A(1,j) = 2^j$ for all j
 $A(i,1)=A(i-1,2)$ $i>1$
 $A(i,j)=A(i-1,A(i,j-1))$ $i,j >1$

$i \backslash j =$	1	2	3	4
1	2	2^2	2^3	2^4
2	2^2	2^{2^2}	$2^{(2^2(2^2))}$	$2^{(2^2 2^2 2^2)}$
3	2^{2^2}	$2^{\{2^{\dots^2}\}}$ 16 of 'em	$2^{\{2^{\dots^2}\}}$ $2^{\{2^{\dots^2}\}}$ 16	$2^{\{2^{\dots^2}\}}$ $2^{\{2^{\dots^2}\}}$ $2^{\{2^{\dots^2}\}}$ 16

e.g.
 $2^{\{2^2 2^2 2^2\}} = 2^{(2^2(2^2(2^2)))} = 2^{65536}$
 4

Let $\alpha = \text{inverse ackermann's}(m,n) = \min\{i \geq 1 \mid A(i, \text{floor}(m/n)) > \lg n\}$
 $A(4, m/n) > A(4,1) \sim 10^{80}$ so never more than 4, in reality.

Theorem: If $m \geq n$ disjoint sets operations are performed on n elements then the amortiz

Lemma 1: for any node x , $\text{rank}(x) \leq \text{rank}(p(x))$
 equal only if $p(x)=x$.
 rank increases until x is no longer a root. Then it
 is unchanged.

Lemma 2: The number of nodes in a tree with root x
 is at least $2^{\text{rank}(x)}$
 proof by induction

Lemma 3: The number of nodes of rank k is at most $n/2^k$.
 (every node has rank at most $\lg n$).

proof: When a node x is assigned the rank k , label every node in
 its subtree by x . Every node can be so labelled once.
 Since there are 2^k such nodes, there can be no more than
 $n/2^k$ nodes labelled rank k .

partition the set of ranks into blocks.

$B(0,j)=j$
 $B(i,0)=0$
 $B(1,j)=2^j$ for $j>0$.
 $B(i,1)=A(i,1)=A(i-1,2)$ $i>1$
 $B(i,j)=A(i,j)$ $i,j>1$, $=A(i-1, A(i,j-1))$.
 $B(\alpha(m,n)+1, 1)=A(\alpha(m,n), \text{floor}(m/n)) > \lg n$
 $\alpha(m,n)$ is the minimum i s.t. $A(i, \text{floor}(m/n)) > \log n$.

Understanding this:

$\text{block}(i,j) = [B(i,j) \dots B(i,j+1)-1]$

Note that each block at level 0 contains one number

In general, to figure out where $\text{block}(i,j)$ starts, look at the first
 number $B(i,j-1)$ in $\text{block}(i,j-1)$ and count $0,1,2, B(i,j-1)-1$ blocks
 on level $i-1$. Start $\text{block}(i,j)$ where the $B(i,j-1)$ -th block on level $i-1$
 starts.

There is only one block for level $\alpha(m,n)+1$ by the definition of α .

Let b_{ij} = the number of level $i-1$ blocks partitioning block (i,j) .
 $b_{i0}=2$ for $i \in [1, \dots, \alpha(m,n)]$
 $b_{ij} \leq A(i,j)$ for $i \in [1, \dots, \alpha(m,n)]$

DEF: the LEVEL of node x is the minimum i such that
 $\text{rank}(x)$ and $\text{rank}(p(x))$ are in a common block of the level i partition.

If $x=p(x)$, $\text{level}(x)=0$.

after a while, $p(x)$'s rank goes up while x 's rank stays stable.

Allocate 1 credit for each makeset, 1 for each link,
 and $\alpha(m,n) + 2$ for each find.

on the find path, need one credit on the path to
 the root.

If level $i < 1$ then x is a root.

For each level $i > 0$, can assign 1 credit to the last node on level i in the
 path.

What about the other nodes?

A node only incurs a cost if it has a parent, its rank is fixed.

Consider a node x in level $i > 0$ which is not the last in its level.
 $\text{rank}(x)$ and $\text{rank}(p(x))$ are in different blocks on level $i-1$.
 and $p(x)$ and the last node on the path are in different blocks on
 level $i-1$ before the find, since x isn't the last node on its level.
 So after the find, the new $p(x)$ is in a new
 block on level $i-1$.

Recall:

b_{ij} = the number of level $i-1$ blocks partitioning block (i,j) .
 Then this can happen at most $b_{ij}-1$ times while $\text{rank}(x)$ is in block (i,j)
 while x is in level i .

After that, $\text{rank}(x)$ and $\text{rank}(p(x))$ are in different level i blocks.

Let n_{ij} = the number of nodes with rank in $\text{block}(i,j)$.

The total number of credits used is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha(m,n)+1} \sum_{j \geq 0} n_{ij} (b_{ij} - 1)$$

For $j=0$, $n_{i0} \leq n$.

$$b_{i0}=2.$$

For $j>0$,

$$n_{ij} \leq \sum_{k=B(i,j)}^{B(i,j+1)-1} n/2^k.$$

$$\leq 2n/(2^{B(i,j)-1}) = n/2^{A(i,j)-1} \text{ for } i \in [1, \dots, \alpha(m,n)], j>0.$$

$$b_{i0}=2 \text{ for } i \in [1, \dots, \alpha(m,n)]$$

$$b_{ij} \leq A(i,j) \text{ for } i \in [1, \dots, \alpha(m,n)]$$

and

$B_{\alpha(m,n)+1, 0} = A(\alpha(m,n), \text{floor}(m/n))$, which is the min

i such that $A(i, m/n) > \lg n$.

i.e., $B_{\alpha(m,n)+1, 0} \geq \lg n$.

So $b_{\alpha(m,n)+1, 0} \leq m/n$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha(m,n)+1} \sum_{j \geq 0} n_{ij} (b_{ij} - 1)$$

$$\leq n(2-1) + n(m/n-1) + n \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha(m,n)} \sum_{j \geq 1} A(i,j)/2^{A(i,j)-1}$$

$$\leq n + m + n \sum_{r=1}^{\alpha(m,n)} \lg \text{ceil}(A(1,j)) 2^r/2^{A(1,j)-1}$$

$$\leq n + m + n (\alpha(m,n) \sum_{k=1}^{\lg \text{ceil}(A(i,j))} k/2^k$$

$$= O(m + n(\alpha(m,n))).$$

???

$\leq n + m + n \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha(m,n)} \sum_{r=1}^{\lg \text{ceil}(A(i,l))} 2^r/2^{A(i,j)-1}$
since $\sum_{r=1}^{\lg A(i,l)} 2^r \leq 2A(i,l)$ where l is the highest j on level i .

$$\leq n + m + n \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha(m,n)} 2(A(i,l)+1)/2^{A(i,j)-1}$$

$$\leq n + m + n \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha(m,n)} A(i,l)+1/2^{A(i,j)-1}$$

?????

$$\leq n + m + n \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha(m,n)} k+1/2^{k-2}$$

1,0-1 Top