

# MATH 442/551 Assignment #3 Solutions

December 3, 2018

1. Show that, if an orbit  $\Gamma$  is not a closed orbit, and its  $\omega$  limit set  $\omega(\Gamma)$  is a closed orbit, then, there exists a positively invariant set bounded by the orbit  $\Gamma$  and  $\omega(\Gamma)$ , such that  $\omega(\Gamma)$  is the  $\omega$  limit set of all orbits starting in this positively invariant set. (Hint, firstly, show that there exists a neighborhood of a closed orbit that contains no equilibrium; secondly, consider a cross section  $\ell$  of  $\omega(\Gamma)$ ).

Proof: Here, to stress, we are considering an autonomous planar system  $\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x)$  where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $f(x)$  satisfy the Existence and Uniqueness Theorem so that the orbits exist and is unique from any initial condition. Without loss of generality, suppose that the orbit  $\Gamma = \{\phi^t(x_0) : t \geq 0\}$  (where  $\phi^t(x_0)$  is the solution with initial condition  $x_0$ ) is on the outside of the closed orbit  $\omega(\Gamma)$ . We will carry out the proof in the following steps:

a) There is a neighborhood  $U$  of the closed orbit  $\omega(\Gamma)$  that contains no equilibrium. This can be shown because for any  $x \in \omega(\Gamma)$ , the vector field  $f(x) \neq 0$ . Thus, by continuity, there exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}_x$  such that  $f(p) \neq 0$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{N}_x$ . Let  $\Omega = \cup_{x \in \omega(\Gamma)} \mathcal{N}_x$ , then there is no equilibrium in  $\Omega$ .

b) There is a point on the closed orbit,  $x \in \omega(\Gamma)$ , such that a transverse  $\ell$  at  $x$  also intersects with  $\Gamma$  multiple times. To show this, pick any  $x \in \omega(\Gamma)$ , then there exists a time sequence  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , such that  $x_n = \phi^{t_n}(x_0) \rightarrow x$ . Take any transversal  $\ell$  at  $x$ . Note there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that any orbit starting in the  $\varepsilon$  ball  $B_\varepsilon = \{y : \|y - x\| \leq \varepsilon\}$  intersects with  $\ell$ . Since  $x_n \in B_\varepsilon$  for all  $n$  large enough, the orbit starting with  $x_n$  (i.e.,  $\Gamma$  itself) must intersect with  $\ell$ , infinite many times.

c) Let  $x_1$  be the first intersection of  $\Gamma$  and the transversal  $\ell$  in  $U$ , then the Poincare map  $P(x_1)$  is defined, and  $P(x_1)$  is between  $x_1$  and  $x$ . This is

because the intersections with  $\ell$  must be ordered. Thus, if  $P(x_1)$  is outside of the segment between  $x_1$  and  $x$  on  $l$ , then all future intersections are bounded by  $x_1$ , and thus  $x_n$  cannot approach  $x$ .

d) Then the region  $\Omega \subset U$  bounded by  $\Gamma$  (the segment between  $x_1$  and  $P(x_1)$ ),  $\omega(\Gamma)$ , and the transversal  $\ell$  form a ring like region that is positively invariant. The region  $\Omega$  is positively invariant because any orbit starting in  $\Omega$ , say  $\Gamma_y = \{\phi^t(y) : t \geq 0, y \in \Omega\}$ , it cannot leave the region  $\Omega$  through the orbits  $\omega(\Gamma)$  and  $\Gamma$ , because orbits cannot intersect.

e) By the Poincare-Bendixon theorem, for any orbit  $\Gamma_y$  starting in  $\Omega$  (as defined above), its  $\omega$  limit set  $\omega(\Gamma_y)$  must be either an equilibrium or a closed orbit. But there is no equilibrium in  $U$ , so it has to be a closed orbit.

f) Note that  $\omega(\Gamma_y) = \omega(\Gamma)$ , otherwise,  $\Gamma$  is bounded inside by  $\omega(\Gamma_y)$ , and thus cannot approach  $\omega(\Gamma)$ . This completes the proof.

2. Consider the following system

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= x(3 - 2x - y), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= y(3 - x - 2y).\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Show that all orbit starting in the first quadrant are positively bounded. (Hint, show that the square  $[0, M] \times [0, M]$  is positively invariant for large enough  $M$ ).
- (b) Find the equilibria and classify them.
- (c) Show that all orbits with a positive initial condition must approach the positive equilibrium. (Hint, we have shown in class that there is no closed orbit in the first quadrant).

Solution:

a) We consider the square  $\Omega = \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x, y \leq M\}$ . Note that when  $x = 0$ ,  $\frac{dy}{dt} = y(3 - 2y)$ , so the  $y$  axis consists of orbits (i.e., is invariant). Similarly, the  $x$  axis is also invariant. Thus, any orbit starting in the interior  $\Omega$  cannot intersect with the two axes, otherwise, the whole orbit must be contained in the axis it intersects. So orbits starting in  $\Omega$  cannot leave  $\Omega$  through the two axes. We will then show that they cannot leave through the other two boundaries of  $\Omega$  either. On the boundary  $\{M\} \times [0, M]$ , i.e.  $x = M$  and  $0 \leq y \leq M$ , the normal vector is  $\vec{n} = (1, 0)$ , and the vector field  $f$  on

the boundary satisfies

$$f \cdot \vec{n} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} x(3 - 2x - y) \\ y(3 - x - 2y) \end{array} \right]_{x=M} \cdot \vec{n} = M(3 - 2M - y) \leq 0$$

for large  $M > 3/2$ . That is, for large  $M$ , orbits can only enter  $\Omega$  through this boundary. Similarly we can show that orbits can only enter  $\Omega$  through  $[0, M] \times \{M\}$ . Thus,  $\Omega$  is positively invariant. any orbit starting in  $\Omega$  is positively bounded by  $M$  in both  $x$  and  $y$ . Since  $M$  is arbitrary, any orbit, suppose its initial condition is  $(x_0, y_0)$  is bounded by such an  $M$ . So any orbit is positively bounded.

b) To find equilibrium, we solve

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= x(3 - 2x - y), \\ 0 &= y(3 - x - 2y). \end{aligned}$$

Here, if  $x = 0$ ,  $y = 0$  or  $y = 3/2$ . Similarly, if  $y = 0$ ,  $x = 0$  or  $x = 3/2$ . If both  $x$  and  $y$  are not zero, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 3 - 2x - y, \\ 0 &= 3 - x - 2y, \end{aligned}$$

which has a unique solution  $(1, 1)$ . Thus, there are four equilibria,  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(3/2, 0)$ ,  $(0, 3/2)$ , and  $(1, 1)$ . To classify them, we need to compute their Jacobian and compute the eigenvalues. The Jacobian is

$$J(x, y) = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 3 - 4x - y & -x \\ -y & 3 - x - 4y \end{array} \right].$$

At  $(0, 0)$ ,

$$J(0, 0) = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{array} \right],$$

which eigenvalues are 3 and 3, thus it is an unstable node.

At  $(3/2, 0)$ ,

$$J(3/2, 0) = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} -3 & -3/2 \\ 0 & 3/2 \end{array} \right],$$

which eigenvalues are  $3/2$  and  $-3/2$ , thus it is a saddle.

Similarly,  $(0, 3/2)$  is also a saddle.

At  $(1, 1)$ ,

$$J(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix},$$

which eigenvalues are  $-1$  and  $-3$ . This, this is a stable node.

c) As shown in class, there is no closed orbit. Recall that this is shown by taking  $\phi = \frac{1}{xy}$ , then

$$\nabla \cdot (\phi f) = \nabla \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 3 - 2x - y \\ 3 - x - 2y \end{bmatrix} = -4 < 0.$$

Thus, by the Bendixson Theorem, there is no closed orbit. From a), any orbit starting in the interior of the first quadrant, say  $\Gamma = \{\phi^t(x_0, y_0) : x_0, y_0 > 0\}$  is positively bounded. Thus, from the Poincare-Bendixson theorem,  $\omega(\Gamma)$  must then be a fixed point.

The fixed point cannot be  $(0, 0)$  because it is repelling, i.e., there is no  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $\phi^{t_n}(x_0, y_0) \rightarrow 0$ .

The fixed point in  $\omega(\Gamma)$  cannot be a saddle, because otherwise it has to include its stable manifold  $W_s$ , and thus also  $\omega(W_s)$ . Since  $\omega(W_s)$  must also be a fixed point, and it cannot be  $(0, 0)$  with the reasoning above. If it is  $(0, 3/2)$  or  $(3/2, 0)$ , then we have a hetero- or homoclinic orbit, which does not exist in this system (excluded by the Bendixson Theorem). This  $\omega(W_s) = (1, 1)$ . But  $(1, 1)$  is attractive, thus, there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all solution starting in the  $\varepsilon$  neighborhood  $(1, 1)$ , namely  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ , the solution must approach  $(1, 1)$ , and since  $W_s$  eventually falls into  $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ , and so does  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma$  must approach  $(1, 1)$ , which conflicts with the assumption that a saddle is in  $\omega(\Gamma)$ .

This leaves with only one possibility,  $\omega(\Gamma) = (1, 1)$ , that is, any orbit  $\Gamma$  must approach the equilibrium  $(1, 1)$ .