NP-Completeness

A language L is NP-complete if

- L is in NP
- L is NP-hard: there is a polynomial time reduction from any other language in NP L.

The *Cook-Levin Theorem* states that SAT is NP-complete. This implies $SAT \in P$ iff P = NP, i.e., SAT is at least as hard as any problem in NP and $SAT \in NP$.

We will prove this theorem later in the course.

Poly-time Reductions

- We want a definition of reduction so that if (1) L is polynomial time reducible to L' and (2) L' is in P, then L is in P.
- A function $f: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ is a *polynomial time computable function* if some polynomial time deterministic TM exists which when any input w is input, the TM halts with just f(w) on its tape.
- A language A is polynomial time reducible to language B, $A \leq_P B$ if there is a polynomial time computable function $f: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ such that:

$$w \in A \iff f(w) \in B$$

The function f is a *polynomial time reduction* from A to B.

Poly-time Reductions

Theorem: If $A \leq_P B$ and $B \in P$ then $A \in P$.

Proof: Suppose M is a polytime alg. for deciding B and f is a polytime reduction from A to B. Here is a polytime TM to decide A:

- 1. Compute f(w)
- 2. Run M on f(w) and output whatever M outputs

Why won't the old definition of reduction work?

NP-completeness

Theorem: If B is NP-complete and $B \in P$ then P = NP.

Proof: Suppose that B is NP-complete and $B \in P$. Let L be any language in NP. Since B NP-complete, $L \leq_P B$. Since, $B \in P$ we use the previous theorem to conclude that $L \in P$.

So the assumptions imply $NP \subseteq P$. Since it is clear that $P \subseteq NP$, we conclude that P = NP.

Proving a Language L is NP-complete

We first show the following

Lemma: If $A \leq_P B$ and $B \leq_P C$, then $A \leq_P C$.

Proof: Let f be a poly-time reduction from A to B and g be a poly-time reduction from B to C. We claim that $f \circ g$ is a poly-time reduction from A to C.

Proof of claim: (Exercise)

Proving a Language L is NP-complete

Theorem: A language L is NP-complete if

- 1. L is in NP and
- 2. there is an NP-complete language B and $B \leq_P L$.

Proof: Let L_A be any language in NP. Since B is NP-complete, $L_A \leq_P B$. By assumption (2), $L_A \leq_P L$. Combining this with assumption (1), we can conclude that L is NP-complete.