Intractable Problems

- We have shonw that there are problems which cannot be decided by a computer. Now we look at the problems which can be decided and find that for many of them the only algorithms we have are not practical because they require too much time.
- Your customer asks you to design an algorithm for a particular problem.
 You work really hard, but the best you can come up with is to try all possible solutions, and there are many of them.
 - Do you try harder, or do you decide that perhaps you should settle for an approximate solution?
 - Goal: develop a method you can use for persuading yourself and your customer that the problem is hard (intractable), so hard that it is unlikely that anyone could find a fast algorithm for it.

Polynomial Time

Running time must depend on the input – but how? Clearly, just to e.g., read or copy an input string depends on the *length*

We measure the running time as a *function* of the *input length* (i.e. length as a string of symbols).

Types of analysis:

- Worst case analysis uses the maximu running time over all inputs of a particular length.
- Average case analysis uses the average of all running times over all inputs (or over some distribution of inputs) of a particular length.

Time Complexity

A deterministic TM M has a (worst-case) running time (or time complexity) t(n) if whenever M is given an input w of length n (i.e. |w|=n,) M halts after making at most t(n) moves, regardless of whether M accepts.

- t(n) is a function, such as $6n^2 + n$, $3n \log n$, etc.
- We say M runs in time t(n) and that M is an t(n)-time Turing machine.

Big-O Notation

Review from csc225.

- We estimate the running time of an algorithm using *asymptotic notation*. E.g. use the highest order term of the expression for the running time.
 - Let f and g be functions $f, g: N \to R^+$. We say f(n) = O(g(n)) if there are positive integers c, n_0 s.t. for every integer $n > n_0$,

$$f(n) \le cg(n)$$

- Bounds of the form $O(\log n)$ are logarithmic bounds

- Bounds of the form $O(n^c)$ are polynomial bounds.

– Bounds of the form $O(2^{n^{\delta}})$ for δ a positive real number are called *exponential* bounds.

Analyzing Algorithms for TM

- 1. A $O(n^2)$ algorithm for $\{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\}$.
- 2. A $O(n \log n)$ algorithm for the same problem.
- 3. Linear time O(n) algorithm on a two-tape machine.

The time complexity class $\mathsf{TIME}(t(n))$ is the collection of all languages that are decidable by an O(t(n)) time TM.

Complexity Relationship among Models

- A t(n) time multitape TM can be simulated by a $O((t(n))^2)$ single tape TM. Recall that in the simulation, the tapes are stored consecutively.
- Let N be a nondeterministic TM all of whose branches halt (decider). The $running\ time$ of N, f(n) is the maximum number of steps that N uses on any branches of its computation on any input of length n.
- A t(n) time nondeterministic TM can be simulated by a $O(2^{O(t(n))})$ time deterministic TM. Recall the simulation: Breadth first search of the tree of possible computations, using three tapes.

The Class P

P is the class of languages that are decidable in polynomial time on a deterministic single-tape TM. I.e., $P = \bigcup_k \mathsf{TIME}(n^k)$.

A TM is *poly-time* if it's running time is $O(n^k)$ for some k.

Thesis: Deterministic poly-time TM's and the class P adequately capture the intuitive notions of practically feasible algorithms, and realistically solvable problems, respectively.

Examples of Problems in P

- 1. The importance of coding: unary vs. binary; adjacency matrix, adjacency list.
- 2. $PATH = \{\langle G, s, t \rangle \mid G \text{ is a directed graph with a path from } s \text{ to } t\}.$
- 3. $RELPRIME = \{\langle x, y \rangle \mid x \text{ and } y \text{ are relatively prime}\}.$

Algorithm R uses the Euclidian algorithm as a subroutine:

INPUT: $\langle x, y \rangle$, natural numbers represented in binary.

- (a) Repeat until y = 0:
- (b) $x \leftarrow x \mod y$
- (d) Output x

Algorithm R for RELPRIME

Run *Euclidean Algorithm* on $\langle x, y \rangle$. If the result is 1, accept, else reject.

- Analysis: values of x drops every other round by at least 1/2.
- Cost is $(O(min\{2\log_2 x, 2\log_2 y\}) = O(n)$.

Every CFL is in P

Recall *CYK algorithm* for testing if a string w is in a CFG which is in Chomsky normal form. Keep an array table(i,j) of the variables which can generate the substring $w_i, w_{i+1}, ..., w_j$ Build this table from bottom up – filling in entry (i,j) requires looking at entries (i,k) and (k,j) for $i \le k \le j$. So total cost is $O(n^3)$ where n is the length of the input string w (Fill in at most n^2 entries, each one requires considering at most 2n other entries.)

The Class NP

Example: HAMPATH

• A *Hamiltonian path* in a directed graph is a directed path that goes through each node exactly once.

PROBLEM: HAMPATH

INPUT: An directed graph G

OUTPUT: "YES" if and only if there is a Hamiltonian path in G

- Encoding scheme: list of nodes and edges
- A solution is *polynomially verifiable*.
- How to solve this problem deterministically?
- How to solve this problem nondeterministically?
- Note that $\overline{HAMPATH}$ is not polynomially verifiable.

Verifiers

ullet A *verifier* for a language A is an algorithm V where

 $A = \{w \mid V \text{ accepts } \langle w, c \rangle \text{ for some string } c\}.$

- ullet A language A is *polynomially verifiable* is it has a verifier whose running time is polynomial in |w|
- In the definition above c is called a certificate or proof.
- Note that if A has a poly-time verifier, then we may assume there is a polynomial that bounds |c| in terms of |w| (Why?)

Sudoku is Polynomially Verifiable

Consider Sudoku for $n \times n$ input grids, with entries $1 \dots n$

 $SUDOKU = \{\langle \Pi \rangle \mid \Pi \text{ is a solvable Sudoku puzzle}\}$

A certificate for Π is just the list of values for all empty cells of Π – at most n^2 entries, each can be given by a $\log n$ -bit string. Size $O(n^2 \log n) = O(n^3)$

To verify a certificate – must check uniqueness for every row, column, and subgrid. Can be done in $O(n^3)$ time (Why? Think of CNF encoding...)

Definition of NP

NP is the class of languages that have polynomial time verifiers.

NP = "Non-deterministic polynomial time"

Theorem: A language L is in NP iff it is decided by a nondeterministic poly-time TM.

Proof (\Longrightarrow) Let V be a verifier for L. We define the NDTM M as follows.

On input w:

- 1. Use nondeterminism to "guess" a certificate c
- 2. Call $V(\langle w, c \rangle)$
- 3. Answer YES iff V answers YES

 (\longleftarrow) An accepting computation is a poly-sized certificate that can be verified in polynomial time.

P vs NP

 $\mathsf{NTIME}(t(n))$ is the class of languages decided by O(t(n))-time NDTMs.

So we have shown $NP = \bigcup_k NTIME(n^k)$

It is then easy to see that $P \subseteq NP$ (every deterministic machine is also a nondeterministic machine

Is
$$P = NP$$
?

In other words, for every problem, is it this case that if we can *verify* solutions in polynomial time, then we can also *decide* whether the problem has a solution in polynomial time?

Most fundamental question in (theoretical) Computer Science.

CLIQUE

INPUT: A graph G and an integer k (no greater than the number of nodes in G)

QUESTION: Does G contain a clique of size k, i.e, a subset of k nodes such that every two nodes in the subset are joined by an edge of G?

Clique is in NP

Proof: There is a polytime verifier V which takes as input $\langle \langle G, k \rangle, c \rangle$ and does the following

- 1. Test whether c is a set of k nodes
- 2. Test whether G contains all edges between every pair nodes in c
- 3. If both pass, answer YES, else answer NO

Step (1) takes time O(k), and step (2) takes time $O(k^2)$. So V runs in time $O(n^2)$ $(n = |\langle G, k \rangle|$. Note that $n \geq |\langle G \rangle| \geq k$, so we may assume k is in binary.)

ALTERNATIVE PROOF: There is a non deterministic polytime TM which decides CLIQUE (guess a subset of nodes and verify that it is a clique).

SUBSET-SUM (KNAPSACK) is in NP

INPUT: A set S of numbers and a number t.

QUESTION: Is there a subset S' of S whose elements sum to t?

How to code inputs? List of binary numbers coding S and t

Theorem: SUBSET-SUM is in NP.

Proof idea: A certificate c for SUBSET SUM is a subset of S. To verify, check that each integer in the certificate is in S and add them up to see if the sum is t. On a 2-tape TM, this takes $O(\sum_{s \in S'} |s|)$ time, which is polynomial in the length of the instance; hence it's polynomial in the length of the instance.

Questions

- How to win \$1,000,000: Is P = NP? (Clay Prize)
- Note that we can solve any problem in NP in exponential time, i.e., $NP \in \bigcup_k \mathsf{TIME}(2^{n^k})$
- What about parallel machines?
- What about quantum machines?
- Doesn't the encoding scheme matter?
- Worst case v. average case time complexity?
- Does $NP \cap co-NP = P$?