

Summary of "*Persuading Investors: A Video-Based Study*"

2024.04.23 Zhao Lvyujia

1. What are the research questions?

- How do non-content features of startup pitch deliveries (such as facial expressions, tone of voice, and diction) influence the funding decisions of investors?
- Does the persuasiveness of these deliveries predict long-term startup success?

2. Why are the research questions interesting?

- These questions are interesting because they explore beyond traditional content analysis of pitches and seek to understand how the manner of delivery can impact investor behavior and startup funding outcomes, a relatively under-researched area in economic studies.

3. What is the paper's contribution?

- Literature: The paper builds on the literature of persuasive communications in economic settings, traditionally focusing on content-based persuasion models.
 - ◆ *DellaVigna and Gentzkow (2010)*
- Summary of Literature: Prior studies have concentrated on how content influences economic decisions, such as in advertising and political campaigns.
 - ◆ *Kamenica and Gentzkow (2011)*
- Marginal Contributions: This study introduces an innovative video-based method using machine learning to quantify the persuasiveness of startup pitches in visual, vocal, and verbal dimensions, thus providing a new avenue to assess investment decisions and startup performance empirically.
 - ◆ *Mehrabian (1972)* ; *Ambady&Rosenthal (1992)* ; *Loewenstein et al. (2001)* ; *Todorov et al. (2005)*

4. What hypotheses are tested in the paper?

- H1: Positive non-content features in pitch deliveries increase the likelihood of securing startup funding.
- H2: Startups that score high on non-content pitch features do not necessarily perform better in the long-term, indicating a potential overestimation of their business viability by investors.

a) Do these hypotheses follow from and answer the research questions?

- Yes, these hypotheses are directly derived from the research questions, intending to test how non-content pitch features affect both immediate and long-term outcomes.

b) Do these hypotheses follow from theory?

- The hypotheses follow from theories on persuasion and behavioral economics, suggesting that emotional and non-verbal cues can significantly influence decision-making processes, often leading to cognitive biases.

5. Sample: comment on the appropriateness of the sample selection

procedures.

- The sample comprises 1139 startup pitch videos collected from 2010 to 2019, providing a robust dataset for analyzing real-world investment decisions.

6. Dependent and independent variables: comment on the appropriateness of variable definition and measurement.

- Independent Variable: The "Pitch Factor," a composite measure of non-content features (visual, vocal, verbal) derived using ML algorithms.
- Dependent Variables: Immediate investment decisions (whether startups received funding) and long-term performance indicators (employment growth, survival, further funding).

7. Regression/prediction model specification: comment on the appropriateness of the regression/prediction model specification.

- The regression models link the Pitch Factor to both immediate funding outcomes and long-term performance, adjusting for confounders like startup and team characteristics, which appropriately tests the hypothesized relationships.

8. What difficulties arise in drawing inferences from the empirical work?

- Challenges include differentiating the impact of pitch delivery from other unobserved entrepreneurial qualities and the potential bias introduced by the visual and vocal attractiveness of the pitchers.
- Another difficulty is the potential for reverse causality, where better-performing startups might invest more in pitch preparation.

9. Describe at least one publishable and feasible extension of this research.

- A possible extension could involve a longitudinal study that tracks startups over a longer period to evaluate the impact of initial pitch persuasiveness on ultimate business success or failure.
- Another extension could compare the impact of pitch delivery in different cultural or economic contexts to assess the universality of the findings.