

## AIND- Planning analysis

- Provide an optimal plan for Problems 1, 2, and 3.

P1	P2	P3
Load(C1, P1, SFO)	Load(C1, P1, SFO)	Load(C1, P1, SFO)
Load(C2, P2, JFK)	Load(C2, P2, JFK)	Load(C2, P2, JFK)
Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)	Load(C3, P3, ATL)	Fly(P1, SFO, ATL)
Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)	Fly(P1, SFO, JFK)	Load(C3, P1, ATL)
Unload(C1, P1, JFK)	Fly(P2, JFK, SFO)	Fly(P2, JFK, ORD)
Unload(C2, P2, SFO)	Fly(P3, ATL, SFO)	Load(C4, P2, ORD)
	Unload(C3, P3, SFO)	Fly(P2, ORD, SFO)
	Unload(C2, P2, SFO)	Fly(P1, ATL, JFK)
	Unload(C1, P1, JFK)	Unload(C4, P2, SFO)
		Unload(C3, P1, JFK)
		Unload(C2, P2, SFO)
		Unload(C1, P1, JFK)

- Compare and contrast non-heuristic search result metrics (optimality, time elapsed, number of node expansions) for Problems 1,2, and 3. Include breadth-first, depth-first, and at least one other uninformed non-heuristic search in your comparison; Your third choice of non-heuristic search may be skipped for Problem 3 if it takes longer than 10 minutes to run, but a note in this case should be included.

For the first problem, this problem is not too complex and all of the search algorithms are able to come up with the solution at least. Here is the data report below.

	Problem 1				
SearchAlgorithmNo	NodeExpansions	GoalTests	NewNodes	Plan length	Time Elapsed
1	43	56	108	6	0.028333
2	1458	1459	5960	6	0.931226071
3	12	13	48	12	0.00828451
4	101	271	414	50	0.085384982
5	55	57	224	6	0.032787009

Algorithm 1,2 and 5 which are breadth\_first\_search, breadth\_first\_tree\_search and uniform\_cost\_search gave the optimal solutions. The breadth\_first\_search is best one for all of the metrics.

For the second problem, 1 and 5 are able to get the optimal solution and the other several metric is pretty close. For the problem 2, the breadth\_first\_search is also the best one for non-heristic method. For the empty value is too long to get the result for 2 and 4 algorithm.

	Problem 2				
SearchAlgorithmNo	NodeExpansions	GoalTests	NewNodes	Plan length	Time Elapsed
1	2309	3366	17306	9	5.212
2					
3	118	119	415	84	0.196312654
4					
5	3588	3590	26334	9	7.577908374

For the third problem, this problem is much more complex than the other two. It also the breadth\_first\_search and uniform\_cost\_search gave the optimal solutions. The first one also the best performance for all metrics. Even the third algorithm depth\_first\_graph\_search time elapsed is the lest one. But the plan length is way more to the optimal.

	Problem 3				
SearchAlgorithmNo	NodeExpansions	GoalTests	NewNodes	Plan length	Time Elapsed
1	11301	15053	88847	12	32.9066
2					
3	118	119	415	788	2.709029266
4					
5	15789	15791	122337	12	47.60609824

- Compare and contrast heuristic search result metrics using A\* with the "ignore preconditions" and "level-sum" heuristics for Problems 1, 2, and 3.

For the less complex problem, all of the algorithm with heuristic function are able to get the optimal solution. The greedy\_best\_frist\_search h\_1 is the best one for all of the metrics.

	Problem 1				
Search Algorithm No	Node Expansions	GoalTests	NewNodes	Plan length	Time Elapsed
6	4229	4230	17029	6	2.73694
7	7	9	28	6	0.00534
8	55	57	224	6	0.04028
9	41	43	107	6	0.034701
10	11	13	50	6	1.49564

For the second problem, No 8, 9 and 10 are able to come out the optimal solution. The A star search with planning graph level sum heuristic is take less nodes for all algorithm, but it takes too long time to get the result. According the analysis from the book AIMA, the planning graph is polynomial increasing. For my understanding, it just because take too long time to create the planning

graph. Once it built and cached in the memory. It should be much faster the others and achieved the best performance.

	Problem 2				
Search Algorithm No	Node Expansions	GoalTests	NewNodes	Plan length	Time Elapsed
6					
7	449	451	2759	19	0.76626
8	3588	3590	26334	9	7.09955
9	1078	1080	8310	9	2.81692
10	225	227	1483	9	461.567

For the third problem, 7,9 and 10 algorithm are able to get the optimal solution and 9 takes less time to get the result and 10 take the less nodes. The reason of take so long time is as same as second problem that I guessed.

	Problem 3				
Search Algorithm No	Node Expansions	Goal Tests	New Nodes	Plan length	Time Elapsed
6					
7	5786	5788	42177	31	15.07151
8	15789	15791	122337	12	48.5253
9	3764	3766	30016	12	13.23296
10	448	450	3191	12	2117.17

- **What was the best heuristic used in these problems? Was it better than non-heuristic search planning methods for all problems? Why or why not?**

The best heuristic is the planning graph level sum, it take less node to explore. But it need to take pretty long time to create the graph. The ignore preconditions is the less optimal heuristic function. If we design a program and run it on the server and the problem is alway the same. We should take the No.10 to cache the heuristic result and if we run the program on local device and the problem always new. I recommend to use the No.9.

- **Provide tables or other visual aids as needed for clarity in your discussion.**

The tables are on the above answer.