

Multinomial Logistic Regression

Zhen Trinh

Read in data

```
subjects.new <- read.csv("Data/subjects.new.csv")
train <- read.csv("Data/train.csv")
test <- read.csv("Data/test.csv")
validation <- read.csv("Data/validation.csv")
```

We will use the `multinom()` function from the `nnet` package to estimate multinomial logistic regression model because it does not require the data to be reshaped (as the `mlogit` package does).

Fit the model

```
library(nnet)
model.lr <- multinom(Activity ~., data = train)
```

Check model performance

```
# Apply the model on validation dataset
pred.lr <- predict(model.lr, validation)

# Load the caret package
library(caret)
library(e1071)

# Create a confusion matrix comparing the predicted and true activity types
confusionMatrix(pred.lr, validation$Activity)
```

Confusion Matrix and Statistics

	Reference											
Prediction	L1	L10	L11	L12	L2	L3	L4	L5	L6	L7	L8	L9
L1	620	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
L10	0	456	141	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L11	0	106	447	46	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
L12	0	36	13	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L2	0	0	0	0	596	0	4	23	0	0	0	0
L3	0	0	0	0	0	634	0	0	0	0	0	0
L4	0	0	2	0	0	0	533	110	2	2	0	0
L5	0	0	1	0	0	0	65	350	8	29	24	0
L6	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	12	471	17	69	0
L7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	29	593	20	0
L8	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	41	29	7	566	0
L9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	640

Overall Statistics

Accuracy : 0.8577
95% CI : (0.8492, 0.8658)
No Information Rate : 0.0978
P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16

Kappa : 0.844
McNemar's Test P-Value : NA

Statistics by Class:

	Class: L1	Class: L10	Class: L11	Class: L12	Class: L2
Sensitivity	1.00000	0.75748	0.74007	0.234146	1.00000
Specificity	0.99937	0.96041	0.97586	0.992727	0.99575
Pos Pred Value	0.99359	0.64498	0.74500	0.494845	0.95666
Neg Pred Value	1.00000	0.97658	0.97524	0.977064	1.00000
Prevalence	0.08931	0.08672	0.08701	0.029530	0.08585
Detection Rate	0.08931	0.06569	0.06439	0.006914	0.08585
Detection Prevalence	0.08989	0.10184	0.08643	0.013973	0.08974
Balanced Accuracy	0.99968	0.85894	0.85796	0.613437	0.99787

	Class: L3	Class: L4	Class: L5	Class: L6	Class: L7
Sensitivity	1.00000	0.88099	0.61404	0.87384	0.91512
Specificity	1.00000	0.98169	0.98007	0.98407	0.98761
Pos Pred Value	1.00000	0.82126	0.73375	0.82199	0.88376
Neg Pred Value	1.00000	0.98856	0.96597	0.98932	0.99123
Prevalence	0.09133	0.08715	0.08211	0.07764	0.09334
Detection Rate	0.09133	0.07678	0.05042	0.06785	0.08542
Detection Prevalence	0.09133	0.09349	0.06871	0.08254	0.09666
Balanced Accuracy	1.00000	0.93134	0.79705	0.92896	0.95137

	Class: L8	Class: L9
Sensitivity	0.83358	1.00000
Specificity	0.98707	1.00000
Pos Pred Value	0.87481	1.00000
Neg Pred Value	0.98205	1.00000
Prevalence	0.09781	0.09219
Detection Rate	0.08153	0.09219
Detection Prevalence	0.09320	0.09219
Balanced Accuracy	0.91032	1.00000

The 95% prediction interval for the model is (84.92%, 86.58%), we can tune the parameters to get a higher accuracy result.

10-fold cross validation

```
# Set train control using 10-fold cross validation
ctrl <- trainControl(method = "cv", number = 10, savePredictions = TRUE)

# set seed to obtain reproducible result
set.seed(7)

# Set up tuning parameters for multinomial logistic regression model
```

```
m.lr <- train(Activity ~., data = rbind(train,validation), method = 'multinom',
             trControl = ctrl, tuneLength = 5)
```

```
# Examine the result of 10-fold cross validation
m.lr
```

Penalized Multinomial Regression

```
28195 samples
  8 predictor
12 classes: 'L1', 'L10', 'L11', 'L12', 'L2', 'L3', 'L4', 'L5', 'L6', 'L7', 'L8', 'L9'
```

No pre-processing

Resampling: Cross-Validated (10 fold)

Summary of sample sizes: 25377, 25374, 25375, 25376, 25376, 25376, ...

Resampling results across tuning parameters:

decay	Accuracy	Kappa
0e+00	0.8541591	0.8402171
1e-04	0.8551169	0.8412656
1e-03	0.8549394	0.8410734
1e-02	0.8549747	0.8411051
1e-01	0.8540526	0.8400996

Accuracy was used to select the optimal model using the largest value.

The final value used for the model was decay = 1e-04.

As the footnote describes, the model with the largest accuracy was selected. This was the model that used a penalized multinomial regression with decay = 0. However, the accuracy did not improve much as compared to the previous model.

Apply tuned model on unseen test data

```
# Make prediction
p.lr <- predict(m.lr, test)
confusionMatrix(p.lr, test$Activity)
```

Confusion Matrix and Statistics

		Reference											
Prediction		L1	L10	L11	L12	L2	L3	L4	L5	L6	L7	L8	L9
L1	611	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0
L10	0	496	108	85	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
L11	0	106	468	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L12	0	21	17	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L2	0	0	0	0	611	0	6	19	0	0	0	0	0
L3	0	0	0	0	0	568	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L4	0	0	1	0	0	0	553	107	1	0	0	0	0
L5	0	0	1	0	0	0	59	368	14	20	13	0	0
L6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	20	545	15	80	0	0
L7	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	34	35	575	24	0	0
L8	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	64	40	3	529	0	0
L9	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	654	0

Overall Statistics

Accuracy : 0.8634
 95% CI : (0.8552, 0.8714)
 No Information Rate : 0.0937
 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16

Kappa : 0.8503
 McNemar's Test P-Value : NA

Statistics by Class:

	Class: L1	Class: L10	Class: L11	Class: L12	Class: L2
Sensitivity	1.00000	0.78981	0.78655	0.266667	1.00000
Specificity	0.99921	0.96945	0.97650	0.994411	0.99607
Pos Pred Value	0.99188	0.71884	0.75728	0.558140	0.96069
Neg Pred Value	1.00000	0.97901	0.98003	0.980850	1.00000
Prevalence	0.08755	0.08998	0.08526	0.025792	0.08755
Detection Rate	0.08755	0.07107	0.06706	0.006878	0.08755
Detection Prevalence	0.08826	0.09887	0.08855	0.012323	0.09113
Balanced Accuracy	0.99961	0.87963	0.88153	0.630539	0.99804

	Class: L3	Class: L4	Class: L5	Class: L6	Class: L7
Sensitivity	1.00000	0.89050	0.59643	0.85827	0.93801
Specificity	1.00000	0.98286	0.98318	0.98172	0.98492
Pos Pred Value	1.00000	0.83535	0.77474	0.82451	0.85693
Neg Pred Value	1.00000	0.98924	0.96172	0.98575	0.99398
Prevalence	0.08139	0.08898	0.08841	0.09099	0.08783
Detection Rate	0.08139	0.07924	0.05273	0.07809	0.08239
Detection Prevalence	0.08139	0.09486	0.06806	0.09471	0.09615
Balanced Accuracy	1.00000	0.93668	0.78981	0.91999	0.96146

	Class: L8	Class: L9
Sensitivity	0.81889	1.00000
Specificity	0.98263	0.99953
Pos Pred Value	0.82786	0.99543
Neg Pred Value	0.98155	1.00000
Prevalence	0.09256	0.09371
Detection Rate	0.07580	0.09371
Detection Prevalence	0.09156	0.09414
Balanced Accuracy	0.90076	0.99976

The 95% prediction interval for the tuned model is (85.53%, 86.26%), which is a tighter range than that of the previous model.